Ratio of the Gamow-Teller and Fermi Coupling Constants Determined from ft Values*

O. C. KISTNER AND B. M. RUSTAD

Columbia University, New York, New York, and Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York

(Received January 9, 1959)

A comparison is made of the ratios of the squares of the Gamow-Teller and Fermi coupling constants, $C_{\rm GT}^2/C_{\rm F}^2$, calculated from the latest experimental ft values and the theoretical matrix elements for the "doubly closed shell \pm one nucleon" mirror transitions and the 0^+-0^+ transitions. The ft value of the neutron derived from the recent half-life measurement of 11.7 ± 0.3 minutes leads to a value for $C_{\rm GT}^2/C_{\rm F}^2$ of 1.42 ± 0.06 , which is in agreement with the ratio obtained from the correlation coefficient for the betaparticle momentum and the spin direction of the decaying neutron. The ft value for the decay of H3 is also in good agreement with this ratio if the Gamow-Teller matrix element for this transition does not differ appreciably from that predicted by the individual particle model. The ft value of the heavier mirror nuclei, O¹⁵, F¹⁷ and Ca³⁹, are consistent with a considerably lower ratio. In particular, O¹⁵ and F¹⁷, for which the Gamow-Teller matrix elements are considered the most reliable because the magnetic moments of the daughter nuclei are especially close to the Schmidt limits, lead to a value for $C_{\rm GT}^2/C_{\rm F}^2$ of 1.16±0.05. These results are consistent with recent theoretical considerations which suggest that meson exchange effects may give rise to appreciable corrections in the calculation of the matrix elements.

HE ratio of the squares of the Gamow-Teller and Fermi coupling constants in beta decay, $C_{\rm GT}^2/C_{\rm F}^2$, as obtained from the ft values of the mirror transitions between "doubly closed shell±1 nucleon" nuclear configurations together with those of the 0^+-0^+ transitions, has been re-evaluated on the basis of the latest experimental information. This special group of mirror transitions is composed of the decays of n^1 , H^3 , O^{15} , F¹⁷, Ca³⁹, and Sc⁴¹. Analyses of this type have been the subject of several papers¹⁻⁶ where $C_{\rm GT}^2/C_{\rm F}^2$ is assumed to be a constant for all beta transitions and is derived from experimental ft values by means of the conventional expression

$$2\pi^3 \ln 2 = (ft) \left[C_{\mathbf{F}^2} \left| \int \mathbf{1} \right|^2 + C_{\mathbf{GT}^2} \left| \int \mathbf{\sigma} \right|^2 \right].$$

The analysis is limted to special cases of superallowed transitions for which the matrix elements can be calculated with some confidence. It has been suggested in several papers⁶⁻⁸ that it may not be possible to obtain agreement between the *ft* values of the various transitions with the conventional theory of the betadecay interaction in which mesonic exchange effects are neglected. The previous analyses, however, were limited because precise *ft* values were not available for many of the transitions.

values for O¹⁵ and Ca³⁹, we reinvestigated these transitions.^{9,10} Because the major contribution to the error of the O^{15} ft value came from the uncertainty in the half-life, this quantity has been remeasured. The isotope was made by the reaction $C^{12}(\alpha,n)O^{15}$, with α -particles from the Brookhaven 60-inch cyclotron. Absorbers were used to degrade the beam energy to below the $(\alpha, 2n)$ threshold. Reactor graphite, grade A-A, was used for the target. The decay was observed at several energies with a thin-lens beta spectrometer and a stilbene scintillation detector, and recorded with a pen oscillograph. The apparatus was the same as that described for the investigation¹⁰ of the Ca³⁹ decay. The results of measurements made at 0.5, 0.9, and 1.4 Mev, after subtraction of a weak, long-lived background, give a half-life of 124.1 ± 0.5 seconds, where the error is the external standard deviation. This result is in excellent agreement with the value of 123.95 ± 0.50 seconds recently reported by Penning and Schmidt.¹¹ Accurate data on the other transitions, with the exception of Sc⁴¹, have recently been reported by other investigators. The experimental data and other pertinent information for these transitions are listed in Tables I and II.

