Predictions of Spontaneous Fission Half-Lives for Heavy Nuclei*

DAVID W. DORN

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, University of California, Livermore, California

(Received November 4, 1960)

Swiatecki's work on correlation of spontaneous fission half-lives has been modified and extended to include elements beyond Z=100. The values of spontaneous fission half-lives predicted on these bases are unexpectedly high. For example, the partial half-life for Z=106, A=271 is predicted to be about 13 years.

'N the past, various authors have attempted to correlate spontaneous fission half-lives.¹⁻⁷ Swiatecki,⁴ who was able to show the regular dependence of the half-life on ground-state masses, has been the most successful at establishing a physical interpretation.

The present work is an extension and minor revision of Swiatecki's. The constant term of his formulation has been changed and an additional term in $Z^{\frac{1}{2}}/A$ has been added. The revised expression used in these calculations is

$$\log_{10} \begin{cases} T_{\frac{1}{2}} e - e \\ T_{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ odd-} A \\ T_{\frac{1}{2}} o - o \end{cases} = \begin{cases} -30.06 \\ -23.46 \\ -18.56 \end{cases} + \frac{7.8\theta + 0.35\theta^2 + 0.073\theta^3}{+1389(Z^{\frac{1}{2}}/A) - (4-\theta)\delta m.}$$
(1)

 $\theta = Z^2/A - 37.5$; δm is the difference in Mev between the semiempirical ground-state mass of a nucleus as given by Cameron⁸ and the smooth mass surface as quoted by Swiatecki4:

$$M = 1000A - 8.3557A + 19.120A^{\frac{1}{2}} + 0.76278(Z^2/A^{\frac{1}{2}}) + 25.444(N-Z)^2/A + 0.420(N-Z).$$
(2)

The half-life given by (1) is in seconds.

Table I shows the logarithm of the predicted spontaneous fission half-lives for selected nuclei up through element 106. Where possible, the experimental value as given in reference 7, is also listed.

Perhaps the most important conclusion to be drawn is that, contrary to some estimates,⁶ the spontaneous

⁵ A. Ghiorso, Proceedings of the International Conference on the Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy, Geneva, 1955 (United Nations, New York, 1956), Vol. 7, Paper P/7.8. ⁶ B. Foreman and G. T. Seaborg, J. Inorg. & Nuclear Chem. 7,

⁷ E. K. Hyde, University of California, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report UCRL-9036, 1960 (unpublished).
 ⁸ A. G. W. Cameron, Atomic Energy of Canada Limited, Chalk River Report CRP-690, 1957 (unpublished).

TABLE I.	Logarithm	(base	10)	of the	e spontaneous	fission				
half-life in seconds.										

	Experi-	Theo-		Experi-	Theo-
Element	mentala	retical	Element	mentala	retical
T 7000			T-055		
U ²³³		25.75	E255	• • •	9.86
U^{234}	23.69	23.03	E^{256}	• • •	11.55
U^{235}	24.75	26.58			
U^{236}	23.79	23.53	$\mathrm{Fm^{254}}$	7.32	6.28
U^{237}	• • •	26.90	Fm^{255}	>9.27	10.75
U^{238}	23.26	22.93	Fm^{256}	4.04	4.63
U ²³⁹	• • •	25.31	Fm ²⁵⁷		8.75
UJ240		21.30	Fm ²⁵⁸		2.56
U					
Np^{236}	• • •	25.74	Mv^{258}		12.02
ND^{237}		24.01	Mv^{259}		7.29
Nn^{238}		26.07	$M_{V^{260}}$		9 78
ND239		23 54	$M_{V^{261}}$		5.09
111		20.01	111 1		0.07
P11237		22.37	No^{259}		8 65
P11238	18 18	18 77	No260		2 1 5
P11239	23 23	23.06	No ²⁶¹		7 03
D11240	18 57	10.00	No262		0.58
1 u	10.57	10.70	NU		5.01
A		20.49	10200		5.01
Am ²⁴²	•••	20.48	10 2965		4 71
Am	•••	22.11	103200	•••	4.71
Am ²⁴³	•••	19.88	103200		7.49
Am^{244}	•••	22.00	103^{267}	• • •	2.39
			103^{268}	• • •	4.70
Cm^{242}	14.35	14.48			
Cm^{243}	•••	19.03	104^{266}		0.24
Cm^{244}	14.64	14.34	104^{267}		5.37
Cm^{245}		18.75	104^{268}		-1.54
Cm ²⁴⁶	14.79	14.69	104269		3.17
0			104270		-3.73
Bk247	• • •	16.49			011.0
B1-248		20.00	10 5270		9.82
B1/249	16.27	17.01	105271		4 25
DK B1-250	10.27	18 33	105272		7 21
DK		18.55	105273		1.51
Cf248	11 34	10.99	105		1.70
C1249	16.67	16.00	106271		0 10
Cf250	10.07	11 20	106272	•••	0.18
C1200	11.07	11.30	100212		0.70
C1201	0.21	15.05	100213	•••	6.09
Ct ²⁰²	9.31	9.21	100274	• • •	-1.35
23040	10.05		106275	•••	3.71
E^{253}	12.97	12.26	106^{276}	• • •	-3.67
E^{254}	12.67	14.30			

^a See reference 7.

fission half-life of these heavy elements may indeed be long enough to make their production feasible.9

^{*} This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

<sup>tomic Energy Commission.
¹ W. J. Whitehouse and W. Galbraith, Nature 169, 494 (1952).
² G. T. Seaborg, Phys. Rev. 85, 157 (1952).
³ J. R. Huizenga, Phys. Rev. 94, 158 (1954).
⁴ W. J. Swiatecki, Phys. Rev. 100, 937 (1955).</sup>

⁹ Note added in proof. A similar conclusion has also been reached by F. Hoyle and W. A. Fowler, Astrophys. J. 132, 565 (1960), on the basis of unified model calculations by S. A. E. Johansson, Nuclear Phys. 12, 449 (1959).