process in which the incident alpha-particle collides as a whole with a surface nucleon knocking it out and itself being scattered is a likely contributing mechanism. On the basis of a statistical model the $(\alpha, \alpha p)$ reaction becomes possible when the alpha particle is emitted with a low enough energy to leave proton emission energetically possible.

PHYSICAL REVIEW

It is a pleasure to acknowledge the help of Ted

Morgan and the crew of the University of Washington cyclotron for handling the bombardments. The assistance of John Mudd in carrying out radiochemical procedures and of electronics specialist Donald Horne is warmly appreciated.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

VOLUME 118, NUMBER 5

IUNE 1. 1960

Energy Spectra and Angular Distribution of Photoneutrons from Carbon

V. EMMA, C. MILONE, AND A. RUBBINO

Istituto di Fisica dell'Università, Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare, Catania, Italy (Received January 5, 1960)

Energy spectra and angular distribution of photoneutrons from carbon are studied by irradiation with a 30-Mev bremsstrahlung beam. The spectra exhibit a fine structure from which the following levels in C¹² may be distinguished: 21.4, 22.2, 22.9, 23.6, (24.3), 24.8, and 25.6 Mev. Many of these coincide with levels found in the $C^{12}(\gamma, p)$ and $C^{12}(\gamma, 3\alpha)$ reactions.

Photoneutron emission occurs predominantly by transition to the ground state of C¹¹.

The angular distribution is of the form $1+1.5 \sin^2\theta$ for all neutrons having energy $E_n>3$ Mev. This distribution agrees with that expected according to Wilkinson's independent-particle model for ejection from the l=1 orbit.

1. INTRODUCTION

'N previous work^{1,2} it has been shown that while the photoneutron emission from heavy nuclei occurs mainly by evaporation, in a nucleus as light as oxygen the direct process prevails in photoneutron emission.

The aim of present work is to study in some detail the energy spectrum and the angular distribution of photoneutrons from carbon. These data are needed in order to study the prediction of direct interaction theories and the levels in C12. This work has been suggested to us also by the analysis of the known data on the photodisintegration of C¹².³⁻²⁶

- ¹ C. Milone, Phys. Rev. Letters 3, 43 (1959).

- ² C. Milone, Phys. Rev. Letters 5, 45 (1959).
 ² C. Milone and A. Rubbino, Nuovo cimento 13, 1035 (1959).
 ³ B. M. Spicer and A. S. Penfold, Phys. Rev. 100, 1375 (1959).
 ⁴ J. Goldemberg and L. Katz, Phys. Rev. 95, 471 (1954).
 ⁵ L. Katz, R. N. H. Haslam, R. J. Horsley, A. G. W. Cameron, and R. Montalbetti, Phys. Rev. 95, 464 (1954).
 ⁶ G. C. Baldwin and G. S. Klaiber, Phys. Rev. 73, 1156 (1948).
 ⁷ R. Montalbetti, L. Katz, and L. Goldemberg, Phys. Rev. 91, 2010 (1948). ⁷ R. Montalbetti, L. Katz, and J. Goldemberg, Phys. Rev. 91,
- 659 (1953)
- ⁸ R. Nathans and J. Halpern, Phys. Rev. 93, 437 (1954). ⁹ R. N. H. Haslam, H. E. Johns, and R. J. Horsley, Phys. Rev. 82, 270 (1951).
- ¹⁰ J. H. Carver and K. H. Lokan, Australian J. Phys. **30**, 312 (1957).
- ¹¹ W. C. Barber, W. P. George, and D. D. Reagan, Phys. Rev.
- ¹⁴ W. C. Barber, W. P. George, and D. D. Reagan, Phys. Rev. 98, 73 (1955).
 ¹² J. L. Lawson and M. L. Perlman, Phys. Rev. 74, 1190 (1948).
 ¹³ L. L. Newkirk, Phys. Rev. 86, 249 (1952).
 ¹⁴ R. Sagane, Phys. Rev. 84, 587 (1951).
 ¹⁵ L. W. Jones and K. M. Terwilliger, Phys. Rev. 91, 699 (1953).
 ¹⁶ B. P. Fabricand, B. Hallison, and J. Halpern, Phys. Rev. 103, 1755 (1956).
 ¹⁷ J. Halpern, Phys. Rev. 4 K. Marg. Dam. Rev. 82, 270 (1051).
- ¹⁷ J. Halpern and A. K. Mann, Phys. Rev. 83, 370 (1951).
 ¹⁸ J. Halpern, A. K. Mann, and M. Rothmann, Phys. Rev. 87, (1976).
- 164 (1952). ¹⁹ C. Milone, R. Ricamo, and A. Rubbino, Nuovo cimento 5,

