Theoretical Calculation of the Binding Energy of O^{16} [†]

PAUL GOLDHAMMER

Physics Department, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska

(Received June 15, 1959)

The second-order perturbation procedure of Bolsterli and Feenberg is applied to the ground state of O¹⁶. The two-body interaction operator employed has a Serber exchange character with repulsive core and tensor component, determined to give a reasonable fit to the properties of H², H³, He³, and He⁴ to the accuracy of the perturbation procedure. The resulting eigenstate for $\overline{O^{16}}$ is found to have energy eigenvalue -129.2 Mev and rms radius 2.33×10^{-13} cm. Coulomb forces are neglected. Components in the wave function different from the zero-order shell-model state are found to have a statistical weight of about 18% .

1. INTRODUCTION

ANY attempts have been made to correlate the properties of light nuclei with two-body nuclear interactions.¹⁻⁵ Bolsterli and Feenberg⁶ have devised a second-order perturbation procedure for such calculations which reduces mathematical labor, and is fairly accurate if the zero-order wave function is a good approximation to the actual eigenstate. We shall briefly review this procedure here, in order to define our notation.

The zero-order Hamiltonian is taken to be a sum of single-particle harmonic oscillator Hamiltonians with a uniform displacement in energy:

$$
H_0 = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega \sum_{i=1}^{A} (p_i^2 + q_i^2) + U
$$

=
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{A} H_{\text{osc}}(i) + U.
$$
 (1-1)

The perturbation operator is

$$
W = \sum_{i < j} V_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega \left(\sum_{i} q_i^2 - A Q^2 \right) - U, \qquad (1-2)
$$

where V_{ij} is the nuclear interaction operator between particles i and j, and $\mathbf{Q} = (1/A)\sum \mathbf{q}_i$. The depth of U is adjusted so that $W_{00}=0$. The approximate eigenvalue E is implicitly given by

$$
E - E_0 = -\int_0^\infty e^{\lambda(E)} (Ve^{-\lambda H_0} V)_{00} d\lambda - \frac{|V_{00}|^2}{E - E_0} + \frac{1}{E - E_0 - 2\hbar\omega} [(M^2)_{00} - (M_{00})^2]
$$

t Supported in part by grants from the National Science Foundation and The Research Council of the University of Nebraska.

 $-2(MV)_{00}+2M_{00}V_{00}$, (1-3)

- 59, 556 (1941).
² E. Gerjuoy and J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 61, 138 (1942).
	-
	- ³ J. Schwinger and H. Feshbach, Phys. Rev. 84, 194 (1951).
⁴ R. L. Pease and H. Feshbach, Phys. Rev. 88, 945 (1952).
⁵ J. Irving, Phys. Rev. 87, 519 (1952).
⁶ M. Bolsterli and E. Feenberg, Phys. Rev. **101**, 1349 (1
	-
	-

where

and

$$
E_0 = V_{00} - M_{00} + (H_{\text{osc}})_{00},\tag{1-4}
$$

$$
M = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega(\sum_{i} q_{i}^{2} - AQ^{2}) = (\hbar\omega/2A) \sum_{i < j} q_{ij}^{2}.\tag{1-5}
$$

The operator $e^{-\lambda H_{\text{osc}}}$ is transformed into manageab form by

$$
\exp[-\mu(p^2+q^2)]f(\mathbf{q})
$$

= $(k/2\pi g)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int \int \int f(\mathbf{v})$
 $\times \exp[-(\frac{1}{2}g)(q^2+v^2-2k\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{v})]d\mathbf{v},$ (1-6)

where $g = \tanh 2\mu$, $k = \text{sech}2\mu$, and $\mu = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega\lambda$. $\hbar\omega$ is determined to minimize the energy of the physical system $(E-\frac{3}{2}\hbar\omega)$. Mixing of configurations higher than the zero-order is given by

$$
N^2 - 1 = \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{|W_{n0}|^2}{(E - E_n)^2},
$$
 (1-7)

where N normalizes the perturbed wave function

$$
\Psi = \frac{1}{N} \left[\psi_0 + \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{W_{0n} \psi_n}{E - E_n} \right].
$$
 (1-8)

This procedure, which has the elegant advantage of involving only matrix elements with zero-order harmonic oscillator wave functions, was originally applied to H^2 , H^3 , and He^4 . This method has been extended, with refinements, 7.8 to third order in H². In this case at least the higher orders to not appear to make a significant difference in the energy.⁹

In this paper we shall prescribe formulas for extending the method to heavier nuclei whose zero-order wave function may be taken as a closed (doubly magic) oscillator shell, and apply them to O^{16} .

2. GENERAL RELATIONS FOR DOUBLY MAGIC SHELLS

When we have a closed oscillator shell our wave function may be written as a single determinant of

- ' P. Goldhammer and E.Feenberg, Phys. Rev. 101, 1233 (1956). ' E. Feenberg and P. Goldhammer, Phys. Rev. 105, 750 (1957).
-
- P. Goldhammer, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 2, 228 (1957).

