Errata

Cross Relaxation in Dilute Paramagnetic Systems, A. KIEL [Phys. Rev. **120**, 137 (1960)]. The coefficient of the sums $\sum' C_{p\xi^4}$ in the last two terms of Eq. (8) should have, respectively, the additional terms

and

Trace $i(S_xS_zS_yI_x^2+S_y^2I_zI_yI_x)$.

Trace $i(S_xS_yS_\xi I_y^2 + S_x^2 I_z I_x I_y)$,

The concentration-independent part of $\hbar^2 \langle \Delta \omega^2 \rangle_{\rm av}$ becomes

$$-\frac{1}{5}\{S(S+1)+I(I+1)-\frac{3}{2}\}\sum_{\xi}^{N}C_{j\xi}^{4}/\sum_{\xi}^{N}C_{jz}^{2}.$$

For $\mathbf{S} = I = \frac{1}{2}$ this term vanishes. Equation (13) is therefore incorrect. I am indebted to Dr. Motokazu Hirono for pointing out this error.

Isotope Shifts in Palladium, R. H. HUGHES AND F. A. SHARPTON [Phys. Rev. **121**, 1702 (1961)]. The note added to footnote 2 implying that Kuhn and Warner apparently made an error in quoting the isotope shift for neutron pair 60-58 is completely untrue. They made no such error, and the authors offer their most humble apologies and wish to thank Dr. Kuhn for pointing out this unjustified statement which should be deleted. Actually a very good argument can be presented that it would have been better for us to use the possibly more accurate Cd ratios used by Kuhn and Warner in their similar comparison between isotope shift ratios in Pd and Cd.

Ferromagnetic Resonance Linewidth in Cobalt-Substituted Ferrites, C. WARREN HAAS AND HERBERT B. CALLEN [Phys. Rev. 122, 59 (1961)]. A confusion in galley proof corrections has resulted in $\langle S \rangle_{av}^2$ being replaced by $\langle S^2 \rangle_{av}$ in several equations in the Appendix. The first $\langle S^2 \rangle_{av}$ in Eqs. (A.20) and (A.21), those appearing in the denominators of Eqs. (A.22) and (A.23), and those in Eqs. (A.24), (A.26), (A.29), and (A.30) should all be $\langle S \rangle_{av}^2$, or $\langle \bar{S} \rangle^2$. The mean-square-spin deviation $\langle (\Delta S)^2 \rangle_{av} de$ $fined immediately following (A.24) is <math>\langle S^2 \rangle_{av} - \langle S \rangle_{av}^2$.

Ferroelectricity in Bi₄**Ti**₃**O**₁₂ and Its Solid Solutions, E. C. SUBBARAO [Phys. Rev. **122**, 804 (1961)]. During the course of our work, we received information about the investigation of Fang and Robbins¹ regarding the possible ferroelectricity in Bi₄Ti₃O₁₂ with a Curie temperature of 680°C. Regrettably, we failed to refer to this communication in our paper.

¹ P. H. Fang and C. Robbins (private communication, August, 1960). See also P. H. Fang, C. Robbins, and F. Forrat, Compt. rend. **252**, 683 (1961).