As shown in Table I it may be observed that for the photoneutrons from C^{12} : (i) the energy spectra have not yet been studied; (ii) the angular distributions are given only for angles θ between 60° and 160°¹⁶ and for $E_{\gamma \max} = 23$ Mev.

2. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

A cylindrical target of C of ~ 40 g was irradiated with a 31-Mev collimated γ -ray beam of the B.B. betatron of Turin. The photoneutrons were detected by means of the proton recoil tracks in Ilford L4 plates 400 μ thick, placed, respectively, at angles $\theta = 30^{\circ}$, 60° , 90°, 120°, and 150° with the γ -ray beam. The experimental arrangement was the same as that used in a previous work.27 The methods used for scanning the plates with the $\langle slow \rangle$ and the $\langle fast \rangle$ method and for analyzing the proton recoil tracks are described in previous work.^{2,28} The measured background²⁷ was quite negligible.

²⁰ W. C. Barber, W. R. Dodge, and J. Vanhuyse, Compt. rend. congrès intern. phys. Nucléaire, Juillet, 1958-Dunod, p. 630, Paris 1959.

 ²¹ S. Penner and J. E. Leiss, Phys. Rev. 114, 1101 (1959).
 ²² S. A. E. Johansson, Phys. Rev. 97, 434 (1955).
 ²³ D. Walker, Phys. Rev. 84, 149 (1951).
 ²⁴ I. V. Chuvilo and V. G. Shevchenko, J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. USC P. 2012014 (1957). (U.S.S.R.) 32, 1335 (1957) [translation: Soviet Phys.-JETP 5, 1091 (1957)]

²⁵ J. W. Rosengren and J. M. Dudley, Phys. Rev. 89,603 (1953).
²⁶ L. Cohen, A. K. Mann, B. J. Patton, K. Reibel, W. E. Stephens, and E. J. Winhold, Phys. Rev. 104, 108 (1956).
²⁷ V. Emma, C. Milone, A. Rubbino, and R. Malvano, Nuovo

cimento (to be published). ²⁸ G. Cortini, C. Milone, A. Rubbino, and F. Ferrero, Nuovo

cimento 9, 85 (1958).



FIG. 1. Energy spectra of photoneutrons from carbon at various angles θ between the γ beam and the ejected neutrons.

Some data on the present experiment are summarized in Table II.

expectations^{30,31} and that the photoneutrons leave the C¹¹ residual nucleus predominantly in the ground state.

3. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Energy Spectra and Levels in C¹²

In Fig. 1 the energy spectra obtained at angles θ of 30°, 60°, 90°, 120°, and 150° are reported in ΔE_n steps of 0.5 Mev. The ordinates are proportional to the observed neutron flux $F_0(E_n)/0.5$ Mev. The spectra at various angles show the same fine structure, with peaks evident around $E_n = 2.2$ and 3.8 Mev. Other possible peaks are also seen for $E_n > 4$ Mev. We have found a similar situation in the photoneutron spectra from oxygen.1,2

In order to obtain more detailed information from the photoneutron spectra, we have added the experimental results obtained at all of the various angles θ for the same scanned volumes and in ΔE_n steps of 0.2 Mev. This procedure is possible because the number of peaks and the position of the peaks in the energy spectra are the same at all angles in our data. The experimental spectrum so obtained, shown in Fig. 2 in ΔE_n steps of 0.2 Mev, shows well-defined and narrow peaks.