The Fermi matrix elements required for this analysis can be calculated with the single assumption of charge independence of nuclear forces.¹² For the 0+-0+ transitions, these matrix elements have the value of 2; and since these transitions obey only the Fermi selection rules, the ft values for this group are expected to be equal. The well-known fact that the *ft* values of these transitions are in excellent agreement⁴ with this prediction indicates that the Fermi matrix element is very nearly a constant, independent of the A or Z of the nucleus. The three most accurately measured 0+-0+

To resolve the discrepancies in the published ft

^{*} Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

¹ A. Winther and O. Kofoed-Hansen, Kgl. Danske Videnskab. ¹ A. Winther and O. Kofoed-Hansen, Kgl. Danske Videnskab.
Selskab, Mat-fys. Medd. 27, No. 14 (1953).
² O. Kofoed-Hansen and A. Winther, Kgl. Danske Videnskab.
Selskab, Mat-fys. Medd. 30, No. 20 (1956).
³ G. L. Trigg, Phys. Rev. 86, 506 (1952).
⁴ J. B. Gerhart, Phys. Rev. 109, 897 (1958).
⁵ B. M. Blatt, Phys. Rev. 89, 83 (1953).
⁶ B. L. Einkelstein and S. A. Moezkowski Phys. Rev. 95 1695

⁶ R. J. Finkelstein and S. A. Moszkowski, Phys. Rev. 95, 1695 (1954). ⁷ J. S. Bell and B. J. Blin-Stoyle, Nuclear Phys. 6, 87 (1958). ⁷ Warabachi and Miyazawa, Progr. Theore

⁸ Fujita, Matumoto, Kuroboshi, and Miyazawa, Progr. Theoret.

Phys. Japan 20, 308 (1958).

⁹Kistner, Schwarzschild, Rustad, and Alburger, Phys. Rev. 105, 1339 (1957).

¹⁰ O. C. Kistner and B. M. Rustad, Phys. Rev. 112, 1972 (1958). J. R. Penning and F. H. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. 105, 647 (1957).
 ¹⁹ E. P. Wigner, Phys. Rev. 56, 519 (1939).

| Transition | Half-life | $E_{\max}(\mathrm{kev})$ | Type of meas. | fla |
|--|--|--|---|----------------------------|
| ${\mathop{\rm O{}} olimits}{{ m O{}}^{14}(eta^+){ m N{}}^{14*}}{ m Al{}^{26*}(eta^+){ m Mg{}}^{26}}$ | 72.5 $\pm 0.5 \text{ sec}^{\text{b}}$ 6.60 $\pm 0.06 \text{ sec}^{\text{d}}$ (6.5 $\pm 0.1 \text{ sec})^{\text{f},\text{g}}$ | $1810\pm8^{\circ}$ $3202\pm10^{\circ}$ $(3200\pm50)^{g,h}$ | $O^{12}(\text{He}^3, n)O^{14}$ thres. $Mg^{26}(p, n)A^{26*}$ thres. (Mag. lens) | $3106\pm 62 \\ 3120\pm 53$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{34}(eta^+)\mathrm{S}^{34}$ | $1.53 \pm 0.02 \text{ sec}^{i}$ $(1.58 \pm 0.05 \text{ sec})^{g,k}$ | 4500 ± 30^{j} $(4500\pm30)^{g,1}$ | Mag. lens $(S^{33}(p,\gamma)Cl^{34})$ | 3164 ± 110 |
| | | (, | Average= | 3120 ± 46 |

TABLE I. Data for the $0^+ - 0^+$ transitions.

a Calculated from the "f function" tables of S. A. Moszkowski and K. M. Jantzen, University of California at Los Angeles Technical Report UCAL-¹⁰ Calculated from the ') function reades of S. A. Moszkowski and K. M. Janzen, Onversity of Camorna at Los Angeles Technical Report OCAL-10-26-55 (unpublished). ¹⁰ J. B. Gerhart, Phys. Rev. 100, 945 (1955), $t=72.1\pm0.4$ sec; Sherr, Gerhart, Horie, and Hornyak, Phys. Rev. 100, 945 (1955). Branching=99.4±0.1%. ¹⁰ Bromley, Almquist, Gove, Litherland, Paul, and Ferguson, Phys. Rev. 105, 957 (1957).

d See reference 4.
Kington, Bair, Cohn, and Willard, Phys. Rev. 99, 1393 (1955).
Haslem, Roberts, and Robb, Can. J. Phys. 32, 361 (1954).
The values in parentheses are supporting data which were not used in the calculation of *ft*.
Kavanagh, Mills, and Sherr, Phys. Rev. 97, 248 (1955).
R. M. Kline and D. J. Zafferano, Phys. Rev. 96, 1620 (1954).
D. Green and J. R. Richardson, Phys. Rev. 101, 776 (1956).
P. Stahelin, Helv. Phys. Act 26, 691 (1958).
C. Van der Leun, thesis (unpublished). See reference 4.

