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$1001 \mathrm{~K}^{-}$-meson stars were found by area-scanning in four successive stacks of emulsion pellicles exposed to momentum-analyzed negative channels at the Berkeley Bevatron. Systematic following of the prongs showed that there emerged from these stars 319 charged $\pi$ mesons, 46 hyperfragments, and 158 identified charged hyperons. There were $26 \Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p+\pi^{0}$ decays at rest; $20 \Sigma^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}$ $+n$ decays at rest; $14 \Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p+\pi^{0}$ decays in flight; $26 \Sigma^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm}+n$ decays in flight; $50 \Sigma^{-}$stars at rest, and $22 \Sigma^{-}$zero-prong stars with Auger electrons.
The branching ratio of the $\Sigma^{+}$hyperon is found to be $R=\left(\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p\right) /\left(\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\right)=1.18 \pm 0.32$. The best single value for the $\Sigma^{+}$lifetime, as determined from all $\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p$ decays, is $\left(0.96_{-0.21}{ }^{+0.37}\right) \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{sec}$. For the $\Sigma^{-}$hyperons, a lifetime of
$(2.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{sec}$ is deduced. However, the lifetime obtained from the mixture of $\Sigma^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm}+n$ decays in flight alone is $\left(0.32{ }_{-0.07}{ }^{+0.11}\right) \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{sec}$.

The angular distribution of $\theta_{\Sigma \pi}$, the angle between the decay $\pi$ meson in the $\Sigma$ rest system and the initial direction of motion of the $\Sigma$, was determined from $85 \Sigma$ decay events. Of these, 50 events have $\left|\cos \theta_{\Sigma \pi}\right|>0.5$, and 53 events have $\theta_{\Sigma \pi}>90^{\circ}$. Hence this sample of data suggests, but does not prove, that the spin of the $\Sigma$ is greater than $\frac{1}{2}$ and that there is parity-doubling for each $\Sigma$.

Other topics are presented, including the energy distribution of the $\Sigma$ hyperons, an analysis of stars produced by $\Sigma^{-}$hyperons, and the apparent nonvalidity of the isotopic-spin selection rule $\Delta T= \pm \frac{1}{2}$.

## I. INTRODUCTION

THE nuclear captures of $K^{-}$mesons in emulsion are a fruitful source of charged $\Sigma$ hyperons, whose characteristics can then be studied. The rapid development of beams of $K^{-}$mesons from high-energy accelerators has been the primary factor in making such studies practical. In this paper an analysis is presented of 1001 stars produced by $K^{-}$mesons at rest. A detailed report ${ }^{1}$ of the first 30 of these $K^{-}$-meson stars and a brief summary ${ }^{2}$ of the first 207 have already been given. Many other experimental groups have also been studying $K^{-}$-meson stars. ${ }^{3}$
Many properties of the $K^{-}$mesons and charged hyperons can be studied in this type of investigation. In this paper we shall consider primarily the following: The general characteristics of $K^{-}$stars (Sec. III) ; the masses of the $\Sigma^{+}$and $\Sigma^{-}$hyperons (Sec. IV A); the branching ratio of the $\Sigma^{+}$decay (Sec. IV B); the characteristics of stars produced by the capture of $\Sigma^{-}$ hyperons (Sec. IV C) ; the lifetimes of the $\Sigma$ hyperons (Sec. IV D); and the angular correlations in the $\Sigma$ decay processes (Sec. IV E).
The experimental procedure and further discussions are presented in Secs. II and V, respectively.
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## II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Four stacks of Ilford G-5, 600-micron-thick nuclear emulsion pellicles were exposed, at different times, to momentum-analyzed $K^{-}$-meson beams from the Berkeley Bevatron. Some of the details of these exposures are given in Table I. The three exposures at $90^{\circ}$ made use of a channel similar in design to that originally built by members of Professor Richman's group. ${ }^{4}$ The fourth exposure, at $0^{\circ}$, was made in a channel designed by members of Professor Barkas' group. ${ }^{5}$

The plates were area-scanned under a magnification of $100 \times$ for negative $K$-meson stars. Only that region of each plate, usually a strip about 2 cm in width, was scanned where the $K^{-}$mesons were expected to stop. When a star was found, sufficient observations were made on the incoming track to establish that it was due to a $K^{-}$meson. Only stars produced by $K_{-}$ mesons at rest are included in this summary.

Table I. Description of $K^{-}$exposures.


a In stacks III and IV only about half of the pellicles were scanned. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \theta_{p, K^{-}}$is the angle at which the $K^{-}$mesons were produced relative to the direction of the incident $6-\mathrm{Bev}$ proton beam.

- $\bar{E}_{K^{-}}$is the average kinetic energy of the $K^{-}$-meson beam. The spread in energy in stacks I, II, and III was about 20 Mev , and in stack IV was about 8 Mev .
d This number is the ratio of $K^{-}$-meson flux to the flux of lightly ionizing tracks in the beam direction (no attempt was made to distinguish $\pi$ mesons from $\mu$ mesons and electrons among these tracks).
e Included in the total number of $1001 K^{-}$stars are four which were found in plates exposed to energetic mesons and protons.
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Fig. 1. Histogram of prong distribution of $K^{-}$stars (excluding zero-prong stars and stars with one lightly ionizing track only).