The mean behavior of the experimental spectrum agrees with the spectrum $\sigma(\gamma,n)I(E_{\gamma},30)$ calculated from the experimental $\sigma(\gamma,n)$ cross section¹⁰ taking account of the 30-Mev bremsstrahlung spectrum $I(E_{\gamma}, 30)$.^{10,29} This gives evidence that the direct emission process is occurring according to theoretical

TABLE I. Experimental work on the photodisintegration of C¹².

$C^{12}(\gamma,n)$ process			$C^{12}(\gamma, p)$ process			
Measurement	$E_{\gamma \max}$ (Mev)	Refer- ences	Measurement	$E_{\gamma \max}$ (Mev)	Refer ences	
Neutron yield curves	22 23 100	3 4, 5 6				
$\sigma(\gamma,n)$	24 25 27 31 38 50 60 70 98 320	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 12 15	σ(γ,⊅)	24	17	
Angular distrib.	23	16	Angular distrib.	23 31 40 60 65 195 265 322	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	
Photoneutron energy spectra			Photoproton energy spectra	25 31 40 195 265 322	26 19 20 23 24 25	

 A. Agodi, Nuovo cimento 8, 516 (1958).
 G. E. Brown and J. S. Levinger, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) 71, 733 (1958).

²⁹ C. Milone, S. Milone Tamburino, R. Rinzivillo, A. Rubbino, and C. Tribuno, Nuovo cimento 7, 729 (1958).

We will now compare the structure of the photoneutron spectrum with that of the photoproton spectrum obtained by Cohen et al.26 This comparison is made by referring to the same value of $E_{\gamma}^* = 18.7$ $+(12/11)E_n=15.9+(12/11)E_p$, 18.7 Mev and 15.9 Mev being the threshold energies for the $C^{12}(\gamma,n)$ and $C^{12}(\gamma, p)$ processes, respectively. Obviously this comparison is possible only if the emitted particles leave the residual mirror nuclei C¹¹ and B¹¹ in their ground states.

There appears to be good agreement between the positions of the peaks a, b, c, d and a', b', c', d', in Fig. 2. A comparison in the higher energy region is not possible because the photoproton spectrum²⁶ is obtained with 25-Mev bremsstrahlung. The peaks b and d correspond well-within the experimental errors-to the known levels at 21.3 and 22.8 Mev in C^{12} ³²; the peak c at 22.1-Mev confirms the suggested peak found from the $B^{11}(p,\gamma)$ and $B^{11}(p,n)$ reactions.³² Therefore it may be concluded that the peaks b, d, and possibly c are due to γ resonance absorption in C¹².

Now, taking into account that the first energy levels of the residual nucleus C^{11} are, respectively, $\overline{1.99}$ and 4.26 Mev above the ground state,³² and observing the behavior of the $\sigma(\gamma,n)I(E_{\gamma},30)$ function (Fig. 2), it appears very unlikely that the peaks e, g, h found in the experimental spectrum can be due to neutrons leaving C¹¹ in excited states. Therefore, we also attribute these peaks to γ resonance absorption around 23.6, 24.8, and 25.6 Mev. Levels at 24.3 and 25.4 Mev have been found previously³² and are given with some uncertainty; the first of these two levels is confirmed by the peak f, while the second is very near to the peak h.

For a detailed comparison, in Fig. 2 are also reported:

TABLE II. Data on the present $C(\gamma, n)$ experiment.