TABLE II. Data for the "doubly closed shell±1 nucleon" mirror transitions.

| Transition | Half-life | $E_{\max}(\mathrm{kev})$ | Type of meas. | ft | $ \int \sigma ^2$ single particle | $ \int \sigma ^2$ μ corrected | $C_{\rm GT}^2/C_{\rm F}^2$ |
|---|---|--|---|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| $n^1(\beta^-)\mathrm{H}^1$ | 11.7 $\pm 0.3 \min^{a}$ | 782 ± 1^{b} (7823 ± 1.0) ^{d,e} | $H^{3}(p,n)He^{3}$ thres. (React cycles) | 1187±35° | 3 | 3 | 1.42 ± 0.06 |
| $H^3(\beta^-)He^3$ | $12.262 \pm 0.004 \text{ yr}^{\text{f}}$ | 18.65 ± 0.20^{g} | H ³ -He ³ mass dif. | $1132 \pm 40^{\circ}$ | 3 | 3.62 ^h | 1.25 ± 0.06 |
| $\mathrm{O}^{15}(eta^+)\mathrm{N}^{15}$ | $\begin{array}{rrr} 124.1 & \pm 0.5 \text{ sec}^{i} \\ (123.95 & \pm 0.50 \text{ sec})^{e,1} \end{array}$ | 1739 ± 2^{j} (1736 \pm 10) ^{e,m,n} | $N^{15}(p,n)O^{15}$ thres. (Mag. lens) | 4475 ± 30^{k} | 0.333 | 0.350 | 1.13 ± 0.07 |
| $F^{17}(\beta^+)O^{17}$ | $66.0 \pm 0.5 \text{ sec}^{\circ}$ | $1748 \pm 6^{n,p}$ | Mag. lens | 2381 ± 40^{k} | 1.400 | 1.373 | 1.18 ± 0.04 |
| . , | $(66.0 \pm 1.8 \text{ sec})^{e,p}$ | (1745± 6) ^{e,q} | (React cycles) | | | | |
| Ca ³⁹ (β ⁺)K ³⁹ | $0.88 \pm 0.01 \text{ sec}^{r}$ $(0.876 \pm 0.012 \text{ sec})^{e,s}$ | $5490\pm25^{n,r}$ $(5430\pm60)^{e,t}$ | Mag. lens (180° mag. spect) | 4320 ± 100^{k} | 0.60 | 0.39 | 1.13 ± 0.12 |

* See reference 15.
b Taschek, Argo, Hemmendinger, and Jarvis, Phys. Rev. 76, 325 (1949).
• Calculated by graphical integration from the *Tables for the Analysis of Beta Spectra*, National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series No. 13 (U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C.).
* Li, Whaling, Fowler, and Lauritsen, Phys. Rev. 83, 512 (1951).
• The values in parenthesis are supporting data which were not used in the calculation of *ft*.
* W. M. Jones, Phys. Rev. 100, 124 (1955).
* L. Friedman and L. G. Smith, Phys. Rev. 109, 2214 (1958).
* Average value taken from the results of the H^s and He^s magnetic moments.
i Present work.
i Lidofsky, Weil, and Jones, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. Ser. II, 2, 182 (1957).
* Calculated from the "f function" tables of S. A. Moszkowski and K. M. Jantzen, University of California at Los Angeles Technical Report UCAL-10-26-55 (unpublished).
¹ See reference 10.
* See reference 8.

