Errata

Properties of Liquid He³ at Low Temperature, K. A. BRUECKNER AND J. L. GAMMEL [Phys. Rev. 109, 1040 (1958)]. The numerical values determining the magnetic susceptibility according to Eq. (32) are given incorrectly. The correct values are:

$$M/M^{*}=0.543,$$

$$\frac{Mk_{F}\Omega}{2\pi^{2}}\left[a_{0}(k_{F},k_{F})_{av}-a_{e}(k_{F},k_{F})_{av}\right]=-0.780,$$

$$\frac{2Mk_{F}\Omega}{\pi^{2}}\left\{\int\frac{d\mathbf{k}_{i}}{4\pi k_{F}^{3}}k_{F}\frac{\partial}{\partial k_{F}}\left[a_{0}(\mathbf{k}_{i},\mathbf{k}_{j})_{k_{j}=k_{F}}\right]$$

$$+\frac{1}{8}\int\frac{d\mathbf{k}_{i}}{4\pi k_{F}^{3}}\int\frac{d\mathbf{k}_{j}}{4\pi k_{F}^{3}}k_{F}\frac{\partial}{\partial k_{F}}$$

$$\times\left[5a_{0}(\mathbf{k}_{i},\mathbf{k}_{j})+a_{e}(\mathbf{k}_{i},\mathbf{k}_{j})\right]\right\}=0.320$$

These give $E_s/E_s(F) = 0.083$ and $\chi = 12.0 \chi_F$, where χ_F is the susceptibility of an ideal Fermi gas.

Study of (d,α) Reactions on Some Light Nuclei, G. E. FISCHER AND V. K. FISCHER [Phys. Rev. 114, 533 (1959)]. The data in Fig. 12 were incorrectly transcribed from Freemantle *et al.*⁶ and the ordinate scale unit should be 0.1 mb/steradian rather than 0.01 mb/steradian. Similarly, the integrated experimental cross section for O¹⁶ (d,α_0) N¹⁴ given in Table I should be 2.2 mb rather than 0.22 mb. In Table II, the following values for O¹⁶ (d,α_0) N¹⁴ should be changed: $(d\sigma/d\Omega)_{exp}$ should be 0.40 mb rather than 0.04 mb and $(\gamma_0)_{normalized}$ should be 0.08 rather than 0.008. These corrections invalidate the conclusion that the O¹⁶ (d,α_0) N¹⁴ reaction can best be described by compoundnucleus theory.

Scattering of 200-Mev Positrons by Electrons, J. A. POIRIER, D. M. BERNSTEIN, AND JEROME PINE [Phys. Rev. 117, 557 (1960)]. The result quoted in line 6 of the abstract is incorrect, and should be $(13\pm9)\%$.

Calculation of the Magnetic Hyperfine Structure Coupling Constants of NO, HÉLÈNE LEFEBVRE-BRION AND C. M. MOSER [Phys. Rev. 118, 675 (1960)]. The configuration interaction function on p. 677 should be:

$$\begin{split} \Psi &= 0.95958\psi_0 + 0.00719\psi_1 + 0.06902\psi_2 + 0.06050\psi_2 \\ &- 0.16466\psi_3 - 0.15233\psi_4 + 0.11617\psi_4 - 0.07637\psi_7 \\ &+ 0.00577\psi_8 + 0.03247\psi_9. \end{split}$$

The values of the constants obtained from this

function thus will be slightly changed from the values given in the paper.

Table IV, line 2 14.22 12.47 -7.03 $(-9)^{\text{b}}$. Table VII, line 2 A 125.7. Page 678, $\psi^2(0) = 0.096$ a.u. Page 680, line 5 from bottom, q = 1.559 - 1.342= 0.217 a.u.

Perturbation Theory Applied to the Nuclear Many-Body Problem, J. S. LEVINGER, M. RAZAVY, O. ROJO, AND N. WEBRE [Phys. Rev. 119, 230 (1960)]. The left-hand column in Table II should be titled " $2x^2$."