¹ W. Rarita and J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 59, 436 (1941); and

$$
\psi_0 = (A!)^{-\frac{1}{2}} |u_1 u_2 \cdots u_A|
$$

= $(A!)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\nu} (-1)^{\nu} P_{\nu} u_1(1) u_2(2) \cdots u_A(A),$ (2-1)

where P_r is simply a permutation operator, and we sum over all permutations of A particles in A distinct orbitals. Introducing the density matrix

$$
(a|\rho|b) = \sum_{l=1}^{A} u_l^*(a)u_l(b), \qquad (2-2)
$$

where the sum goes over all orbitals, and likewise

$$
(a,b| \rho^2 | c,d) = \sum_{k,l} u_l^*(a) u_k^*(b) u_l(c) u_k(d)
$$

= $(a|\rho| c) (b|\rho| d),$ (2-3)

 $\frac{1}{2}A(A-1)(V_{12}e^{-\lambda H_0}V_{12})_{00}$

$$
= \frac{1}{2}e^{-\lambda E_0}\int \cdots \int \{(1, 2|\rho^2 V_{12} \exp[-\lambda H_{\text{osc}}(1) - \lambda H_{\text{osc}}(2)]V_{12} \exp[\lambda H_{\text{osc}}(1) + \lambda H_{\text{osc}}(2)] | 1, 2) - (1, 2|\rho^2 V_{12} \exp[-\lambda H_{\text{osc}}(1) - \lambda H_{\text{osc}}(2)]V_{12} \exp[\lambda H_{\text{osc}}(1) + \lambda H_{\text{osc}}(2)] | 2, 1)\}d\mathbf{r}_1d\mathbf{r}_2, (2-5a)
$$

$$
A(A-1)(A-2)(V_{12}e^{-\lambda H_0}V_{13})_{00}
$$

$$
=e^{-\lambda E_{0}}\int \cdots \int \sum_{\nu=1,2,3} (-1)^{\nu} P_{\nu}(abc)(1, 2, 3 | \rho^{3} \exp[\lambda H_{\text{osc}}(1) + \lambda H_{\text{osc}}(2)]V_{12} \exp[-\lambda H_{\text{osc}}(1)]V_{13} \times \exp[-\lambda H_{\text{osc}}(2)] | a, b, c)d\mathbf{r}_{1}d\mathbf{r}_{2}d\mathbf{r}_{3}, \quad (2-5b)
$$

\n
$$
\frac{1}{4}A(A-1)(A-2)(A-3)(V_{12}e^{-\lambda H_{0}}V_{34})_{00}
$$
\n
$$
=\frac{1}{4}e^{-\lambda E_{0}}\int \cdots \int \sum_{\nu=1,2,3,4} (-1)^{\nu} P_{\nu}(abcd)(1, 2, 3, 4 | \rho^{4} \exp[\lambda H_{\text{osc}}(1) + \lambda H_{\text{osc}}(2)]V_{12}V_{34} \times \exp[-\lambda H_{\text{osc}}(1) - \lambda H_{\text{osc}}(2)] | a, b, c, d)d\mathbf{r}_{1} \cdots d\mathbf{r}_{4}, \quad (2-5c)
$$

where $H_0\psi_0 = E_0\psi_0$, and $P_\nu(abc)$ simply permutes a, b, c over 1, 2, 3. Equation (1-6) is employed to handle $e^{-\lambda H_{\rm osc}}$

If V_{12} is merely a function of $r_{12} = r_1 - r_2$ we have $(1,2|\rho^2V_{12}|1,2)=V_{12}(1,2|\rho^2|1,2)$, but in general we shall consider an interaction operator with exchange character so that it will involve the projection operators

$$
P_0(1,2) = (1/16)(1 - \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)(3 + \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2)
$$

(singlet-even), (2-6a)

$$
P_1(1,2) = (1/16)(3+\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)(1-\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2)
$$

(triplet-even), (2-6b)

$$
P_2(1,2) = (1/16)(1 - \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)(1 - \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2)
$$

(singlet-odd), (2-6c)

$$
P_3(1,2) = (1/16)(3 + \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)(3 + \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2)
$$

(triplet-odd), (2-6d)

as well as the tensor operator

$$
S_{12} = \sigma_1 \cdot \mathbf{n}_{12} \sigma_2 \cdot \mathbf{n}_{12} - \frac{1}{3} \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2, \qquad (2\text{-}6e)
$$

particle orbitals: one can obtain V_{00} in a manageable form:

$$
V_{00} = \frac{1}{2}A(A-1)\int \cdots \int \psi_0^* V_{12}\psi_0 dr_1 dr_2 \cdots dr_A
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l,k} \int \cdots \int u_k^*(1)u_l^*(2) V_{12} \big[u_k(1)u_l(2) - u_k(2)u_l(1) \big] dr_1 dr_2
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \int \cdots \int \big[(1,2|\rho^2 V_{12}|1,2) - (1,2|\rho^2 V_{12}|2,1) \big] dr_1 dr_2. \quad (2-4)
$$

Likewise one may express

$$
(Ve^{-\lambda H_0}V)_{00} = \frac{1}{2}A(A-1)(V_{12}e^{-\lambda H_0}V_{12})_{00}
$$