We believe that this method of scanning does not introduce much bias toward finding large stars in comparison to those small ones that have at least one black or gray prong. On the other hand, there is a large bias against finding zero-prong stars ( $K_{\rho}$ 's) or stars which have only one lightly ionizing prong ( $K_{\pi}^{\prime}$ 's). Consequently, no attempt was made to find all such stars, and those which were found were not included in the $1001 K^{-}$stars analyzed here.

After a $K^{-}$star was found, every track was followed to the end of its range, to the point of decay in flight, or to where it left the stack, ${ }^{6}$ except for lightly ionizing tracks. These latter were all assumed to have been made by $\pi$ mesons, and were not followed since almost all of them would leave our small stacks. The end point of each track was carefully scrutinized in order to detect the possible presence of a star, a decay particle, or an Auger electron.

Table II. Summary of $1001 K^{-}$stars.

| Prong <br> No. | No. of stars | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. of } \\ \text { stars with } \\ \text { a } \pi \\ \text { meson } \end{gathered}$ | No. with a $\Sigma^{+}$ hyperon | No. with identified $\Sigma^{-}$ hyperona | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { with } \\ \Sigma^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm} \\ \text {in } \\ \text { flight } \end{gathered}$ | No. with hyper-fragments | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. of } \\ (\Sigma, \pi) \\ \text { events }^{\text {c }} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 108 |  | 10 | 8 | 2 | 1 |  |
| 2 | 262 | 111 | 27 | 25 | 7 | 3 | 44 |
| 3 | 286 | 95 | 14 | 21 | 10 | 19 | 27 |
| 4 | 193 | 65 | 7 | 10 | 3 | 11 | 5 |
| 5 | 94 | 32 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 2 |
| 6 | 36 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 7 | 11 | 4 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 8 | 8 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals: | 1001 | 319 | 60 | 72 | 26 | 46 | 79 |

a Identified $\Sigma^{-}$hyperons are those that produce a star or an Auger electron when they come to rest. Undoubtedly many $\Sigma^{-}$hyperons make zeroprong stars with no Auger electrons. (See Sec. IV for further discussion.) the mesons from their decay always leave the stack. emerge.
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## III. GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS OF $K^{-}$STARS

The prevailing theories ${ }^{7}$ of the interactions of strange particles predict that the basic interaction between a $K^{-}$meson and a nucleon ( $N$ ) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{-}+N \rightarrow Y+\pi \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y$ can be a $\Lambda$ or $\Sigma$ hyperon. In nuclear matter the $\pi$ meson of reaction (1) can be virtually emitted by one nucleon and reabsorbed by an adjacent nucleon, giving rise to the reaction

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{-}+N+N \rightarrow N+Y \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be also noted that when a $\Sigma$ hyperon and a real meson are produced in a nucleus, either or both of them can be absorbed before emerging. This process converts a $\Sigma$ hyperon into a $\Lambda$ hyperon via the reaction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma+N \rightarrow \Lambda+N \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A further phenomenon that can take place is the capture of a $\Lambda^{0}$ into a nuclear fragment from the $K^{-}$star, forming a hyperfragment.

The frequency of charged $\pi$ mesons, charged hyperons, hyperfragments, and $K^{-}$-star prong numbers are listed in Table II. A histogram of the prong distribution is shown in Fig. 1. Zero-prong stars ( $K_{\rho}$ 's) and one-prong stars with only a lightly ionizing particle ( $K_{\pi}$ 's) are not included in this histogram because of our experimental bias against finding them. (In the course of this scan we found $7 K_{\rho}$ 's and $9 K_{\pi}$ 's.)

The frequency with which charged $\pi$ mesons emerge from $K^{-}$-meson stars (not including $K_{\rho}$ 's and $K_{\pi}$ 's) is found to be $32 \%$. As pointed out in I, if one assumes that isotopic spin is a good quantum number (and $Z=\frac{1}{2} A$ for the nucleus), the number of $\pi^{0}$ mesons that emerge from these stars should equal one-half of the total number of charged $\pi$ mesons. Hence the frequency with which $\pi$ mesons in all three charge states emerge is $48 \%$.

The number of identified charged $\Sigma$ hyperons that emerge from these $1001 K^{-}$stars is 158 . The identification criteria used were the following. An event in which a singly charged particle comes to rest and gives rise to a proton of range about $1670 \mu$ is interpreted as a $\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p+\pi^{0}$ decay from rest. One in which a singly charged particle comes to rest and gives rise to a lightly ionizing track is interpreted as a $\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}+n$ decay from rest. Since the $\pi$ meson leaves the stack we cannot determine its sign, but we interpret all of these events as $\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}$decays because a $\Sigma^{-}$hyperon would almost certainly cascade down through atomic orbits and be captured by the nucleus, via the reaction of Eq. (3), in a time much shorter than its lifetime. This argument does not help to distinguish the sign of the charge in

[^3]decay events in flight into $\pi$ mesons. Such events could be either $\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}$or $\Sigma^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-}$decays. Events in which a $\Sigma^{+}$decays into a proton in flight must be carefully distinguished from proton scattering events. If the velocity of the decay proton is found to be greater than the velocity of the $\Sigma^{+}$at the point of decay, there is no ambiguity with a proton scattering. On the other hand, when the proton is slower than the $\Sigma^{+}$in the laboratory system one cannot automatically rule out a proton scattering. In all such cases the $Q$ value for the event was calculated under the assumption that it was a $\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p$ decay. If this $Q$ value agreed with the established value ( $Q=116 \mathrm{Mev}$ ), we called the event a $\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p$ decay in flight. Out of about 20 such cases, 6 had the correct $Q$ value. There were 8 other cases in which the proton velocity was greater than the $\Sigma^{+}$ velocity. A $\Sigma^{-}$hyperon that comes to rest and makes a star of one or more prongs is readily identifiable except for those of very short range ( $\sim 40 \mu$ ) which cannot often be distinguished from nonmesonic decays of hyper-


