## Errata

Ferromagnetic and Antiferromagnetic Curie Temperatures, H. A. BROWN AND J. M. LUTTINGER [Phys. Rev. 100, 685 (1955)]. A calculational error in the published value of  $kT_c/J$  for the body-centered cubic lattice with spin 1 found by the Bethe-Peierls-Weiss method has been corrected. The corrected values are  $kT_c/J=8.66$  and 8.96 for the ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic lattices, respectively, for which the values given in the above reference are 8.72 and 9.03. This correction still is far from Weiss's earlier result<sup>1</sup> and the recent remarks of Van Vleck<sup>2</sup> as to the doubtfulness of that result still apply.

Thanks are given to J. S. Smart, who found the error and to D. F. Morgan, who calculated the correct result. Since the error involved using incorrect values of  $w(S_1)$  [see Eq. (19) of the above], only this particular case is affected.

<sup>2</sup> J. H. Van Vleck, J. phys. radium 20, 124 (1959).

Effective Mass of Electrons in Gallium Arsenide, L. C. BARCUS, A. PERLMUTTER, AND J. CALLAWAY [Phys. Rev. 111, 167 (1958)]. A recent redetermination of the carrier concentration in the sample of GaAs used in the measurement of the index of refraction gave  $N=1.05\times10^{18}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> (instead of  $6.9\times10^{17}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> previously reported). Consequently, the computed effective-mass ratio is  $m^*/m=0.065$ (instead of 0.043). We are indebted to Dr. Emil Arnold of Sylvania Laboratories for making this measurement.

Scattering of Protons from Helium and Level Parameters in Li<sup>5</sup>, PHILIP D. MILLER AND G. C. PHILLIPS [Phys. Rev. 112, 2043 (1958)]. The values of k used for the dispersion-theory analysis of the phase shifts are incorrect by a constant factor due to a numerical error. The figures and conclusions are correct, however, if all radii and reduced widths are multiplied by 1.177. This requires  $\theta_p^2$  in Table VI to be multiplied by 1.39. The corrected Table VI now reads:

TABLE VI. Parameters for the first two states of Li<sup>5</sup> as given by the scattering of protons from He<sup>4</sup>, using an interaction radius of  $3.1 \times 10^{-13}$  cm.

Exci- tation energy (Mev)	Spin (ħ)	Parity #	(Mev-cm) $\gamma p^2$	$\theta_p^2$	$(E_{ m res.})_{ m lab}$ (Mev)	$(E_{\lambda})_{e.m}$ . (Mev)
0	32		14.1	0.55	2.6	3.9
8.6	$\frac{1}{2}$		35.3	1.4	10.8	16.9

The authors are grateful to Dr. R. J. N. Phillips for pointing out this error. **General Relativity and Particle Dynamics,** L. H. THOMAS [Phys. Rev. **112**, 2129 (1958)]. In the first sentence of the second paragraph of the abstract the words "the product of two matrices . . ." should be replaced by "the product of a matrix and the Hermitian conjugate of a second . . ."

In the third sentence of the fourth-from-last paragraph of the paper, the words ". . . of the matrix product of two of them . . ." should be replaced by ". . . of the matrix product of one of them with the Hermitian conjugate of another . . ."

The second sentence in the third-from-last paragraph should be changed to read: "It is an infinitesimal unitary transformation of the larger space if  $A_r$  and  $B_r$  are Hermitian, and it further maintains the Hermitian nature of F if it has the form

$$F' = F + \iota \epsilon \sum_{r} (A_r F B_r - B_r F A_r), \qquad (4.2)$$

where  $A_r$  and  $B_r$  are Hermitian, while any transformation which does this can be reduced to this form."

The next-to-last and last paragraph should be replaced by the following :

"Any infinitesimal transformation of classical mechanics can be put in the form, with  $A_s$  and  $B_r$  dynamical variables,

$$F' = F + \epsilon \sum_{r,s} B_r(A_s, F), \qquad (4.4)$$

where (A,F) means the Poisson bracket, and the condition it has a unit multiplier is

$$\sum_{r,s} (A_s, B_r) = 0.$$
 (4.5)

We may regard the form, with  $A_s$  and  $B_r$  Hermitian matrices,

$$F' = F + \frac{2\pi}{\iota h} \frac{\epsilon}{4} \sum_{r,s} \left\{ (B_r A_s + A_s B_r) F + 2A_s F B_r - F(B_r A_s + A_s B_r) - 2B_r F A_s \right\}, \quad (4.6)$$

subject to

$$\sum_{r,s} (A_s B_r - B_r A_s) = 0, \qquad (4.7)$$

as a quantization of the classical transformation: it is of the form (4.2) with (4.3). Moreover it can be written

$$F' = F + \frac{2\pi}{\iota h} \frac{\epsilon}{2} \sum_{r,s} \left\{ B_r (A_s F - F A_s) + (A_s F - F A_s) B_r \right\}, \quad (4.8)$$

and, as in the classical case, any dynamical variable commuting with each of  $A_s$  is invariant for this transformation.

The Einstein theory of Sec. 3 can be at once quantized if the operators for the homogeneous

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> P. R. Weiss, Phys. Rev. 74, 1493 (1948).