

Energy Shifts in the Feynman Formalism

LEONARD S. RODBERG*

RIAS, Incorporated, Baltimore, Maryland

(Received December 6, 1957)

The relation between the S matrix and the energy-level shift is demonstrated in a form which permits the use of the Feynman methods of calculation. It is also shown that vacuum fluctuations and "unlinked clusters" do not contribute to the energy of a physical system.

IT is often convenient to use a time-dependent formalism to compute the energy shift due to a time-independent interaction. This is especially advantageous when both particles and antiparticles are treated, since a symmetrical treatment using the time-dependent formalism of Feynman^{1,2} can often simplify such problems. Examples are relativistic theories such as quantum electrodynamics, and some many-body problems in which the particle-hole idea is useful.³

The fundamental quantity of this time-dependent formalism is the S matrix, which describes the propagation of the state vector from $t=-\infty$ to $t=+\infty$. The S matrix has been used in the past to compute energy shifts, although often in a modified form. Although the relation between the S matrix and the energy shift has been stated in the literature,^{1,2} a rigorous proof was not given until recently.⁴ However, this result is not in a form which can be directly applied in the Feynman formalism; it is the purpose of this article to supply this connection.

Our proof shows that unconnected Feynman graphs representing, for instance, vacuum fluctuations in field theory or "unlinked clusters"^{3,5} in the many-body problem, make no contribution to the energy of a real system.

We start with an unperturbed state φ , an eigenstate of H_0 with eigenvalue E_0 . The energy-level shift which arises when the interaction gH_1 is "turned on" adiabatically can be shown⁴ to be

$$\Delta E = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} i \frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial g} \ln \langle \varphi | S_\alpha | \varphi \rangle. \quad (1)$$

Here $S_\alpha = U_\alpha(\infty, -\infty)$ is the adiabatic S matrix defined by

$$U_\alpha(t, -\infty) = 1 - i \int_{-\infty}^t dt' e^{-\alpha |t'|} g H_1(t') U_\alpha(t', -\infty) \quad (2)$$

in the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$.

In the Feynman method it is customary to interchange the order of integration and limiting process in (1) and (2) so that the convergence factor $e^{-\alpha |t'|}$

* Present address: Physics Department, University of California, Berkeley, California.

¹ R. P. Feynman, Phys. Rev. **76**, 749, 769 (1949).

² J. M. Jauch and F. Rohrlich, *The Theory of Photons and Electrons* (Addison-Wesley Press, Inc., Cambridge, 1955).

³ J. Goldstone, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) **A239**, 267 (1957).

⁴ J. Sucher, Phys. Rev. **107**, 1448 (1957).

⁵ K. A. Brueckner, Phys. Rev. **100**, 36 (1955).

becomes unity and does not appear explicitly. We wish to show that one can do this and obtain a simple prescription for computing the level shift.

Let us denote by M_α the contribution to $\langle \varphi | S_\alpha | \varphi \rangle$ arising from all terms represented by only one connected diagram. Then, in terms of M_α ,

$$\langle \varphi | S_\alpha | \varphi \rangle = 1 + M_\alpha + \frac{M_\alpha^2}{2!} + \dots = e^{M_\alpha}, \quad (3)$$

where we use the fact that n disconnected loops are counted in $n!$ ways while performing the integrations involved in M_α^n . [This argument is due to Feynman.¹ We assume here that the sum of the perturbation series has a meaning, and, consequently, that this series can be reordered. In any case, (3) is formally correct.] Thus $\ln \langle \varphi | S_\alpha | \varphi \rangle = M_\alpha$ and contains only connected diagrams.

Let $M_\alpha = \sum_n M_\alpha^{(n)}$, where $M_\alpha^{(n)}$ is the n th-order contribution to M_α . It contains space-time integrals over various combinations of wave functions and propagators. If each of these is expanded in the energy representation, the resulting expression has the form⁶

$$M_\alpha^{(n)} = g^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_1 \dots dt_n dE_1 \dots dE_{n-1} \exp\left(-\alpha \sum_{j=1}^n |t_j|\right) \times \exp\left(i \sum_{j=1}^n E_j t_j\right) f(E_1 \dots E_{n-1}). \quad (4)$$

Here $f(E_1 \dots E_{n-1})$ contains the Fourier transforms of the appropriate wave functions and propagators. In terms of diagrams, E_j is the algebraic sum of the energies of all lines which meet at the j th vertex (positive if they enter, negative if they leave). The set

⁶ As an example, the second-order contribution to the electromagnetic self-energy of a charged particle has the form

$$M_\alpha^{(2)} = g^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_1 dt_2 dq^0 dk^0 \exp\left(-\alpha \sum_{j=1}^2 |t_j|\right) \times \exp[i(p_1^0 - q^0 - k^0)(t_1 - t_2)] h(q^0, k^0).$$

The spatial integrals and the integrals over the three-momenta are included in $h(q^0, k^0)$; p_1^0 is the initial (and final) energy of the charged particle; q^0 and k^0 are the energies of the intermediate particle and photon. If we change integration variables to $E_1 = p_1^0 - q^0 - k^0$ and k^0 , and let $E_2 = -E_1$, then

$$M_\alpha^{(2)} = g^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_1 dt_2 dE_1 \exp\left(-\alpha \sum_{j=1}^2 |t_j|\right) \exp\left(i \sum_{j=1}^2 E_j t_j\right) f(E_1).$$

The k^0 -integral, which depends on the dynamics of the electromagnetic interaction and is not related to the time integrals, has been absorbed into $f(E_1) = \int dk^0 h(E_1, k^0)$.