+ $A(A-1)(A-2)(V_{12}e^{-\lambda H_0}V_{13})_{00}$
+ $\frac{1}{4}A(A-1)(A-2)(A-3)(V_{12}e^{-\lambda H_0}V_{34})_{00}$ (2-5)

in terms of density matrices:

and so we must perform a sum on spin and isobaric spin functions before taking
$$
V_{12}
$$
 outside the density matrix. For a closed shell we may perform our sum over the spin, isobaric spin, and space quantum numbers independently. The results of this evaluation are displayed in Table I, II, and III. Table III has been abbreviated due to the equivalence of the sets of permutations

$$
1324 - 1432 - 3214 - 4231,
$$
 (2-7a)

$$
3412 - 4321, \t(2-7b)
$$

$$
1342 - 1423 - 3241 - 4213, \t(2-7c)
$$

$$
2314 - 2431 - 3124 - 4132, \t(2-7d)
$$

$$
2413 - 3142 - 4123 - 2341, \t(2-7e)
$$

$$
3421 - 4312, \t(2-7f)
$$

under the needed operations. Furthermore 2134 is readily obtained from 1243; and 2314 from 1342.

TABLE I. Evaluation of $(1,2|\rho^2\mathcal{D}|a,b)$ in σ and τ space
for needed projection operators.

ab 5	12	21
$\frac{P_0(12)}{P_1}$ P_{2} $\boldsymbol{P_3}$ $P_{0}(12)P_{0}(12)$ P_{1} P_{1} P_1 P_{2} P_{2} P_{3} P_{3} P_i $P_{i\neq i}$	16 3	

Tensor terms are of the form

 $(1,2\sqrt{p^2S_{12}S_{1'2'}}\mid a,b)=C_{ab}\left[\cos^2(n_{12},n_{1'2'})-\frac{1}{3}\right]$ $(2-8a)$

$$
(1,2,3|\rho^3S_{12}S_{1'3}|a,b,c) = -C_{abc}[\cos^2(n_{12},n_{1'3}) - \frac{1}{3}], (2-8b)
$$

$$
(1,2,3,4 \mid \rho^4 S_{12} S_{34} \mid a,b,c,d)
$$

= $C_{abcd} \left[\cos^2(n_{12},n_{34}) - \frac{1}{3} \right]$, (2-8c)

and tensor components in the potential will be multiplied by a projection operator to select tensor-even $\left[\frac{1}{4}(1-\tau_1\cdot\tau_2)\right]$ or tensor-odd $\left[\frac{1}{4}(3+\tau_1\cdot\tau_2)\right]$ states. Nonvanishing elements needed in the calculations are displayed in Table IV.

The space part of the density matrices is easily evaluated for closed oscillation shells.

$$
(1|\rho_0|2) = \pi^{-\frac{3}{2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(q_1^2 + q_2^2)\right], \quad 1s\text{-shell} \tag{2-9a}
$$

$$
(1|\rho_1|2) = 2\pi^{-\frac{3}{2}}\mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{q}_2 \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(q_1^2 + q_2^2)\right],
$$

1*p*-shell (2-9b)

and so on.

The matrix elements of M needed in Eq. (1-3) are also easily obtained. Let $\exp(-q^2) \rightarrow \exp(-\gamma q^2)$ in the oscillator orbitals; then

$$
\int \cdots \int |\psi_0|^2 d\mathbf{r}_1 \cdots d\mathbf{r}_A \to \gamma^{-(3/2)A}, \qquad \text{1s-shell}
$$

$$
\to \gamma^{-6} \gamma^{-(5/2)(A-4)}, \qquad (2\text{-}10)
$$

 $1p$ -shell

$$
(\sum q_k^2)_{00} = -\left(\frac{d}{d\gamma} \int \cdots \int |\psi_0|^2\right)_{\gamma=1}
$$

$$
= (3/2)A, \t\t 1s-shell
$$

$$
= (5/2)A - 4, \t1p-shell (2-11)
$$

$$
(AQ2)00=\frac{3}{2}, \quad [(AQ2)2]00=15/4, \qquad (2-12)
$$

and we finally have

$$
M_{00} = \frac{3}{4}(A-1)\hbar\omega, \qquad 1s\text{-shell} = \frac{1}{4}(5A-11)\hbar\omega, \qquad 1p\text{-shell.} \tag{2-13}
$$

Likewise

$$
\begin{aligned} \left[(\sum_{k} q_{k}^{2})^{2} \right]_{00} &= \left(\frac{d^{2}}{d\gamma^{2}} \int \cdots \int |\psi_{0}|^{2} \right)_{\gamma=1} \\ &= \frac{3}{2} A \left(\frac{3}{2} A + 1 \right), \qquad \text{1s-shell} \\ &= \left(\frac{5}{2} A - 4 \right) \left(\frac{5}{2} A - 3 \right), \quad \text{1p-shell} \ (2\text{-}14) \end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
(M2)00 = 3(h\omega/4)2(3A-1)(A-1), 1s-shell = (h\omega/4)2(5A-11)(5A-9), 1p-shell (2-15)
$$

 $(MV)_{00}$ is simply obtained from V_{00} :

$$
(MV)_{00} = -(h\omega/2)\left(\frac{d}{d\gamma}V_{00}\right)_{\gamma=1} - (3/4)h\omega(V_{00})_{\gamma=1}. \quad (2-16)
$$