Fig. 2. Energy distribution of identified $\Sigma^{+}$hyperons.
fragments. We estimate that this ambiguity leads to an uncertainty of $\leqslant 15 \%$ in the number of $\Sigma^{-}$stars. In addition, $\Sigma^{-}$hyperons which do not make visible stars at the end of their range can be identified if they produce a visible Auger electron. Out of the 72 identified $\Sigma^{-}$hyperons that came to rest, 22 had one or more Auger electrons but no nuclear prongs.

One of the interesting characteristics of $K^{-}$stars is the ratio of $\Sigma^{-}$to $\Sigma^{+}$hyperons produced. To determine this ratio we must estimate the number of zeroprong $\Sigma^{-}$stars which are not accompanied by Auger electrons. In Sec. IV C this problem is discussed in some detail, and we conclude that the total number of $\Sigma^{-}$hyperons that come to rest is about 115 . The best guess for the breakdown of the $26 \Sigma^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm}$decay events in flight is $12 \Sigma^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}$and $14 \Sigma^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-}$. This is obtained by applying the branching ratio of the $\Sigma^{+}$ decay (see Sec. IV B). Hence the ratio of $\Sigma^{-}$to $\Sigma^{+}$ hyperons that emerge from these $K^{-}$stars is $129 / 72$ $=1.8 \pm 0.4$.
The best estimate for the total number of charged


Fig. 3. Energy distribution of identified $\Sigma^{-}$hyperons.
hyperons emerging from these $1001 K^{-}$stars is 201 . Again under the assumption that isotopic spin is a good quantum number for strong interactions, ${ }^{8}$ the number of $\Sigma^{0}$ hyperons should equal one-half of the total number of charged $\Sigma$ 's, i.e., 101 (see I). Hence the total number of $\Sigma$ hyperons that emerge is about 302 .

It is interesting to note that out of 158 stars with identified $\Sigma$ 's, 79 stars ( $50 \%$ ) also had a charged $\pi$ meson. These $(\Sigma, \pi)$ events are a direct reflection of the basic absorption mechanism of a $K^{-}$meson by a nucleon, as given in Eq. (1), which probably has occurred near the surface of the nucleus. The prevalence of this reaction is also exhibited by the shape of the energy distribution of the charged $\Sigma$ 's. Figures 2, 3, and 4 illustrate the energy distributions of the identified $\Sigma^{+}$ hyperons, the identified $\Sigma^{-}$hyperons and the $\Sigma^{ \pm}$ hyperons which decay in flight into $\pi^{ \pm}$. The kinetic energy of a $\Sigma^{+}$or $\Sigma^{-}$hyperon from the capture of a $K^{-}$ meson by a nucleon at rest is about 14 Mev . It is expected that the Fermi distribution of momenta for the nucleons inside nuclear matter will smear out the kinetic energy of the $\Sigma$ hyperon over a region from 0 to 60 or 70 Mev , in a manner qualitatively similar to the observed energy distributions. The few fairly energetic $\Sigma$ hyperons observed are probably due to the twonucleon absorption reaction of the $K^{-}$meson [Eq. (2)]. A comparison of Figs. 2 and 3 indicates a much larger proportion of low-energy $\Sigma^{-}$hyperons than $\Sigma^{+}$hyperons. This can be simply explained in terms of the classical nuclear Coulomb-barrier effect.


Fig. 4. Energy distribution of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{ \pm}$hyperons that decay

[^4]Table III. Characteristics of $26 \Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p+\pi^{0}$ decays from rest. $R$ is the range of the $\Sigma^{+}$hyperon; $E_{\Sigma}$, the kinetic energy of the $\Sigma$ at the $K^{-}$star; $T$, the moderation time of the $\Sigma$; and $\theta_{\Sigma \pi}$, the angle in the rest system of the $\Sigma$, between the direction of the $\pi$ meson and the initial direction of the $\Sigma$.