Polarization of Protons Scattered from C¹², T. A. TOMBRELLO, R. BARLOUTAUD, AND G. C. PHILLIPS [Phys. Rev. **119**, 761 (1960)]. The expression for the polarization on page 762 should read

$$\mathbf{P}(\theta) = \frac{2 \operatorname{Im}(f_{e}f_{i}^{*})}{|f_{e}|^{2} + |f_{i}|^{2}} (\hat{k}_{2} \times \hat{k}_{1}).$$

The authors wish to express their appreciation to Professor H. H. Barschall for pointing out this error.

Magnetic Scattering of Neutrons by Exchange-Coupled Lattices, A. W. SAENZ [Phys. Rev. 119, 1542 (1960)]. In line 1 of Eq. (2.7), ϵ should read ϵ' . In Eqs. (2.9a), the lines reading $-if(\mathbf{e}\cdot\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ $\times (\mathbf{e} \cdot [\mathbf{S}_i(0) \times \mathbf{S}_j(t)]), \quad + \alpha f\{([\mathbf{e} \times \boldsymbol{\lambda}] \cdot [\mathbf{e} \times \mathbf{S}_i(0)])\}$ $\times ([\mathbf{e} \times \lambda'], \text{and} \times ([\mathbf{e} \times \mathbf{S}_i(0)] \cdot [\mathbf{e} \times \mathbf{S}_j(t)]) + i(\mathbf{e} \cdot \lambda')$ should read $+if(\mathbf{e}\cdot\boldsymbol{\lambda})(e\cdot[\mathbf{S}_i(0)\times\mathbf{S}_j(t)]), +\alpha\{f$ $\times [([e \times \lambda] \cdot [e \times S_i(0)]) ([e \times \lambda'], and \times ([e \times S_i(0)]))$ $[\mathbf{e} \times \mathbf{S}_{i}(t)] - i(\mathbf{e} \cdot \lambda')$, respectively. In the first of Eqs. (2.9b), $\exp[-2W_0(\mathbf{q}_0)]$ and a_l should read $\exp[-W_0(\mathbf{q}_0)]$ and $a_l \exp[-W_l(\mathbf{q}_0)]$, respectively. In the definition of $\psi_1(\mathbf{e}; \alpha)$ in Eqs. (4.5), -2 should read 2. The terms $-2f(\mathbf{e}\cdot\boldsymbol{\lambda})(\mathbf{e}\cdot\boldsymbol{y})\mathfrak{N}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\mathbf{q})$ in (4.12), $+2\eta f(\mathbf{e}\cdot\boldsymbol{\lambda})(\mathbf{e}\cdot\boldsymbol{\mu})$ in (4.16a), and $+2\eta f(\mathbf{e}_0\cdot\boldsymbol{\lambda})(\mathbf{e}_0\cdot\boldsymbol{\mu})$ (4.17) should read $+2f(\mathbf{e}\cdot\boldsymbol{\lambda})(\mathbf{e}\cdot\boldsymbol{\mu})\mathfrak{N}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\mathbf{q}),$ in $-2\eta f(\mathbf{e}\cdot\boldsymbol{\lambda})(\mathbf{e}\cdot\boldsymbol{\mu}), \text{ and } -2\eta f(\mathbf{e}_0\cdot\boldsymbol{\lambda})(\mathbf{e}_0\cdot\boldsymbol{\mu}), \text{ respec-}$ tively. In line 14 after Eq. (4.18), $d\theta > 0$ (<0) should read $d\theta < 0(>0)$.

Pseudodipolar Anisotropy in Cubic Ferromagnets at Low Temperatures, S. H. CHARAP AND P. R. WEISS [Phys. Rev. 116, 1372 (1959)]. In the solution [Eq. (41)] of Eq. (40), certain terms peculiar to the face-centered cubic lattice and characterized by the parameter *B* (see Appendix A) have been omitted. The solution which has been given is, for the fcc, but the leading term in an expansion of the exact solution in powers of $B/4\pi(1-\gamma_i)$ ($\approx 7 \times 10^{-8}$). The remaining terms are those which arise in the orders of perturbation theory beyond