

Positron Decay of Cu^{59} and Cu^{61} and Energy Levels in Ni^{59} and Ni^{61} , J. W. BUTLER AND C. R. GOSSETT [Phys. Rev. **109**, 863 (1958)]. As the result of a change made in page proof (without the authors' knowledge) Figs. 5, 6, and 7 are incorrectly arranged in the text. What is now called Fig. 5 should have appeared logically in Sec. V (Discussion) instead of in Sec. III. Also, with the actual figure numbering that appears in the article, the reference to Fig. 5 on the fourth last line of the second column of page 865 should read "Fig. 7."

Scattering of Polarized Dirac Particles on Electrons, G. W. FORD AND C. J. MULLIN [Phys. Rev. **108**, 477 (1957)]. In the last three lines of Eq. (5), m^2 should be replaced by $\frac{1}{2}m^2$. In Eq. (6), \mathbf{p}' and \mathbf{p} should be replaced by \mathbf{p}'/m and \mathbf{p}/m , respectively. In line 2 of Eq. (7), $(\sin^4\theta + \sin^2\theta)$ should read

$(\sin^4\theta + 4\sin^2\theta)$. Correction of these typographical errors does not alter any of the other results in the paper.

We are grateful to P. Stehle and to A. Rączka and R. Rączka [Phys. Rev. **110**, 1469 (1958), this issue] for calling these errors to our attention.

Polarization of Cosmic-Ray μ Mesons: Experiment, GEORGE W. CLARK AND JUAN HERSIL [Phys. Rev. **108**, 1538 (1957)]. The first sentence of the second paragraph of Sec. II should read: "If polarized μ mesons come to rest in a field of a strength such that their Larmor precession period is small compared to their lifetime of 2.09 μsec , any effect of the dependence of the decay electron intensity on the angle between the direction of decay and the direction of polarization will be greatly reduced."