E_j is related by $\sum_{j=1}^n E_j = 0$ arising from over-all energy conservation.

Using (1), and the fact that $g(\partial/\partial g)M_\alpha = \sum_n n M_\alpha^{(n)}$, we find that

$$\Delta E = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} i g \frac{\partial}{\partial g} M_\alpha = \sum_n \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} i \frac{n\alpha}{2} M_\alpha^{(n)} \equiv \sum_n \Delta E^{(n)}. \quad (5)$$

Therefore

$$\Delta E^{(n)} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} i \frac{n\alpha}{2} M_\alpha^{(n)} = i g^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE_1 \cdots dE_{n-1} \times \Theta(E_1 \cdots E_n) f(E_1 \cdots E_{n-1}), \quad (6)$$

where

$$\Theta(E_1 \cdots E_n) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_1 \cdots dt_n \times \exp\left(-\alpha \sum_{j=1}^n |t_j|\right) \exp\left(i \sum_{j=1}^n E_j t_j\right). \quad (7)$$

To evaluate $\Theta(E_1 \cdots E_n)$ it is simplest to perform all the integrals involved in $\Delta E^{(n)}$, and then examine the result. We assume that the orders of integration may be freely interchanged, although one must still go to the α -limit *after* the time-integration.

If we first perform the integrations over $t_1 \cdots t_{n-1}$ in (7), we find

$$\Theta(E_1 \cdots E_n) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{n\alpha}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_n \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{2\alpha}{E_j^2 + \alpha^2} \right) e^{-\alpha |t_n|}. \quad (8)$$

We do not integrate over t_n at this stage so as to permit each of the $(n-1)$ energy integrals to be performed independently.

The j th energy integral is

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE_j \frac{2\alpha}{E_j^2 + \alpha^2} e^{-iE_j t_n} f(E_1 \cdots E_j \cdots E_{n-1}).$$

This integral can be performed by extending E_j into the complex plane and transforming to a contour integral. For $t_n > 0$ we close the contour in the lower half-plane. In this case there will be contributions from the simple pole at $E_j = -i\alpha$ and from the singularities of $f(E_1 \cdots E_j \cdots E_{n-1})$. We shall ignore the latter since they lead to smaller inverse powers of α , and give no contribution in the limit $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. Then if we include the results for both positive and negative t_n , this integral is

$$2\pi e^{-\alpha |t_n|} f\left(E_1 \cdots, -i\alpha \frac{t_n}{|t_n|}, \cdots E_{n-1}\right).$$

Combining all such energy integrals, we find

$$\Delta E^{(n)} = (2\pi)^{n-1} i g^n \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{n\alpha}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_n e^{-n\alpha |t_n|} \times f\left(-i\alpha \frac{t_n}{|t_n|} \cdots -i\alpha \frac{t_n}{|t_n|}\right)$$

$$= (2\pi)^{n-1} i g^n \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2} [f(i\alpha, \cdots, i\alpha) + f(-i\alpha, \cdots, -i\alpha)] \\ = (2\pi)^{n-1} i g^n f(0, \cdots, 0). \quad (9)$$

This result shows that

$$\Theta(E_1 \cdots E_n) = (2\pi)^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \delta(E_j). \quad (10)$$

On the other hand, suppose we let $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ *before* we perform the time integrals. The resulting matrix element is

$$M_0^{(n)} = g^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE_1 \cdots dE_{n-1} \Theta(E_1 \cdots E_n) f(E_1 \cdots E_{n-1}), \quad (11)$$

where

$$\Theta(E_1 \cdots E_n) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_1 \cdots dt_n \exp\left(i \sum_{j=1}^n E_j t_j\right) \\ = (2\pi)^n \prod_{j=1}^n \delta(E_j) = (2\pi)^n \delta(0) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \delta(E_j), \quad (12)$$

since $\sum_{j=1}^n E_j = 0$. [The expression $\delta(0)$ is not well-defined, but this equation can serve to give it meaning. Alternatively, we could let $\sum_{j=1}^n E_j = \epsilon_j i$, the energy difference between the initial and final states, so that $\delta(0)$ is replaced by $\delta(\epsilon_j i)$, and then let $\epsilon_j \rightarrow 0$]. As a result,

$$\Theta(E_1 \cdots E_n) = 2\pi \delta(0) \Theta(E_1 \cdots E_n), \quad (13)$$

and

$$M_0^{(n)} = -2\pi i \delta(0) \Delta E^{(n)}. \quad (14)$$

If we sum the contributions of all orders, we find

$$M_0 = \ln \langle \varphi | S_0 | \varphi \rangle = -2\pi i \delta(0) \Delta E, \quad (15)$$

or

$$\langle \varphi | S_0 | \varphi \rangle = e^{-2\pi i \delta(0) \Delta E}. \quad (16)$$

This result was anticipated in a heuristic argument of Feynman.¹ S_0 is the operator which is considered in the Feynman formulation; it is clearly more convenient, for purposes of calculation, than S_α . If we expand the exponential in (16), we arrive at the following prescription: Compute, using the energy representation for all time-dependent functions, those terms in $\langle \varphi | S_0 | \varphi \rangle$ which contain only *one* energy-conserving δ -function (i.e., the connected diagrams).⁷ The sum of these terms is $-2\pi i \delta(0) \Delta E$.

I would like to acknowledge fruitful discussions with Professor Francis Low, Professor Thomas Fulton, and Dr. George L. Hall.

⁷ These include terms corresponding to the self-energy of the vacuum as well as those yielding the energy shift of the system under consideration. These occur additively, and the vacuum-fluctuation terms can be separated from the real, physically-interesting, energy shifts.