3. APPLICATION TO O¹⁶

We shall consider an interaction operator that is composed of three distinct parts:

$$
V_{12} = V_R(1,2) + V_C(1,2) + V_S(1,2)S_{12}.
$$
 (3-1)

 V_R represents a repulsive core:

$$
V_R(1,2) = J_R \exp(-r_{12}^2/R^2), \tag{3-1a}
$$

with a short half-width; V_c is the central exchange potential $(r_0 > R)$:

$$
V_c(1,2) = (J_0 P_0 + J_1 P_1 + J_2 P_2 + J_3 P_3)
$$

$$
\times \exp(-r_{12}^2/r_0^2), \quad (3-1b)
$$

TABLE II. Evaluation of $(1,2,3|\rho^3\mathcal{D}|a,b,c)$ in σ and τ space for needed projection operators.

abc	123	312	231	213	132	321
$P_0(12)P_0(13)$ P_1 P_{1} P ₂ P ₂ P ₃ P_3 P ₀ P_1 P_{0} $_{P_{2}}$ P_{0} P_{3} P_{1} P_{2} P_1 P ₃ P ₂ P_3 1 ${P}_2$ P_{0} P ₂ P_{1} P_{3} P_{0} P_3 P_1 P_3 ${P}_2$ $P_0(12)$ $P_1(12)$ $P_{2}(12)$ $P_3(12)$ $P_0(13)$ $P_1(13)$	9/4 9/4 1/4 81/4 9/4 3/4 27/4 3/4 27/4 9/4 64 3/4 3/4 27/4 27/4 9/4 12 12 $\overline{4}$ 36 12 12	-3 -3 1 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 3 $^{-3}$ 1 9 -3 -3 $\mathbf{1}$	9/4 9/4 1/4 81/4 9/4 $-3/4$ $-27/4$ $-3/4$ $-27/4$ 9/4 4 $-3/4$ $-3/4$ $-27/4$ $-27/4$ 9/4 -3 -3 1 9 -3 -3 $\mathbf{1}$	$-9/4$ $-9/4$ 1/4 81/4 $-9/4$ $-3/4$ $-27/4$ $-3/4$ $-27/4$ 9/4 16 3/4 3/4 27/4 27/4 9/4 -12 -12 4 36 3 3	3 3 $\mathbf{1}$ 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 16 0 0 0 0 0 3 3 1 9 3 3	$-9/4$ $-9/4$ 1/4 81/4 -9/4 3/4 27/4 3/4 27/4 9/4 16 $-3/4$ $-3/4$ $-27/4$ $-27/4$ 9/4 3 9 -12 -12
$P_2(13)$ $P_3(13)$	4 36	9	9	$\mathbf{1}$ 9	1 9	4 36

 \equiv

TABLE III. Evaluation of $(1234/p^4\mathcal{D}|abcd)$ in σ and τ space for needed projection operators. Absent permutations may be obtained from those given by simple symmetry considerations.

$$
V_S(1,2) = \left[\frac{1}{4}(1-\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2)J_S + \frac{1}{4}(3+\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2)J_A\right] \times (r_{12}/r_0)^2 \exp[-(r_{12}/r_0)^2]. \quad (3-1c)
$$

The specific radial forms chosen make the integrals reduce to a simple closed form, and furthermore qualireduce to a simple closed form, and furthermore quali
tatively resembles the Gartenhaus potential.10 Makin the substitutions

$$
\alpha^2 q_{12}^2 = r_{12}^2 / r_0^2
$$
 and $\beta^2 q_{12}^2 = r_{12}^2 / R^2$, (3-2)

we may express V_{00} and $(MV)_{00}$ for O^{16} in terms of the integrals

$$
\mathbb{U}_{1}(\gamma,a) = \int \cdots \int (1|\rho|1)(2|\rho|2) \times \exp(-a^{2}q_{12}^{2})d\mathbf{r}_{1}d\mathbf{r}_{2}
$$

$$
\times \exp(-a^{2}q_{12}^{2})d\mathbf{r}_{1}d\mathbf{r}_{2}
$$

$$
= \gamma^{-31}[\gamma^{2} + 2a^{2}\gamma]^{-7/2}[16\gamma^{2} + 40\gamma a^{2} + 31a^{4}], \quad (3-3a)
$$

$$
\mathbb{U}_{2}(\gamma,a) = \int \cdots \int |(1|\rho|2)|^{2} \exp(-a^{2}q_{12}^{2})d\mathbf{r}_{1}d\mathbf{r}_{2}
$$

$$
= \gamma^{-3}\left[\gamma^{2} + 2a^{2}\gamma\right]^{-7/2}\left[4\gamma^{2} + 16\gamma a^{2} + 31a_{4}^{4}\right]. \quad (3-3b)
$$

while V_s multiplies the tensor components: TABLE IV. Density matrices for the tensor operator described by Eq. (2-8). All absent elements vanish.

