perhaps, be artificially created.^{1,26} Since the thermalized portion of any distribution is isotropic, the importance of orbital quantization considerations is essentially the same for isotropic and anisotropic distributions.

We offer no explanation for the difference between the experimental results of Dousmanis et al. and Dexter et al., except, perhaps, to point out that, due to the quantization, a region of energy allowed for negativemass carriers in the former experiment is not allowed at the higher magnetic fields of the latter.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are very grateful to S. Kahng for his advice and assistance in setting up the problem for machine computation. We would also like to thank G. C. Dousmanis, F. Herman, and D. O. North for many interesting and helpful discussions.

PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 125. NUMBER 2

JANUARY 15, 1962

Internal Fields at Low Temperatures in CoPd Alloys*

D. E. NAGLE, P. P. CRAIG, P. BARRETT, D. R. F. COCHRAN, C. E. OLSEN, AND R. D. TAYLOR Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, University of California, Los Alamos, New Mexico (Received September 5, 1961)

The hyperfine splitting of the 14.4-kev gamma kev ray line in Fe⁵⁷ has been measured for a series of sources, each containing Co57 activity doped into a host lattice of CoPd. Although Pd itself is not ferromagnetic, the alloys with Co are all ferromagnetic, with Curie temperatures ranging from 1404°K for pure Co down to 130°K for a 3% Co alloy (the most dilute which we have studied). The internal field associated with the hyperfine splitting is a function of temperature for a given alloy; however, at temperatures small compared to the Curie temperature, we find that each source shows very nearly the same internal field, namely 308 kgauss. The relationship of this behavior to current theories of the internal field in Fe and to the nature of ferromagnetism in CoPd is briefly discussed.

HE ferromagnetism of alloys of Pd with the iron series elements has been extensively studied. 1-3 The Co-Pd alloys are a continuous series of random substitutional solid solutions.4 The dilute Co alloys at room temperature are fcc in structure and show no superlattice structure.4 They have the interesting property that, although Pd itself is not ferromagnetic, the addition of as little as 0.1% Co makes an alloy which is ferromagnetic.1 This raises the question of the mechanism for this very long range ferromagnetism.

The experimental information presently available for the cobalt-palladium alloys concerns bulk properties such as the Curie temperature T_c , the saturation magnetization, and the magnetic susceptibility. Recently, however, the Mössbauer effect has been used to study the internal magnetic field H_i at Fe⁵⁷ impurities in various materials. For Fe⁵⁷ in a lattice² of pure Fe, we have reported the temperature dependence of the hyperfine

splitting.⁵ The absorption spectrum at all temperatures from 1026° to 0.35°K showed six well-resolved lines, indicating H_i must be very nearly the same at all lattice positions. (The Curie temperature of Fe is 1046°K.) Furthermore, H_i and the saturation magnetization have the same temperature dependence, within the accuracy of the experiment. For the Co-Pd alloys, because of their random character, it seemed interesting to investigate with the Mössbauer effect whether H_i would still be unique, and what would be the temperature dependence.

A series of Co-Pd alloys were prepared ranging in Co concentrations from 100% to 3% Co. Each sample was rolled to about 5 mils thickness and electroplated with Co⁵⁷, which was then diffused into the lattice for 1 hour at 1000°C. The Mössbauer effect spectrum was obtained at several temperatures for each alloy. Some of the 8%samples in the interval $T_c > T > 0.7 T_c$ show anomalous spectra, which appear to be the superposition of a sixline hyperfine pattern and a single-line (unsplit) pattern. This effect is still being studied. However, for $T < 0.5 T_c$, every sample showed a spectrum of six welldefined emission lines, indicating that H_i was very nearly the same at all lattice positions for a given sample. From the splitting, we obtain H_i in kilogauss,

²⁶ If the strongly anisotropic distribution envisioned in reference 1 were actually achieved, the emissive effect would be enhanced by a factor of about $(1+\omega^2\tau^2)$ by the application of a magnetic field to resonate the negative masses.

⁵ D. E. Nagle, H. Frauenfelder, R. D. Taylor, D. R. F. Cochran, and B. T. Matthias, Phys. Rev. Letters 5, 364 (1960). J. G. Dash et al., Phys. Rev. 122, 1116 (1961).

^{*} Work performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

[†] Permanent address: University of California, Santa Barbara, California.

¹ R. M. Bozorth, P. A. Wolff, D. D. Davis, V. B. Compton, and J. H. Wernick, Phys. Rev. 122, 1157 (1961).

² E. O. Wollan, Phys. Rev. 122, 1710 (1961).

³ J. Crangle and D. Parsons, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) 255A,

^{509 (1960).}

⁴ M. Hansen, Constitution of Binary Alloys (McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1958), 2nd ed., p. 491.

Table I. Hyperfine field H_i in Co-Pd Alloys at low temperatures (T/T_c) .