| Event <br> No. | $R \Sigma$ <br> $(\mu)$ | $E \Sigma$ <br> $(\mathrm{Mev})$ | $T$ <br> $\left(10^{-10} \mathrm{sec}\right)$ | $\theta \Sigma \pi$ <br> $(\mathrm{degrees})$ | $\cos \theta \Sigma \pi$ |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $K$ | 3 | 227 | 6.52 | 0.106 | $40^{\circ}$ |
| 41 | 5700 | 42.4 | 1.00 | 151 | -0.77 |
| 89 | 412 | 9.38 | 0.160 | 63 | +0.87 |
| 113 | 1130 | 16.9 | 0.320 | 104 | -0.24 |
| 168 | 1670 | 21.0 | 0.402 | 68 | +0.37 |
| 211 | 290 | 7.59 | 0.125 | 106 | -0.27 |
| 413 | 1159 | 17.13 | 0.331 | 128 | -0.62 |
| 440 | 615 | 11.9 | 0.210 | 88 | +0.03 |
| 512 | 1045 | 16.1 | 0.300 | 90 | 0.0 |
| 607 | 208 | 6.19 | 0.099 | 34 | +0.83 |
| 631 | 2500 | 26.5 | 0.560 | 78 | +0.21 |
| 646 | 580 | 11.5 | 0.202 | 137 | -0.73 |
| 703 | 1050 | 16.2 | 0.304 | 61 | +0.49 |
| 753 | 2263 | 25.0 | 0.522 | 95 | -0.09 |
| 762 | 786 | 13.75 | 0.248 | 146 | -0.83 |
| 774 | 1640 | 20.85 | 0.416 | 80 | -0.17 |
| 788 | 1050 | 16.2 | 0.304 | 38 | +0.79 |
| 812 | 3050 | 29.7 | 0.644 | 151 | -0.87 |
| 815 | 2235 | 24.9 | 0.515 | 117 | -0.45 |
| 826 | 118 | 4.36 | 0.068 | 143 | -0.80 |
| 845 | 203 | 6.05 | 0.097 | 139 | -0.75 |
| 846 | 7300 | 48.7 | 1.20 | 130 | -0.64 |
| 973 | 193 | 5.91 | 0.095 | 89 | +0.02 |
| 979 | 2030 | 23.6 | 0.483 | 107 | -0.29 |
| 991 | 273 | 7.3 | 0.120 | 84 | +0.10 |
| 1023 | 3070 | 29.8 | 0.646 | 44 | +0.72 |

The bulk of the 46 hyperfragments observed have a very short range ( $<10 \mu$ ) and decay nonmesonically. A detailed report of these hyperfragments will appear in a separate publication. ${ }^{9}$

## IV. PROPERTIES OF $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ HYPERONS

In Tables III through VIII we list the essential characteristics of all the identified charged $\Sigma$ hyperons.

Table IV. Characteristics of $20 \Sigma^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}+n$ decays from rest. See Table III for explanation of symbols.

| Event <br> No. | $R \Sigma$ <br> $(\mu)$ | $E \Sigma$ <br> (Mev) | $T$ <br> $\left(10^{-10} \mathrm{sec}\right)$ | $\theta \Sigma \pi$ <br> (degrees) | ${\cos 9 \Sigma_{\pi}}^{\theta(150}$ |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 280 | 7.4 | 0.122 | 106 | -0.27 |
| $101^{\text {a }}$ | 12023 | 80 | 1.30 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| 105 | 114 | 4.3 | 0.066 | 55 | +0.43 |
| 109 | 4100 | 35.1 | 0.794 | 144 | -0.81 |
| 189 | 95 | 3.8 | 0.058 | 130 | -0.64 |
| 215 | 1448 | 19.5 | 0.379 | 133 | -0.68 |
| 524 | 2740 | 27.9 | 0.594 | 70 | +0.34 |
| 554 | 1250 | 17.9 | 0.340 | 139 | -0.75 |
| 748 | 5300 | 40.6 | 0.952 | 75 | +0.26 |
| 790 | 410 | 9.4 | 0.159 | 48 | +0.67 |
| 791 | 29 | 1.8 | 0.026 | 136 | -0.72 |
| 797 | 1980 | 23.2 | 0.471 | 151 | -0.87 |
| 808 | 1125 | 16.8 | 0.317 | 106 | -0.28 |
| 820 | 1039 | 16.1 | 0.302 | 123 | -0.54 |
| 842 | 1075 | 16.4 | 0.309 | 128 | -0.62 |
| 874 | 615 | 11.9 | 0.210 | 128 | -0.62 |
| 950 | 3820 | 33.7 | 0.755 | 28 | +0.89 |
| 993 | 1290 | 18.2 | 0.352 | 126 | -0.59 |
| 1008 | 2400 | 25.9 | 0.544 | 34 | +0.83 |
| 1026 | 227 | 6.5 | 0.105 | 110 | -0.34 |

a In this event the $\Sigma^{+}$interacted in flight after traversing 1.2 cm [see Fry, Schneps, Snow, and Swami, Phys. Rev. 100, 939 (1955)].
${ }^{9}$ Schneps, Fry, and Swami, Phys. Rev. 106, 1062 (1957).

The tables list the event number, the range, the kinetic energy, the moderation time $T$, the time $t$ to the point of decay for all $\Sigma$ 's that decay in flight, the angle $\theta_{\Sigma \pi}$ in the $\Sigma$ rest system between the direction of the $\pi$ meson and the initial direction of the $\Sigma$ hyperon, and finally the cosine of $\theta_{\Sigma \pi}$. Various aspects of the data in these tables are discussed in the following subsections.

## A. $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{+}$Mass Difference and the Mass of the $K^{-}$Meson

In the course of this scan, two events (No. 788 and 818) were found which are interpreted as the capture of $K^{-}$mesons at rest in hydrogen. These two events appear to be examples of the reactions

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{-}+p \rightarrow \Sigma^{+}+\pi^{-}+Q_{1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{-}+p \rightarrow \Sigma^{-}+\pi^{+}+Q_{2}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table V. Characteristics of $14 \Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p+\pi^{0}$ decays in flight. The quantity $t$ is the time spent by the $\Sigma$ in traversing the distance from the star to the point of decay. See Table III for explanation of the other symbols.

