

We would like to thank Dr. J. Werle, under whose direction this work was carried out, for suggesting the topic, for helpful discussions, and for critical remarks.

¹ H. A. Tolhoek, *Revs. Modern Phys.* **28**, 277 (1956).

² A. Bincer, *Phys. Rev.* **107**, 1434 (1957).

³ G. W. Ford and C. J. Mullin, *Phys. Rev.* **108**, 477 (1957).

⁴ *Note added in proof.*—We have been informed that the differences between our results and those of Ford and Mullin are due to two typographical errors in their paper. See G. W. Ford and C. J. Mullin, *Phys. Rev.* **110**, 1485(E) (1958) this issue.

Number of 3d Electrons in the Transition Metals*

RICHARD D. DESLATTES

The Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida

(Received May 5, 1958)

AT a recent conference, values were presented for the number of 3d electrons in Cu, Ni, Co, Fe, and Cr,¹ in the solid state as obtained from absolute measurements of the scattering factor for x-rays. Inasmuch as the results differ considerably from the well-known atomic configurations, they occasion some curiosity, as the authors have remarked.¹ Furthermore, the configurations advocated in reference 1 are difficult to reconcile with self-consistent calculations for the valence band-wave functions of the transition elements.² The interpretation of the experiments by Weiss and DeMarco rest on two assumptions. First, it is assumed that the contribution to the scattering by the "argon core" electrons may be assessed by means of a self-consistent field calculation for the free atom. Next, it is assumed that the extent of the 3d wave functions is greatly exceeded by that of the 4p and 4s so that the latter may be neglected. It is then the case that the scattering in excess of that for the "argon core" is due only to the 3d electrons. The last assumption may possibly be questioned and it would seem desirable to obtain some independent information on the number of 3d electrons per atom in the transition elements in the solid state. It is the purpose of this note to point out that some such information exists already in the literature and tends to favor the conventional configurations assigned to the atoms in the metal over those suggested by Weiss and DeMarco.

Several years ago Nilsson³ observed that the excitation function for K series characteristic x-radiation from the transition elements exhibited a region fairly rich in structural detail over a few tens of volts from the excitation potential. A particular feature of interest here was termed by Nilsson the "h structure." It gives the appearance of a partially resolved "line" occurring slightly below the Fermi energy as determined from the results of Beeman and Friedman.⁴ Nilsson interpreted this line as due to ionizing transitions in which the electron final state lies in the unfilled part of the 3d band. Its intensity would then be expected to vary

with the filling of the 3d band and Nilsson observed this to be the case, assuming conventional configurations appropriate to the atoms in the metal.

A specific example may be taken from a comparison of Co and Fe. According to the assignments of Weiss and DeMarco, the line would be expected to be about four times as intense in Fe as in Co. The observed factor is approximately $\frac{1}{3}$ which would have been expected on the basis of conventional atomic configurations in the metals.

It is suggested that since in the scattering experiments there are no selection rules effective in sorting states of a special symmetry, those experiments yield only some measure of the total extent of the electron cloud. Distinction among symmetry types is more properly sought in spectroscopic measurements.

* Supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research.

¹ R. J. Weiss and J. J. DeMarco, *Revs. Modern Phys.* **30**, 59 (1958).

² J. Callaway (private communication).

³ A. Nilsson, *Arkiv Fysik* **6**, 513 (1953).

⁴ W. W. Beeman and H. Friedman, *Phys. Rev.* **56**, 392 (1939).

μ -Mesonic Molecular Ions and Nuclear Catalysis*

STANLEY COHEN, DAVID L. JUDD, AND ROBERT J. RIDDELL, JR.
Radiation Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, California

(Received April 21, 1958)

THE experimental observation^{1,2} of the catalysis of nuclear reactions by μ mesons in liquid hydrogen³ created interest at a number of laboratories and led to several independent theoretical investigations of the processes involved.⁴ Although it soon became clear that this phenomenon could not lead to the production of useful power, some of the estimates of particular reaction rates were in wide disagreement, primarily because of the gross approximations made. Because of the intrinsic interest in the effect, and in order to obtain a more satisfactory understanding of these processes, we have made a detailed investigation of the molecular systems involved in these reactions.

It is assumed that nuclear catalysis proceeds through the following processes:

(1) The μ meson is slowed down by collisions in the liquid hydrogen and captured by a proton, forming a ($p\mu$) atom.

(2) The ($p\mu$) atom migrates and encounters a deuteron which captures the meson by exchange. Owing to the difference in reduced mass, this process releases 135 ev to the system.

(3) The ($d\mu$) atom slows down by collisions and forms a ($p\mu d$)⁺ molecular ion by a process of electron ejection.

(4) The nuclear reaction $p+d \rightarrow \text{He}^3 + \gamma$ (5.5 Mev) occurs. The γ ray may then eject the meson.