Element		C12			
Weight (g)	40				
(γ, n) threshold (Mev)		18.7	2a, b		
$(\gamma, 2n)$ threshold (Mey)	32.0 ^b				
(γ, np) threshold (Mey)	27 4b				
$E_{a} \max (Mey)$		30			
Energy of the cross-section		00			
peak (Mev)		22.5	22.9	d	
Integrated cross section to					
31 Mev		$42\pm$	7 Mev	mb ^d	
Dose (roentgens)			~ 5000)	
Angle θ	30°	60°	90°	120°	150°
Scanned volume (mm ³)	185	185	185	191	192
Slow scanning.					
number of experimental					
accepted tracks $E_n < 5$ Mev	122	188	198	183	128
Slow and fast scanning.					
number of experimental					
accepted tracks $E_n \ge 5$ Mev	49	73	76	64	51

^a See reference 32. ^b G. R. Bishop and R. Wilson, *Handbuch der Physik*, edited by S. Flügge (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1957), Vol. 42, p. 309. See reference 11

^d See reference 10.

³² F. A. Ajzenberg and T. Lauritsen, Nuclear Phys. 11, 1 (1959).



photoneutrons from carbon.

(a) the E_{γ}^* energies previously introduced corresponding to the excitation energies of the C¹² nucleus;

(b) the energy levels of C^{12} represented by full lines if well known, by dashed lines if uncertain, and by cross hatching if found or confirmed in the present experiment;

(c) the breaks found in the activation⁵ curves and in the neutron yield⁴ curves from the (γ, n) reaction in C^{12} up to $E_{\gamma \max}=23$ Mev. The separation between the peaks d and a is just ~ 2 Mev; then, taking also into account the behavior of the $\sigma(\gamma,n)I(E_{\gamma},30)$ function, it appears possible that the peak a may be partly due to photon absorption in the $E_{\gamma} \approx 23$ -Mev region with transition to the first excited state of the residual nucleus C¹¹.





In Table III, the levels that may be distinguished in the photoproton spectrum from the $C^{12}(\gamma, p)$ reaction,²⁶ in the $C^{12}(\gamma, 3\alpha)$ reaction,³³ and in the photoneutron spectrum from the $C^{12}(\gamma, n)$ reaction (present work) are compared with the known levels³² of C^{12} . It is interesting that many coincident levels are seen in the three processes.

3.2. Angular Distribution

In Fig. 3, the angular distributions are reported for the following neutron groups: $(1.5 < E_n < 3)$ Mev, $(3 < E_n < 5)$ Mev, $(5 < E_n < 7)$ Mev, and separately for each neutron peak found in the energy spectrum.

All the experimental angular distributions may be

fitted by curves of the form $1+1.5 \sin^2\theta$ with the exception of the neutron energy region between 1.5 and 3 Mev.

The angular distributions show back and front symmetry within the limits of the statistical errors. Hence, in order to improve the statistic of the single peaks (Fig. 3), we have added the experimental figures obtained at angles symmetrical with respect to $\theta=90^{\circ}$. For neutrons with energy $E_n > 7$ Mev, the angular distribution is not given because the experimental data are poor.

The marked anisotropy found in the angular distribution together with the results found in the energy spectra strongly suggests a direct process in the photoneutron emission from C^{12} , because the angular distribution following compound nucleus formation should be almost isotropic in this case.

⁸⁸ E. J. Jones, Proceedings of the 1954 Glasgow Conference on Nuclear and Meson Physics (Pergamon Press, London, 1955), p. 147.

Peaks	Cohen et al. ^b	Ajzenberg and Lauritsen ^e	Jones ^d	Present work
b, b'	21.3 ± 0.2	21.34 21.80	,	21.4 ± 0.1
c, c'	22.2 ± 0.2	(22.1) 22.55+0.1		22.2 ± 0.2
d, d'	23.0 ± 0.1	(22.8)	22.7 ± 0.2	22.9 ± 0.2 23.6 ± 0.2
(f)		(24.3)	24.3 ± 0.2	(24.3 ± 0.1)
ь h		(25.4)	20.0 10.2	25.6 ± 0.2

TABLE III. Levels in C¹² above 21 Mev.^a

Levels in parenthesis are given with uncertainty.
See reference 26.
See reference 32.
See reference 33.