¹ See reference 10.
^m See reference 8.
ⁿ This reference supplies evidence that the beta spectrum is simple.
^o Von L. Koester, Z. Naturforsch. 9A, 104 (1954).
^e Calvin Wong, Phys. Rev. 95, 765 (1954).
^g C. W. Li, Phys. Rev. 88, 1038 (1952).
^r See reference 9.
^g J. E. Cline and P. R. Chagnon, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. Ser. II, 3, 206 (1958).
^t J. A. Welch, Jr., and R. Wallace, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. Ser. II, 3, 206 (1958).

transitions are listed in Table I. Small order corrections to the Fermi matrix elements of the 0^+-0^+ transitions due to Coulomb and relativistic effects have been investigated by MacDonald13 and were shown to be less than approximately 1% for the vector interaction in the particular cases of O¹⁴ and Cl³⁴. For the mirror transitions, the Fermi matrix element is 1.

The calculation of the Gamow-Teller matrix element requires a nuclear model. The applicability of the singleparticle model for the "doubly closed shell±1 nucleon" mirror transitions, as suggested by shell theory, is substantiated by the fact that the experimental magnetic moments of the daughter nuclei are relatively close to the Schmidt limits. Several methods have been devised for correcting the single-particle model for

¹³ W. M. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. 110, 1420 (1958).

coupling of the odd particle to the core of the nucleus,^{1,3,14} which express the G-T matrix elements in terms of the experimental magnetic moments.

When the single-particle G-T matrix elements are corrected according to the magnetic moment method¹ of Winther and Kofoed-Hansen, which is essentially an interpolation between the Schmidt limits, the ft values of H³, O¹⁵, F¹⁷, Ca³⁹ together with the average ft of the 0+-0+ transitions, are consistent within experimental error with a unique value of $C_{\rm GT}^2/C_{\rm F}^2$, as may be seen in Table II. Both the single-particle and the magnetic moment corrected G-T matrix elements, and the values of $C_{\rm GT}^2/C_{\rm F}^2$ corresponding to the latter are listed for each transition. The above interpolation

¹⁴G. Mayer and J. H. Jensen, Elementary Theory of Nuclear Shell Structure (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York 1955), p. 176.

method, however, does not consider possible contributions from exchange moments^{15,16} to the deviations from the Schmidt limits.

For the decays of O¹⁵ and F¹⁷, the experimental magnetic moments are very close to the Schmidt limits; and the corrections to the single-particle matrix elements, as shown in Table II, are correspondingly small, namely 5% and 2%, respectively. If the singleparticle approximation is applicable to these nuclei to the extent indicated by the experimental magnetic moments, then the matrix elements for O¹⁵ and F¹⁷ should be reliable. The weighted average of $C_{\rm GT}^2/C_{\rm F}^2$ for these two transitions together with the $0^{+}-0^{+}$ transitions is 1.16 ± 0.05 . The error is derived from the quoted errors of the *ft* values and the small magnetic moment corrections, which are taken as estimated errors in the theoretical matrix elements.

This analysis may be illustrated by a type of graph first used by Blatt⁵ which is based on the following form of the above equation,

$$y = C_{\rm F}^2 + (C_{\rm GT}^2 - C_{\rm F}^2)x,$$

where $y = 2\pi^3 \ln 2/ft(|\int 1|^2 + |\int \sigma|^2)$ and $x = |\int \sigma|^2/ft(|\int 1|^2 + |\int \sigma|^2)$ $(| \int \sigma |^2 + | \int 1 |^2)$. In Fig. 1, two points are plotted for each of the mirror transitions; the open and solid points correspond to the values of x and y calculated from the single particle and the magnetic moment corrected values of the G-T matrix elements, respectively, as listed in Table II.

From the beta decay of the neutron, a clearly different value is obtained for $C_{\rm GT}^2/C_{\rm F}^2$. The ft value of this transition, calculated from the recently reported half-life measurement¹⁷ of 11.7 ± 0.3 minutes, when combined with the average ft of the 0⁺-0⁺ transitions, vields a value for the ratio of 1.42 ± 0.06 . This higher value is supported by the recent measurement¹⁸ of the correlation coefficient between the beta-particle momentum and the neutron spin for the decay of the neutron, $A = -0.11 \pm 0.02$. With the assumption of time reversal invariance, this result gives a value for the ratio of 1.56 ± 0.14 , independently of ft value measurements. For the case of $H^3(\beta^-)He^3$, following the assumption of Villars,¹⁵ most of the deviation of the magnetic moments from the Schmidt limits can be accounted for successfully by exchange moments. On this basis, the G-T matrix element for this transition would be nearer to the single particle value of 3, in