| Event <br> No. | $R \Sigma^{+}$ <br> $(\mu)$ | $E \Sigma$ <br> $(\mathrm{Mev})$ | $t$ <br> $\left(10^{-10}\right.$ <br> $\mathrm{sec})$ | $T$ <br> $\left(10^{-10}\right.$ <br> $\sec )$ | $\theta \Sigma \pi$ <br> $(\mathrm{degrees})$ | $\cos \theta \Sigma \pi$ |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | 4200 | 58 | 0.48 | 1.49 | $104^{\circ}$ | -0.24 |
| 39 | 3800 | 52.5 | 0.44 | 1.30 | 0 | +1.0 |
| 48 | 1400 | 28 | 0.23 | 0.60 | 45 | +0.71 |
| 172 | 900 | 36.9 | 0.15 | 0.84 | 62 | +0.47 |
| 315 | 2650 | 30.5 | 0.19 | 0.67 | 1.53 | -0.89 |
| 372 | 3500 | 32.3 | 0.69 | 0.72 | 115 | -0.42 |
| 378 | 138 | 28.8 | 0.021 | 0.62 | 51 | +0.64 |
| 452 | 330 | 20.6 | 0.061 | 0.41 | 35 | +0.82 |
| 465 | 127 | 11.6 | 0.032 | 0.20 | 96 | -0.10 |
| 640 | 1480 | 45.2 | 0.18 | 1.09 | 162 | -0.95 |
| 681 | 2080 | 90.2 | 0.18 | 2.57 | 82 | +0.17 |
| 707 | 3830 | 34.5 | 0.70 | 0.78 | 84 | +0.10 |
| 714 | 3730 | 70.9 | 0.37 | 1.90 | 121 | -0.52 |
| 800 | 1230 | 18.0 | 0.31 | 0.35 | 135 | -0.70 |

respectively. The details of these two events have already been published. ${ }^{10}$ Taking the mass of the $\Sigma^{+}$ hyperon to be $2327.4 \pm 1.0 m_{e}{ }^{11}$ one deduces from event No. 788 that the mass of the $K^{-}$meson is $(966.7 \pm 2) m_{e}$. A comparison of the ranges of the $\Sigma^{+}$and $\Sigma^{-}$hyperons from these two events yields for the mass difference $m_{\Sigma^{-}}-m_{\Sigma^{+}}=(15.9 \pm 2.9) m_{e}$. This mass difference is in excellent agreement with the value $(14 \pm 6) m_{e}$ given by Chupp et al. ${ }^{12}$ and the value of $(16 \pm 5.4) m_{e}$ given by Budde et al. ${ }^{13}$
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## B. The Branching Ratio for the $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{+}$Decay

Several authors ${ }^{14}$ have indicated the importance of obtaining an unbiased estimate of the branching ratio between the two modes of decay of the $\Sigma^{+}$; namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p+\pi^{0}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow n+\pi^{+} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

An estimate of this ratio is given by the ratio of the number of $\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p$ decays from rest to the number of $\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}$decays from rest. Since each track from the $K^{-}$stars was followed to the end of its range, where it was carefully examined by two observers, no bias is

Table VI. Characteristics of $26 \Sigma^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm}+n$ decays in flight. See Tables III and V for explanation of the symbols.

| Event No. | $\underset{(\mu)}{R \Sigma}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{Mev})^{\mathrm{a}}}{E_{\Sigma}}$ | $\begin{gathered} t \\ \left(10^{-10}\right. \\ \mathrm{sec}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} T \\ \left(10^{-10}\right. \\ \mathrm{sec}) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\theta \Sigma \pi}{(\text { degrees })}$ | $\cos \theta \Sigma \pi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 66 | 4240 | 68 | 0.44 | 1.80 | 112 | $-0.37$ |
| 85 | 3350 | 57 | 0.37 | 1.44 | 68 | +0.37 |
| 170 | 2665 | 63 | 0.28 | 1.65 | 45 | +0.71 |
| 186 | 51 | 10 | 0.013 | 0.172 | 102 | -0.21 |
| 204 | 955 | 35 | 0.143 | 0.794 | 48 | $+0.67$ |
| 222 | 68 | 21 | 0.012 | 0.40 | 97 | -0.12 |
| 251 | 4900 | 76 | 0.48 | 2.08 | 135 | -0.71 |
| 256 | 9700 | 95 | 0.89 | 2.70 | 138 | -0.74 |
| 300 | 49 | 20 | 0.009 | 0.40 | 127 | $-0.60$ |
| 414 | 2320 | 69 | 0.23 | 1.83 | 128 | -0.62 |
| 432 | 3830 | 90 | 0.33 | 2.55 | 148 | -0.85 |
| 449 | 410 | 51 | 0.047 | 1.27 | 8 | +0.97 |
| 471 | 1700 | 27 | 0.30 | 0.580 | 134 | $-0.70$ |
| 496 | 293 | 13 | 0.071 | 0.243 | 112 | $-0.37$ |
| 536 | 1270 | 28 | 0.21 | 0.600 | 109 | -0.33 |
| 548 | 173 | 16 | 0.032 | 0.305 | 153 | $-0.89$ |
| 560 | 1700 | 56 | 0.18 | 1.42 | 25 | +0.90 |
| 585 | 231 | 65 | 0.024 | 1.70 | 121 | $-0.52$ |
| 604 | 455 | 52 | 0.050 | 1.28 | 144 | -0.81 |
| 634 | 87 | 49 | 0.010 | 1.21 | 117 | $-0.45$ |
| 667 | 1600 | 47 | 0.097 | 1.14 | 47 | +0.68 |
| 731 | 4370 | 94 | 0.38 | 2.69 | 34 | +0.83 |
| 889 | 2250 | 65 | 0.23 | 1.72 | 109 | -0.32 |
| 928 | 482 | 23 | 0.084 | 0.47 | 138 | -0.74 |
| 944 | 11900 | 84 | 1.17 | 2.35 | 153 | -0.89 |
| 1024 | 3215 | 56 | 0.65 | 1.73 | 94 | $-0.07$ |