Operator	Density matrix element
$\frac{\frac{1}{4}(1-\tau_1\cdot\tau_2)C_{12}}{\frac{1}{4}(1-\tau_1\cdot\tau_2)C_{21}}$ $\frac{1}{4}(3+\tau_1\cdot\tau_2)C_{12}$ $\frac{1}{4}(3+\tau_1\cdot\tau_2)C_{21}$ $\frac{1}{16}(1-\tau_1\cdot\tau_2)(1-\tau_1\cdot\tau_3)C_{312}$ $\frac{1}{16}(1-\tau_1\cdot\tau_2)(1-\tau_1\cdot\tau_3)C_{132}$ $\frac{1}{16}(3+\tau_1\cdot \tau_2)(3+\tau_1\cdot \tau_3)C_{312}$ $\frac{1}{16}(3+\tau_1\cdot\tau_2)(3+\tau_1\cdot\tau_3)C_{132}$ $\frac{1}{16}(1-\tau_1\cdot\tau_2)(1-\tau_3\cdot\tau_4)C_{4321}$ $\frac{1}{16}(1-\tau_1\cdot\tau_2)(1-\tau_3\cdot\tau_4)C_{4312}$ $\frac{1}{16}(3+\tau_1\cdot\tau_2)(3+\tau_3\cdot\tau_4)C_{4321}$ $\frac{1}{16}(3+\tau_1\cdot\tau_2)(3+\tau_3\cdot\tau_4)C_{4312}$	12 12 4 -- 4 -12 -12 4 -- 4 12 12

Then

$$
V_{00} = \frac{1}{2} J_R \{ 16 \mathbb{U}_1(1,\beta) - 4 \mathbb{U}_2(1,\beta) \} + \frac{1}{2} (3 J_0 + 3 J_1) \{ \mathbb{U}_1(1,\alpha) + \mathbb{U}_2(1,\alpha) \} + \frac{1}{2} (J_2 + 9 J_3) \{ \mathbb{U}_1(1,\alpha) - \mathbb{U}_2(1,\alpha) \}, \quad (3-3c)
$$

and $(MV)_{00}$ is easily obtained from Eq. (2-16). The matrix elements $(VeV)_{00}$ involve the integrals

$$
I_{ij}(a,b) = \int \cdots \int (1, 2 | \rho^2 \exp[-a^2 q_{12}^2] \exp[-\lambda H_{osc}(1) - \lambda H_{osc}(2)] \exp[-b^2 q_{12}^2]
$$

\n
$$
\times \exp[\lambda H_{osc}(1) + \lambda H_{osc}(2)] | i, j) d q_1 d q_2, \quad (3-4a)
$$

\n
$$
I_{ijk}(a,b) = \int \cdots \int (1, 2, 3 | \rho^3 \exp[\lambda H_{osc}(1) + \lambda H_{osc}(2)] \exp[-a^2 q_{12}^2] \exp[-\lambda H_{osc}(1)]
$$

\n
$$
\times \exp[-b^2 q_{13}^2] \exp[-\lambda H_{osc}(2)] | i, j, k) d q_1 d q_2 d q_3, \quad (3-4b)
$$

\n
$$
I_{ijkl}(a,b) = \int \cdots \int (1, 2, 3, 4 | \rho^4 \exp[\lambda H_{osc}(1) + \lambda H_{osc}(2)] \exp[-a^2 q_{12}^2 - b^2 q_{34}^2]
$$

$$
\times \exp[-\lambda H_{\text{osc}}(1) - \lambda H_{\text{osc}}(2)] | i, j, k, l] d\mathbf{q}_{1} \cdots d\mathbf{q}_{4}, \quad (3-4c)
$$

$$
T_{ij}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} (k/2\pi g)^{3} \int \cdots \int (1, 2 | \rho^{2} \exp[-\alpha^{2} (q_{12}^{2} + v_{12}^{2})] [(\mathbf{q}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{12})^{2} - \frac{1}{3} q_{12}^{2} v_{12}^{2}] \exp[\lambda H_{\text{osc}}(1')
$$

$$
+ \lambda H_{\text{osc}}(2') \right] | i', j') \exp[-(\frac{1}{2}g)(q_1^2 + v_1^2 - 2kq_1 \cdot v_1 + q_2^2 + v_2^2 - 2kq_2 \cdot v_2)] d\mathbf{q}_1 d\mathbf{q}_2 d\mathbf{v}_1 d\mathbf{v}_2, \quad (3-4d)
$$

10 S. Gartenhaus, Phys. Rev. 100, 900 (1955).

679

$$
T_{ijk}(\alpha) = (k/2\pi g)^{\frac{3}{2}} \int \cdots \int (1, 2, 3 \mid \rho^3 \exp[\lambda H_{\text{osc}}(1) + \lambda H_{\text{osc}}(2)] \exp[-\alpha^2 q_{12}^2 - \alpha^2 (\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{q}_3)^2]
$$

\n
$$
\times \{[q_{12} \cdot (\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{q}_3)]^2 - \frac{1}{3} q_{12}^2 (\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{q}_3)^2 \} \exp[-\lambda H_{\text{osc}}(2)] \mid i, j, k \} \exp[-(\frac{1}{2}g)(q_1^2 + v_1^2 - 2k\mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1)]
$$