FIG. 1. Plot of $y = C_F^2 + (C_{GT}^2 - C_F^2)x$ for the "doubly closed shell±one nucleon" mirror transitions, the 0⁺-0⁺ transitions shell±one nucleon" mirror transitions, the 0⁺.0⁺ transitions and the He⁶(β^-)Li⁶ transition, where $y=2\pi^3 \ln 2/ft(|\int 1|^2+|\int \sigma|^2)$ and $x=|\int \sigma|^2(|\int 1|^2+|\int \sigma|^2)$. The line for the ratio, $C_{\rm GT}^2/C_{\rm F}^2$ =1.16, corresponds to the 0⁺.0⁺ transitions and n^1 ; and the line for 1.42 corresponds to the 0⁺.0⁺ transitions and n^1 ; and the line for 1.56 corresponds to the 0⁺.0⁺ transitions and the electron line for 1.56 corresponds to the 0^+-0^+ transitions and the electronmomentum, neutron-spin correlation coefficient, A, for the decay of the neutron. The scale on the extreme right gives the value of $C_{\rm GT}^2/C_{\rm F}^2$ corresponding to the y intercept at x=1.

agreement with the prediction by Blatt,¹⁹ and the ftvalue²⁰ would be in better agreement with the value of $C_{\rm GT}^2/C_{\rm F}^2$ as determined from the neutron decay. In addition we have also included the superallowed transition, He⁶(β ⁻)Li⁶. This decay has an *ft* value²¹ of 808 ± 32 seconds and obeys only the G-T selection rules. The matrix element for the transition is estimated by Baz²² as 5.25 from an intermediate coupling model fitted to the magnetic moment of Li^6 ; pure L-S coupling gives the maximum individual particle value of 6. In Fig. 1, the solid and open points for He⁶ correspond to the values of 5.25 and 6, respectively, for the G-T matrix element. As can be seen, the ft of the He⁶ decay, on the basis of the estimated matrix element, agrees with the higher value of $C_{\rm GT}^2/C_{\rm F}^2$.

The ft values of the heavier nuclei, O¹⁵, F¹⁷, and possibly Ca³⁹, lead to a value for $C_{\rm GT}^2/C_{\rm F}^2$ which is approximately 20% smaller than that derived from the neutron decay. Bell and Blin-Stoyle7 have considered

¹⁵ Felix Villars, Helv. Phys. Acta 20, 476 (1947)

 ¹⁵ Felix Villars, Helv. Phys. Acta 20, 476 (1947).
 ¹⁶ J. S. Bell, Nuclear Phys. 4, 295 (1957); H. Miyazawa, Progr. Theoret. Phys. 6, 283 and 801 (1951); E. Kuraboshi and Y. Hara, Progr. Theoret. Phys. Japan 20, 163 (1958).
 ¹⁷ Sosnovskij, Spivak, Prokofiev, Kutikov, and Dobrynin (to be published), reported by M. Goldhaber at the 1958 Annual International Conference on High-Energy Physics at CERN, Geneva, 1958).
 ¹⁸ Burgy, Krohn, Novey, and Ringo, reported by M. Goldhaber at the 1958 Annual International Conference on High-Energy Physics at CERN Geneva, 1958.

Physics at CERN, Geneva, July, 1958, edited by B. Ferretti (CERN, Geneva, 1958).

¹⁹ John M. Blatt, Phys. Rev. 89, 86 (1953).

²⁰ Note added in proof.—A precise determination of the maximum beta energy of H³, 18.6±0.1 kev, has recently been made by F. T. Porter with a double-lens beta-ray spectrometer [Phys. Rev. (to be published)]. This value is in excellent agreement with the He^3-H^3 mass difference measurement of Friedman and Smith, Her-H^o mass unference measurement of Friedman and Smith, which is used in the present paper. These values are significantly higher than the average of previous measurements, 18.1 ± 0.2 kev, as given in the compilation of total beta disintegration energies by R. W. King, Revs. Modern Phys. 26, 327 (1954). ²¹ A. Z. Schwarzschild, Columbia University Report CU-167 AT30-1-GEN-72, thesis (unpublished). ²² A. L. Bag. Every the device SSC P. Sec. Etc. 10, 262

²² A. I. Baz, Ígvest. Akad, Nauk S.S.S.R. Ser. Fiz. 19, 363 (1955).

various possible nonmesonic effects in the calculations of the matrix elements and have concluded that they could probably not account for a deviation of this magnitude. Recent theoretical calculations by Fujita et al.,⁸ indicate that meson exchange effects between nucleons could reduce the strength of the G-T interaction in the beta decay of complex nuclei relative to that for a free nucleon by an amount comparable to the above deviation and may be responsible for a considerable part of this discrepancy.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank Professor W. W. Havens, Jr. for his interest and encouragement, and we are indebted to Dr. M. Morita and Dr. J. Weneser for their helpful discussions.

PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 114, NUMBER 5

JUNE 1, 1959

Analysis of Photonuclear Cross Sections*

A. S. PENFOLD, The Enrico Fermi Institute for Nuclear Studies, The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinoist

AND

J. E. LEISS, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C. (Received December 15, 1958)

The problem of obtaining a photonuclear cross section from a yield curve measured with a bremsstrahlung beam is discussed. This paper constitutes part of a larger report on the same subject containing numbers enabling cross-section analysis in the energy range 2 Mev to 1 Bev.

INTRODUCTION

ROSS sections of photon-induced nuclear processes ✓ are usually studied with the aid of a bremsstrahlung beam. Such radiation contains photons of all energies from zero to the kinetic energy of the initiating electrons, and so the desired cross section can seldom be measured directly. Instead, it must be deduced from an integral (bremsstrahlung) yield curve. The present report deals with the process of making this analysis.

Let a sample and a suitable monitor be simultaneously irradiated by a bremsstrahlung beam of maximum energy χ . If $N(\chi,k)$ is the number of photons of energy k (per unit range of k) which enter the sample per unit of monitor response, $\sigma(k)$ is the desired photo cross section in cm^2 per nucleus, and η_s is the number of nuclei of the appropriate type per cm² of sample, then, the number of reactions which occur per unit of monitor response, $\alpha(\chi)$, is given by the following integral:

$$\alpha(\chi) = \eta_s \int_0^\infty N(\chi, k) \sigma(k) dk.$$
 (1)

If the measurements are repeated for a series of values of χ then a series of points on the bremsstrahlung yield curve, $\alpha(\chi)$, are obtained. Each point is a measurement of the relative response of the monitor and the sample to the photon beam. Hence, if the response of the monitor is known, the cross section for the reaction can be deduced.

Equation (1) holds only if the sample is uniformly thick over the lateral extent of the beam. Otherwise, η_s represents the average thickness of the sample and due to the angular dependence of the radiation becomes a function of χ . The upper limit to the integral in Eq. (1) follows since by definition $N(\chi,k) \equiv 0$ for $k > \chi$.

Sometimes an energy dependent experimental bias will make it impossible to obtain the number of reactions per unit of monitor response directly from the measurements. Then, Eq. (1) must be modified by replacing $\sigma(k)$ by $G(k)\sigma(k)$, [where G(k) represents the experimental bias] and by suitably redefining $\alpha(\chi)$. The solution of Eq. (1) would then yield a value for $G(k)\sigma(k)$.

Various methods for obtaining a practical solution of Eq. (1) have been proposed in the literature.¹⁻⁶ The method to be discussed here is not essentially different from some which have been proposed,¹⁻⁴ but has the advantage of providing a clear insight into the problem and of setting forth the relation between the solutions which are obtained and the actual cross section. In addition, the present procedure minimizes computational labor and eliminates the propagation of computational errors from one value of $\sigma(k)$ to the next. This

^{*} Research supported by a joint program of the Office of Naval Research and the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission and by the National Science Foundation.

[†] Now at Litton Industries, Beverly Hills, California.

¹ B. C. Diven and G. M. Almy, Phys. Rev. 80, 407 (1950).

⁴ B. C. Diven and G. M. Aimy, Phys. Rev. 80, 407 (1950).
² Johns, Katz, Douglas, and Haslam, Phys. Rev. 80, 1062 (1950).
³ L. Katz and A. G. W. Cameron, Can. J. Phys. 29, 518 (1951).
⁴ R. Sagane, Phys. Rev. 84, 586 (1951).
⁵ L. V. Spencer, Bureau of Standards Report No. 1531, 1952 (unpublished).
⁴ R. Willare, Dava Phys. Soc. (London) 66, 645 (1052).

⁶ R. Wilson, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) 66, 645 (1953).