a The kinetic energy of the $\Sigma$ was estimated from the ionization of the track. The percentage errors varied from track to track being least for long gray tracks and mor to $\pm 10 \mathrm{Mev}$.
Mev .
introduced into the branching ratio other than that due to the slightly smaller efficiency for detecting the lightly ionizing $\pi^{+}$meson as compared to the heavily ionizing proton. This inefficiency is estimated to be about $10 \%$. We find for the ratio $R=\left(\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p\right) /$ ( $\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}$) the value $26 / 20$. When we correct for the $10 \%$ inefficiency, the best estimate for $R$ is $26 / 22=1.18$ $\pm 0.32$. Since the theoretical significance of this ratio is closely linked with the ratio of $\Sigma^{-}$to $\Sigma^{+}$lifetimes, further discussion is postponed until Sec. V.

[^6]Table VII. Characteristics of $50 \Sigma$ - hyperons that make stars with one or more prongs.

| Event No. | $\underset{(\mu)}{R \Sigma^{-}}$ | $\stackrel{E}{\Sigma_{(M e v}^{-}}$ | $\begin{gathered} T \\ \left(10^{-10}\right. \\ \mathrm{sec}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Event } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | $R_{(\mu)}$ | $E \Sigma^{-}$ <br> (Mev) | $\begin{gathered} T \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} 10^{\sim 10} \\ \mathrm{sec}) \end{array}\right. \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 790 | 13.6 | 0.25 | 509 | 626 | 12.06 | 0.212 |
| 45 | 5700 | 42.3 | 1.0 | 547 | 2459 | 26.3 | 0.551 |
| 46 | 280 | 7.42 | 0.12 | 555 | 2387 | 25.8 | 0.54 |
| 56 | 4300 | 36 | 0.82 | 557 | 11000 | 61.6 | 1.596 |
| 63 | 910 | 14.9 | 0.29 | 579 | 1200 | 17.5 | 0.334 |
| 73 | 880 | 14.6 | 0.265 | 580 | 1400 | 19.1 | 0.372 |
| 84 | 30 | 1.83 | 0.026 | 623 | 1136 | 17.0 | 0.324 |
| 116 | 7650 | 50 | 1.22 | 624 | 238 | 6.7 | 0.109 |
| 121 | 4050 | 34.9 | 0.78 | 627 | 388 | 9.13 | 0.153 |
| 123 | 290 | 7.6 | 0.125 | 653 | 507 | 10.6 | 0.184 |
| 174 | 1800 | 22 | 0.44 | 663 | 301 | 7.76 | 0.134 |
| 184 | 19 | 1.36 | 0.02 | 679 | 108 | 4.13 | 0.064 |
| 220 | 19 | 1.36 | 0.02 | 716 | 207 | 6.20 | 0.100 |
| 234 | 2800 | 28.3 | 0.603 | 755 | 3900 | 34.1 | 0.766 |
| 279 | 170 | 5.5 | 0.087 | 781 | 180 | 5.65 | 0.090 |
| 293 | 1110 | 16.6 | 0.31 | 799 | 4.7 | 0.47 | 0.006 |
| 303 | 284 | 7.49 | 0.122 | 828 | 837 | 14.3 | 0.260 |
| 346 | 582 | 11.50 | 0.202 | 849 | 5000 | 39.3 | 0.91 |
| 352 | 405 | 9.27 | 0.158 | 852 | 2500 | 26.5 | 0.560 |
| 357 | 50 | 2.55 | 0.037 | 853 | 320 | 8.05 | 0.134 |
| 396 | 34 | 2.0 | 0.029 | 856 | 350 | 8.5 | 0.143 |
| 466 | 390 | 9.20 | 0.157 | 861 | 48 | 2.48 | 0.036 |
| 482 | 1180 | 17.3 | 0.330 | 879 | 90 | 3.68 | 0.056 |
| 489 | 79 | 3.39 | 0.047 | 947 | 260 | 7.08 | 0.116 |
| 503 | 82 | 3.47 | 0.052 | 952 | 380 | 8.90 | 0.151 |

## C. Characteristics of $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-}$Endings

When a $\Sigma^{-}$comes to rest in nuclear emulsion, it is first captured in an outer atomic orbit from which it cascades down to lower orbits until it is absorbed by the nucleus. The cascade process can give rise to Auger electrons and the nuclear capture to a star. We have observed $50 \Sigma^{-}$stars of one or more prongs, 15 of which were accompanied by Auger electrons, and 22 stoppings where the $\Sigma^{-}$produced one or more Auger electrons but no visible stars. The probability of a random coincidence of a background electron with a proton ending is negligible in this stack. The ranges, energies and moderation times of these $\Sigma^{-}$hyperons are listed in Tables VII and VIII. The detailed characteristics of these $50 \Sigma^{-}$stars are listed in Table IX.
As can be seen in Tables VII and VIII, many of the $\Sigma^{-}$have very short ranges which preclude the possi-