\n
$$
\times d\mathbf{q}_1 d\mathbf{q}_2 d\mathbf{q}_3 d\mathbf{v}_1, (3-4e)
$$

\n
$$
T_{ijkl}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \int \cdots \int (1, 2, 3, 4 \mid \rho^4 \exp[\lambda H_{\text{osc}}(1) + \lambda H_{\text{osc}}(2)] \exp[-\alpha^2 (q_{12}^2 + q_{34}^2)][(\mathbf{q}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{q}_{34})^2 - \frac{1}{3} q_{12}^2 q_{34}^2]
$$

\n
$$
\times \exp[-\lambda H_{\text{osc}}(1) - \lambda H_{\text{osc}}(2)] \mid i, j, k, l] d\mathbf{q}_1 \cdots d\mathbf{q}_4.
$$
 (3-4f)

The contributions to $(VeV)_{00}$ may now be written down quite generally for any closed oscillator shell, employing the σ and τ space evaluations given in Tables I-IV:

$$
(V_{\mathcal{C}}e^{-\lambda H_0}V_{\mathcal{C}})_{00}+e^{-\lambda E_0}|(V_{\mathcal{C}})_{00}|^2
$$

\n
$$
=e^{-\lambda E_0}\{(1/2)\left[3(J_0^2+J_1^2)+J_2^2+9J_3^2\right]\left[I_{12}(\alpha,\alpha)-2I_{132}(\alpha,\alpha)+I_{4321}(\alpha,\alpha)\right]+(1/2)\left[3(J_0^2+J_1^2)-J_2^2-9J_3^2\right]
$$

\n
$$
\times\left[I_{21}(\alpha,\alpha)-2I_{312}(\alpha,\alpha)+I_{4312}(\alpha,\alpha)\right]+(1/4)\left[3(J_0+J_1)+J_2+9J_3\right]^2\left[I_{123}(\alpha,\alpha)-I_{1324}(\alpha,\alpha)\right]
$$

\n
$$
+(1/4)\left[3(J_0+J_1)-J_2-9J_3\right]^2\left[I_{231}(\alpha,\alpha)-I_{2413}(\alpha,\alpha)\right]+(1/2)\left[3(J_0+J_1)+J_2+9J_3\right]
$$

\n
$$
\times\left[3(J_0+J_1)-J_2-9J_3\right]\left[I_{213}(\alpha,\alpha)-I_{1423}(\alpha,\alpha)\right], \quad (3-5a)
$$

\n
$$
(V_{R}e^{-\lambda H_0}V_{R})_{00}+e^{-\lambda E_0}|(V_{R})_{00}|^2
$$

$$
=e^{-\lambda E_0}J_R^3(64[I_{123}(\beta,\beta)-I_{1324}(\beta,\beta)]-32[I_{213}(\beta,\beta)-I_{1423}(\beta,\beta)]+8[I_{12}(\beta,\beta)-2I_{132}(\beta,\beta)-I_{4321}(\beta,\beta)]+4[I_{231}(\beta,\beta)-I_{2413}(\beta,\beta)]-2[I_{21}(\beta,\beta)-2I_{312}(\beta,\beta)-I_{4312}(\beta,\beta)]\}, (3-5b)=J_Re^{-\lambda E_0}\{(1/2)[3(J_0+J_1)+J_2+9J_3][I_{12}(\alpha,\beta)-2I_{132}(\alpha,\beta)+I_{4321}(\alpha,\beta)]+(1/2)[3(J_0+J_1)-J_2-9J_3]\times[I_{21}(\alpha,\beta)-2I_{312}(\alpha,\beta)+I_{4312}(\alpha,\beta)]+4[3(J_0+J_1)+J_2+9J_3][I_{123}(\alpha,\beta)-I_{1324}(\alpha,\beta)]-[3(J_0+J_1)-J_2-9J_3][I_{231}(\alpha,\beta)-I_{2413}(\alpha,\beta)]+4[3(J_0+J_1)-J_2-9J_3][I_{213}(\alpha,\beta)-I_{2314}(\alpha,\beta)]-[3(J_0+J_1)-J_2-9J_3][I_{231}(\alpha,\beta)-I_{2413}(\alpha,\beta)]+4[3(J_0+J_1)-J_2-9J_3][I_{213}(\alpha,\beta)-I_{2314}(\alpha,\beta)]-[3(J_0+J_1)+J_2+9J_3][I_{321}(\alpha,\beta)-I_{1423}(\alpha,\beta)]\}, (3-5c)
$$

$$
=4e^{-\lambda E_0}\alpha^4\{(J_s^2+3J_A^2)\big[T_{12}(\alpha)-T_{132}(\alpha)+T_{4321}(\alpha)\big]+(J_s^2-3J_A^2)\big[T_{21}(\alpha)-T_{312}(\alpha)+T_{4312}(\alpha)\big]\}.\quad(3-5d)
$$