Atom per cent cobalt	Curie temperature (°K)	Temperature for H_i measurement (°K)	H; (kgauss)	Bulk moment/Co atom (Bohr magnetons)
100	1404	297	-312 ±5	1.7
30	673	80	-305 ± 10	2.6
15	423	4	-296 ± 8	4.1
8	275	4	-315 ± 4	5.5
3	130	4	-310 ± 9	7.4

using as a reference standard the previously determined value of 333 kgauss for Fe⁵⁷ in Fe at 300°K.6

Table I gives our experimental values of H_i at low temperatures. The Curie temperatures T_c are those reported by Grube and Winkler⁷ and by Bozorth et al.¹ The temperature of the measurement H_i was in each case chosen to be very small compared to T_c . The values of H_i obtained appear to be nearly constant. A small extrapolation could be made to $H_i(T)$ to obtain H_i at T=0, using our knowledge of the temperature dependence. An approximate formula for this is⁸

$$H_i(0) = H_i(1 - T^2/T_c^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

from which we see that the measurements of H_i for the 100% alloy would be increased by about 2%, and the others only negligibly. The 100% Co internal field agrees well with Wertheim's value of 3.1×105 gauss.9

The negative sign of H_i for Fe⁵⁷ in an Fe lattice has been of considerable interest.¹⁰ We have made a determination of the sign of Fe⁵⁷ in a 100% Co lattice at room temperature. When the sample is placed in an external field of about 30 kgauss, H_i decreases by about 10%. Thus H_i is in the opposite direction to the magnetization, i.e., negative. Dash et al. report that H_i for Co⁵⁷ impurities in a 100% Fe lattice is also negative. 11 From low-temperature specific heat measurements Arp, Edmonds, and Peterson show that the magnitude of H_i for Co⁵⁷ in Fe-Co alloys varies smoothly from 219 ± 4 (100% Co) to 314 ± 9 (4.8% Co) koe. 12 H_i then cannot change sign, so it is also negative for Co in Co.

Johnson et al. 13 have studied H_i at room temperature at Fe⁵⁷ impurity centers in Fe-Co and Fe-Ni alloys.

Their data for the Fe-Ni system show a monotonic decrease of about 25% as the Fe concentration decreases from 100% to zero. The above continuity argument then shows H_i for Fe⁵⁷ in Ni is also negative.

The constancy of H_i vs concentration in the Co-Pd system and the fact that it is close to the pure Fe value are in sharp contrast to the substantial variations with concentration in the binary alloys of iron group elements. Goodings and Heine, 14 Freeman and Watson, 15 and Watson and Freeman,16 have discussed the various atomic electron contributions to H_i using the results of their unrestricted Hartree-Fock calculations. They conclude that in most magnetic materials of the iron series the dominant contribution to H_i is a negative one, arising from 3d exchange polarization of the core electrons. A very small spin polarization of the core electrons by this mechanism gives a large hyperfine field because the Fermi contact interaction is large. This contribution to H_i appears to be proportional to the 3d resultant spin; which would imply that the 3d spin contribution at the Fe⁵⁷ nucleus remains unchanged as the Co concentration in the Pd lattice decreases.

The last column of Table I gives the bulk moment divided by the number of Co atoms in the sample, taken from Bozorth et al.1 This moment varies with concentration and is much larger than that of Co in pure Co, namely 1.7 Bohr magnetons. The ferromagnetism of the dilute Co alloys presumably arises from an exchange interaction of the 3d spin of the Co with the 4d band of Pd. Bozorth et al. consider that the Co atoms have the same moment in the alloy as in the pure metal, and that the remainder of the average moment comes from the polarization of Pd. This is consistent with the above mechanism for H_i , if we assume that the Fe⁵⁷ impurities also have the same 3d configurations as they do in pure Co metal. We also find experimentally that the chemical shift, relative to a Fe source, of the Fe⁵⁷ resonance in the Co-Pd compounds is constant and small $(0.1\pm0.1$ mm sec⁻¹), indicating that the s-electron charge density at the nucleus also changes little. 17,18

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank H. Frauenfelder, B. Matthias, and J. G. Dash for some very helpful discussions and C. Wagner for assistance in collecting data.

⁶ S. S. Hanna, J. Heberle, C. Littlejohn, G. J. Perlow, R. S. Preston, and D. H. Vincent, Phys. Rev. Letters 4, 177 (1960).

⁷ G. Grube and O. Winkler, Z. Electrochem. 41, 52 (1935).

¹ G. Grube and O. Winkler, Z. Electrochem. 41, 52 (1935).

⁸ C. Robert and J. Winter, Compt. rend. 250, 3831 (1960).

⁹ G. Wertheim, Phys. Rev. Letters 4, 403 (1960).

¹⁰ S. S. Hanna, J. Heberle, G. J. Perlow, R. S. Preston, and D. H. Vincent, Phys. Rev. Letters 4, 513 (1960).

¹¹ J. G. Dash, R. D. Taylor, D. E. Nagle, P. P. Craig, and W. M. Visscher, Phys. Rev. 122, 1116 (1961).

¹² V. Arp, D. Edmonds, and R. Peterson, Phys. Rev. Letters 3, 212 (1959).

¹⁸ C. E. Johnson, M. S. Ridout, T. E. Cranshaw, and P. E. Madson, Phys. Rev. Letters 6, 450 (1961).

 $^{^{14}}$ D. Goodings and V. Heine, Phys. Rev. Letters 5, 370 (1960). 15 A. J. Freeman and R. E. Watson, Phys. Rev. Letters 5, 498 (1960)

¹⁶ R. E. Watson and A. J. Freeman, Phys. Rev. 124, 1117 (1961).
¹⁷ L. R. Walker, G. Wertheim, and V. Jaccarino, Phys. Rev. Letters 6, 98 (1961).
¹⁸ O. C. Kistner and A. W. Sunyar, Phys. Rev. Letters 4, 412

^{(1960).}