Table VIII. Characteristics of $22 \Sigma^{-}$hyperons that have Auger electrons at their endings with zero nuclear prongs.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Event } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{(\mu)}{R \Sigma^{2}}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{Mev})}{E \Sigma^{-}}$ | $\begin{gathered} T \\ \left(10^{-10}\right. \\ \sec ) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Event } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | $R_{(\mu)}^{\Sigma_{\mu}^{-}}$ | $\stackrel{E \Sigma^{-}}{(\mathrm{Mev})}$ | $\begin{gathered} T \\ \left(10^{-10}\right. \\ \mathrm{sec}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 128 | 21100 | 89 | 2.5 | 561 | 1796 | 22.0 | 0.44 |
| 135 | 256 | 7.1 | 0.115 | 564 | 483 | 10.3 | 0.18 |
| 202 | 1410 | 19.1 | 0.37 | 678 | 516 | 10.7 | 0.19 |
| 238 | 1520 | 20.0 | 0.39 | 697 | 448 | 9.8 | 0.17 |
| 301 | 465 | 10.1 | 0.17 | 719 | 182 | 5.7 | 0.09 |
| 402 | 2250 | 25.0 | 0.52 | 809 | 1824 | 22.1 | 0.045 |
| 497 | 1296 | 18.3 | 0.35 | 818 | 670 | 12.5 | 0.22 |
| 521 | 408 | 9.3 | 0.16 | 872 | 148 | 5.05 | 0.08 |
| 526 | 56.6 | 2.7 | 0.04 | 948 | 1346 | 18.7 | 0.36 |
| 530 | 198 | 6.05 | 0.10 | 976 | 731 | 13.1 | 0.23 |
| 552 | 911 | 14.9 | 0.28 | 982 | 235 | 6.7 | 0.11 |

Table IX. Characteristics of $50 \mathrm{\Sigma}^{-}$stars.

| Event No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Track } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Range of track ( $\mu$ ) | Probable identity | Energys (Mev) | Reliability ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Auger electrons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 1 | 35 | $\alpha$ | 7.0 | $G$ |  |
| 45 | 1 | 5.4 | recoil | 1.6 | $G$ |  |
|  | 2 | 9 | $\alpha$ or heavier | 2.7 |  |  |
| 46 | 1 | 13 | $\alpha$ or heavier | 3.6 | $F$ |  |
| 56 | 1 | 8 | $\alpha$ or heavier | 2.4 | $F$ |  |
| 63 | 1 | 10 | $\alpha$ or heavier | 3.0 | $F$ |  |
| 73 | 1 | 250 | $p, d$, or $t$ | 6.3 | G | 15 kev |
| 84 | 1 |  |  |  | G |  |
| 116 | 1 | 500 | $p, d$, or $t$ | 9.5 | G |  |
| 121 | 1 | 1708 | $p, d$, or $t$ | 19.2 | G | 18 kev |
| 123 | 1 | 8 | recoil | 2.4 | $F$ |  |
| 174 | 1 | 2000 | $p$ | 21.0 | $G$ |  |
| 184 | 1 | 200 | $p, d$, or $t$ | 5.5 | $G$ |  |
| 220 | 1 | 80 | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ or heavier | 13 | $F$ |  |
| 234 | 1 | 4 | $\alpha$ or heavier | 1.2 | $G$ |  |
|  | 2 | 8 | $\alpha$ or heavier | 2.4 |  |  |
|  | 3 | 550 | $p, d$, or $t$ | 10.1 |  |  |
| 279 | 1 | 14 | recoil | 3.8 | $G$ |  |
| 293 | 1 | short | recoil |  | $F$ |  |
| 303 | 1 | 156 | $\alpha$ | 19 | G |  |
|  | 2 | 2400 | $d$ or $t$ | 31.4 |  |  |
|  | 3 | 1200 | $p$. | 15.7 |  |  |
|  | 4 | 1 | recoil | 0.3 |  |  |
| 346 | 1 | 2123 | $p$ | 21.8 | $G$ |  |
| 352 | 1 | 80 | $\alpha$ | 13 | G | 18 kev |
| 357 | 1 | 3 | $\alpha$ or heavier | 0.8 | $F$ |  |
|  | 2 | 8 | $\alpha$ or heavier | 2.4 |  |  |
| 396 | 1 | 450 | $p$ or $d$ | 8.9 | $G$ | $22 \mathrm{kev}, 33 \mathrm{kev}$ |
| 466 | 1 | 15 | $\alpha$ or heavier | 4.0 | G | el. blob |
| 482 | 1 | 12 | ? | 3.5 | $F$ |  |
| 489 | 1 | 290 | $p$ or $d$ | 6.9 | G | 25, 25 kev |
| 503 | 1 | 45 | $\alpha$ | 8.5 | $G$ |  |
|  | 2 | 120 | $p$ | 4.0 |  |  |
|  | 3 | 370 | $p, d$, or $t$ | 8.0 |  |  |
| 509 | 1 | 450 | $p, d$, or $t$ | 9.0 | $G$ | 50 kev |
| 547 | 1 | 14 | recoil | 4.0 | G | 16, 33 kev |
| 557 | 1 |  | $p$ | 30 Mev | G |  |
| 579 | 1 | 50 | $\alpha$ | 9.0 | $G$ |  |
|  | 2 | 60 | $\alpha$ | 10.5 |  |  |
|  | 3 | 120 | $\alpha$ | 16 |  |  |

bility of establishing the identity of the particle forming the track in question from measurements on that track alone. The principal sources of confusion are proton interactions in flight, either elastic or inelastic, and hyperfragment events. To distinguish these various possibilities for short-range tracks, an attempt was made to answer such questions as: Did the particle come to rest; was there an Auger electron; did the particle have charge one; was the energy released in the reaction larger than the incident kinetic energy of the connecting particle, etc. On this basis an over-all reliability estimate was made as to the probability that a given event listed in Table IX represented a $\Sigma^{-}$ star. We estimate that 42 events have good reliability and 8 have fair reliability. A similar proportion of good and fair reliability prevails for the $22 \Sigma^{-}$zero-prong events with Auger electrons.