Explicit evaluation of the space integrals appears to be rather arduous, but labor is minimized by noting that one needs only the integral

$$
\int \cdots \int \exp\biggl[-\sum_{i,j=1}^{4} c_{ij} \mathbf{r}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}_j\biggr] d\mathbf{r}_1 \cdots d\mathbf{r}_4 = \frac{\pi^6}{\bigl[\det|c_{ij}\bigr]\bigl]^{\frac{3}{2}}},\tag{3-6}
$$

and various combinations of its derivatives with respect to the c_{ij} . For O^{16} the integrals are (we let $t=e^{-2\mu}$):

$$
I_{12}(a,b) = C_{1}^{8}\{4+3C_{1}^{2}[4+a^{2}b^{2}(1/t^{2}+8+t^{2})]+15C_{1}^{4}[a^{4}+b^{4}-4a^{4}b^{4}-4a^{2}b^{2}t^{2}(a^{2}+b^{2}-a^{2}b^{2}t^{2})]\},
$$
\n
$$
I_{132}(a,b) = C_{2}^{8}\{4+3C_{2}^{2}[4+a^{2}b^{2}(1/t^{2}+4)]+15C_{2}^{4}[a^{4}+b^{4}+a^{2}b^{2}(a^{2}+b^{2})]+105C_{2}^{6}a^{4}b^{4}(1+a^{2})(1+b^{2})t^{2}\},
$$
\n
$$
I_{4321}(a,b) = C_{3}^{8}\{4+3C_{3}^{2}[4+a^{2}b^{2}(t^{2}+1/t^{2})]+15C_{3}^{4}[a^{4}+b^{4}+a^{2}b^{2}(2a^{2}+2b^{2}+3a^{2}b^{2})]\},
$$
\n
$$
I_{21}(a,b) = C_{1}^{8}\{4+3C_{1}^{2}[a^{2}b^{2}(1/t^{2}+8+t^{2})]+15C_{1}^{4}[a^{4}+b^{4}-4a^{4}b^{4}-4a^{2}b^{2}t^{2}(a^{2}+b^{2}-a^{2}b^{2}t^{2})]\},
$$
\n
$$
I_{312}(a,b) = C_{2}^{8}\{4+3C_{2}^{2}[a^{2}b^{2}(1/t^{2}+4)]+15C_{2}^{4}[a^{4}+b^{4}+a^{2}b^{2}(a^{2}+b^{2})]+105C_{2}^{6}a^{4}b^{4}(1+a^{2})(1+b^{2})t^{2}\},
$$
\n
$$
I_{4312}(a,b) = C_{3}^{8}\{4+3C_{3}^{2}[a^{2}b^{2}(t^{2}+1/t^{2})]+15C_{3}^{4}[a^{4}+b^{4}+a^{2}b^{2}(2a^{2}+2b^{2}+3a^{2}b^{2})]\},
$$
\n
$$
I_{123}(a,b) = C_{3}^{8}\{4+3C_{2}^{2}[a^{2}b^{2}(t^{2}+1/t^{2})]+15C
$$

$$
I_{2413}(a,b) = C_3^8 \{4+3C_3^2 \left[4a^2b^2\right]+15C_3^4 \left[a^2b^2(3a^2+3b^2+9a^2b^2)+a^4+b^4\right]\},
$$
\n
$$
I_{213}(a,b) = I_{321}(b,a) = C_2^8 \{16+3C_2^2 \left[-8a^2-7a^2b^2\right]+15C_2^4 \left[a^2b^2(-1+a^2+7b^2+5a^2b^2)+a^4+4b^4\right]-a^2b^2t^2(bb^2+5a^2b^2)\right]+105C_2^6a^4b^4(1+a^2)(1+b^2)t^2\},
$$
\n
$$
I_{2314}(a,b) = I_{1423}(b,a) = C_3^8 \{16+3C_3^2 \left[-8a^2-7a^2b^2\right]+15C_3 \left[a^2b^2(-1+a^2+7b^2+5a^2b^2)+a^4+4b^4\right]\},
$$
\n
$$
T_{12}(\alpha) = (5/2)C_1^7 \left[1/t^2+18+7t^2\right]+(35/2)C_1^9 \left[-8\alpha^2-6\alpha^4+6t^2-16\alpha^2t^2-12\alpha^4t^2+18\alpha^4t^4\right]+(315/2)C_1^{11}(8\alpha^4t^2)\left[(1+\alpha^2)^2-2t^2(1+\alpha^2)\alpha^2+t^4\right],
$$
\n
$$
I_{132}(\alpha) = (5/2)C_2^7 \left[2/t^2+28+6t^2\right]+(35/2)C_2^9 \left[-12\alpha^2-7\alpha^4+t^2(-5-8\alpha^2-13\alpha^4)\right]
$$
\n
$$
+ (315/2)C_2^{11}\{t^2\left[(1+\alpha^2)^2+\alpha^4\right]\times\left[(1+\alpha^2)^2+4\alpha^4\right]+t^4\left[(1+\alpha^2)^4-2\alpha^8\right]\}+ (3465/2)C_2^{18}t^4a^8(1+a^2)^2,
$$
\n
$$
T_{4312}(\alpha) = (5/2)C_3
$$

(In *T* terms
$$
a = b = \alpha
$$
.)