The form $(1+1.5 \sin^2\theta)$ of the experimental angular distribution agrees with the predictions of the independent-particle model of Wilkinson.³⁴⁻³⁶ According to this model, the angular distribution of the direct photonucleons for ejection from the orbit l is given by

$$W(\theta) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(1 + 2/l) \sin^2\theta$$
.

The fact that the experimental angular distribution (Fig. 3) for neutrons with energy $E_n > 3$ Mev is of the form $(1+1.5 \sin^2\theta)$ suggests that the neutrons are ejected from the l=1 orbit in good agreement with the shell-model expectation that in the case of the C^{12} nucleus the predominant photon absorption is due to $p_{\frac{3}{2}} \rightarrow d_{\frac{5}{2}}$ transitions.

Our results agree within the experimental errors with the distribution $1+(1.35\pm0.88)\sin^2\theta$ found by Fabricand et al.¹⁶ with 23-Mev bremsstrahlung for neutrons having energy $E_n < 4$ Mev.

For $(1.5 < E_n < 3)$ Mev, the angular distribution exhibits a lower anisotropy which may be due to a contribution from levels in the compound nucleus which are not of the single-particle type. However, it is difficult to make any conclusion about the possible existence of levels other than the peaks shown by our data. Certainly high-resolution data on the $B^{11}(p,\gamma)$ reaction would be very interesting.

All the narrow peaks, found in the energy spectrum, show an angular distribution of the form $(1+1.5 \sin^2\theta)$ (Fig. 3). This behavior indicates a large component of single-particle states in agreement with the physical interpretation of the giant resonance given by Wilkinson. Also the behavior of the $C^{12}(\gamma, p)B^{11}$ reaction at about 22-Mev excitation⁸⁷ suggests the same conclusion.

4. CONCLUSIONS

(1) The energy spectrum exhibits a fine structure from which levels in C¹² may be distinguished at 21.4, 22.9, 23.6, (24.3), 24.8, and 25.6 Mev.

(2) Most of the photoneutron emission occurs predominantly by transition to the ground state of C¹¹, suggesting a direct emission process.

(3) The angular distribution is of the form $1+1.5 \sin^2\theta$ for neutrons having energy $(3 < E_n < 7)$ Mev. The same distribution is valid also for each peak found in the neutron energy spectrum and agrees with the contribution expected according to Wilkinson's independentparticle model for ejection of a neutron from the l=1orbit.

(4) Besides, the coincidence between the peaks obtained in the (γ, n) and (γ, p) processes for the same excitation energy of C12, together with the same conclusions of an independent-particle description of the giant resonance derived from $C^{12}(\gamma, p)^{37}$ and $C^{12}(\gamma, n)$ processes, suggests that the charge independence of nuclear forces,³⁸ up to 30-Mev excitation energy in the C^{12} nucleus, is a good approximation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Our grateful thanks are due to Professor R. Ricamo who put at our disposal the means for doing the present work and to Professor G. Wataghin for having allowed the use of the Turin betatron.

We thank also Professor A. Agodi and Professor R. M. Williamson for many helpful conversations and Professor R. Malvano and Professor F. Ferrero for their friendly collaboration during the irradiation.

³⁴ D. H. Wilkinson, see reference 33, p. 161. ³⁵ D. H. Wilkinson, Phil. Mag. 1, 127 (1956).

³⁶ D. H. Wilkinson, Proceedings of the Amsterdam Conference, 1956 (Nederlande Natuurkundige Vereniging, Amsterdam, 1956); Physica 22, 1039 (1956).

³⁷ A. K. Mann, W. E. Stephens, and D. H. Wilkinson, Phys. Rev. 97, 1184 (1955). ³⁸ M. G. Mann and V. L. Telegdi, Phys. Rev. 91, 169 (1953).