4. THE POTENTIAL

The interaction operator of Eq. (3-1) is simplified by assuming a Serber mixture:

$$
J_2 = J_3 = 0
$$
, $J_0 = J_1 = J_C$, $J_A = 0$. (4-1)

We then determine the five parameters J_c , J_B , J_s , r_0 , and R to give a reasonable representation of nuclei in the first s-shell. The values

$$
J_c = -58.65
$$
 Mev, $J_s = -107.29$ Mev,
\n $J_R = +189.75$ Mev,
\n $r_0 = 1.54 \times 10^{-18}$ cm = 1.54 fermis, (4-2)

and

$$
R=r_0/\sqrt{8}
$$

yield binding energies (by our second-order perturbation method')

$$
B.E.(H2) = 2.16 Mev, \t(4-3a)
$$

B.E.(H') = 8.⁴⁸ Mev, (4-3b)

$$
B.E. (He4) = 28.42 Mev, \t(4-3c)
$$

and an electric quadrupole moment of 2.72×10^{-27} cm² in H'. The resulting wave function for the three-body system yields a Coulomb energy difference in H^3 -He³ of 0.74 Mev, compared with an experimental value of 0.76 Mev. The D -state admixture in H^2 is computed to be 7% .

The charge distribution in the s-shell closely resembles a Gaussian shape since the zero-order wave function is Gaussian, however configuration mixing introduces deviations from a pure Gaussian distribution. The computed rms radii are: 1,92 fermis for H', 1.60 f for H^3 , and 1.46 f for He^4 ; in reasonable agreement with

experiments¹¹ performed on H^2 and He^4 . One must keep in mind, with regard to these latter results, that it is actually the size of the system that one varies to minimize the energy. The curve is very flat in the neighborhood of the eigenvalue, and consequently a small correction to the energy from higher orders in the perturbation expansion could result in much larger corrections to the sizes computed here.

The use of the second-order perturbation theory places some restrictions on our potential. For a sufficiently strong repulsive core the Jc^2 terms in second order would be dominant, and attractive. One must take care that the attractive terms from the core appearing in second order are much smaller than the zero-order repulsive effect.⁸ Ways to cope with a stronger core in a calculation such as this have been stronger core in a calculation such as this have been
discussed by Clark and Feenberg,12 and by Dabrowski.13

A two-body spin-orbit term in the potential would make zero contribution to the nuclei considered here (as long as one goes only to second-order terms), and consequently we have not considered such a term.

S. RESULTS FOR 0"

Employing the interaction operator of Sec. 4, and the matrix elements of Sec. 3, we have solved Eq. (1-4) for O^{16} . We obtain a binding energy of 129.2 Mev compared to an experimental value of 127.16 Mev. Agreement is not quite so good as it appears at first glance since we have neglected Coulomb forces which in O^{16} contribute nearly -14 Mev to the binding energy.

¹¹ R. Hofstadter, Annual Review of Nuclear Science (Annua Reviews, Inc., Palo Alto, 1957), Vol. 7, p. 231.
¹² J. W. Clark and E. Feenberg, Phys. Rev. 113, 388 (1959).
¹³ J. Dabrowski, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) A71, 658 (1958).

Consequently in this calculation one should shoot for a number near 141 Mev, and we are about 8% short.

The zero-order contribution to the binding energy is 87.8 Mev (that is $E_0 - \frac{3}{2}\hbar\omega = -87.8$ Mev, where $\hbar\omega=17.25$ Mev). The tensor force provides an additional contribution of 36.4 Mev in the second order, and the remaining 5.0 Mev comes from the remaining central terms in second order. It is interesting that the second-order effects of the central terms are so slight. This appears to be at least partly due to the fact that these terms are "smothered" by the larger contribution of the tensor force.

The rms radius is calculated to be 2.33 fermis; a bit The rms radius is calculated to be 2.33 fermis; a bit too small for the experimental value of 2.64 fermis.^{11,14} Here again the charge distribution is in zero order simply the oscillator function for the first ϕ shell.

We find that $N^2-1=0.22$, so that mixing of configurations higher than the zero order is

$$
(N^2-1)/N^2 = 0.18,\t(5-1)
$$

or about 18% . This means that the simple oscillator shell-model^{15,16} wave function comprises about 82% of our eigenstate. The small coniguration mixing is

¹⁴ H. F. Ehrenberg *et al.* Phys. Rev. 113, 666 (1959).
¹⁵ M. G. Mayer, Phys. Rev. **75**, 1969 (1949).

¹⁶ Haxel, Jensen, and Suess, Ergeb. exakt. Naturw. 26, 244 (1952).

especially interesting in light of the fact that our potential yields a 7% D-state admixture in H², and hence appears to overrate configuration mixing.

The overshoot in radius can possibly be traced back to the fact that we are forced to use a repulsive core in this the fact that we are forced to use a repulsive core in
calculation which is weak by modern standards.^{10,17,1}

The procedure described in this paper is currently being applied to Ca^{40} and O^{17} .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

It is a pleasure to thank Professor Eugene Feenberg for considerable advice concerning the initial phases of this work while the author was a research associate at Washington University.

Some of the numerical work was programmed on the IBM-650 at the Statistical Laboratory of Iowa State College, Ames, Iowa. The author would like to thank Professor H. 0. Hartley for his assistance in this analysis.

We have received a great deal of valuable critism and important comments from Professor Saul Epstein and Professor Herbert Jehle.

Thanks are also due Mr. Joseph Dreitlein for checking many of the space integrals.

¹⁷ J. L. Gammel and R. M. Thaler, Phys. Rev. 107, 1337 (1957). ¹⁸ P. S. Signell and R. E. Marshak, Phys. Rev. 106, 832 (1957).