All of the $\Sigma^{-}$stars observed here have a visible energy that is less than the $Q(81.4 \pm 2 \mathrm{Mev})$ of the reaction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma^{-}+p \rightarrow \Lambda^{0}+n+81.4 \mathrm{Mev} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

One might expect that after the capture of a $\Sigma^{-}$by a nucleus, a $\Lambda^{0}$ hyperfragment might be formed. However,
in no case was a hyperfragment seen to emerge from a $\Sigma^{-}$star. Of course, some of the stars may be a result of the nonmesonic decay of a $\Lambda^{0}$ that is trapped in the same nucleus that captured the $\Sigma^{-}$. The prong distribution of the visible $\Sigma^{-}$stars is 31 one-prong, 13 two-prong, 5 three-prong, and 1 four-prong.

These observations are consistent with the hypothesis that reaction (8) plays a dominant role in the $\Sigma^{-}$capture process. The charge-exchange reaction,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma+p \rightarrow \Sigma^{0}+n \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

can also take place without introducing any contradiction to these observations. If the $\Sigma^{0}$ were to interact with a nucleon before leaving the nucleus, similar stars would be produced to those made by reaction (8). On the other hand, if the $\Sigma^{0}$ emerges from the nucleus, only a zero-prong star could be made. Zero-prong stars are also very likely to result from reaction (8) (e.g., see discussion in I).

Since a substantial fraction of the $\Sigma^{-}$captures do not produce any visible stars, a theoretical correction must be made in order to determine the total number of $\Sigma^{-}$hyperons that emerged from the $1001 K^{-}$stars. We

| Event No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Track } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Range of track ( $\mu$ ) | Probable identity | $\begin{gathered} \text { Energya } \\ (\mathrm{Mev}) \end{gathered}$ | Reliability ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Auger electrons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 580 | 1 | 70 | $\alpha$ | 11.5 | G |  |
| 623 | 1 | 10 | recoil | 3.0 | G | 15 kev |
| 624 | 1 | 6 | recoil | 1.8 | G |  |
|  | 2 | 330 | $p, d$, or $t$ | 7.5 |  |  |
| 627 | 1 | 185 | $p$ pror | 5.3 | $G$ |  |
|  | 2 | 3 | $\mathrm{Li}^{8}$ | 1.5 |  |  |
|  | 3 | 13 | recoil | 3.7 |  |  |
| 653 | 1 | 6.5 | recoil | 2.0 | $G$ |  |
|  | 2 | 25 | $\alpha$ | 6.0 |  |  |
| 663 | 1 | 10 | $\alpha$ or heavier | 3.0 | $G$ | 18 kev |
|  | 2 | 13 | $\alpha$ or heavier | 3.7 |  |  |
| 679 | 1 | 85 |  | 13 | $G$ |  |
|  | 2 | short |  |  |  |  |
| 716 | 1 | 6 | $\alpha$ or recoil | 1.8 | $G$ | 22 kev |
| 755 | 1 | 3 | recoil | 0.8 | $G$ |  |
|  | 2 | 780 | $p, d$, or $t$ | 12.3 |  |  |
| 781 | 1 | 110 | $p, d$, or $t$ | 3.8 | G |  |
| 799 828 | 1 |  | $p$ or heavier | 40 | ${ }_{G}$ | 17 kev |
| 828 | 1 | 47 8 | $\alpha$ or heavier $\alpha$ or heavier | 8.8 2.4 | G |  |
| 849 | 1 | 10000 | $p$ | 50 | $G$ |  |
| 852 | 1 | 2000 | $p, d$, or $t$ | 21 | $G$ | 30 kev |
|  | 2 | 5000 | $p, d$, or $t$ | 35 |  |  |
| 853 | 1 | 5 | recoil | 1.5 | $G$ |  |
|  | 2 | 12 | $p$ or $\alpha$ | 0.9 or 3.5 |  |  |
| 856 | 1 | 5 | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | 1.5 | $G$ |  |
|  | 2 | 5 | $\alpha$ | 1.5 |  |  |
|  | 3 | 150 | $p, d$, or $t$ | 4.6 |  |  |
| 861 879 | 1 | 30 5 | $\underset{p}{p}, d$, or $t$ | 1.7 | $\stackrel{G}{G}$ | 22 kev |
| 879 | 2 | 5 | recoil | 1.5 |  |  |
| 947 | 1 | 130 | $p, d$, or $t$ | 4.2 | G |  |
|  | 2 | 28 | $\alpha$ or heavier | 6.4 |  |  |
| 952 | 1 | 4 | recoil | 1.2 | $G$ |  |
|  | 2 | 120 | $p, d$, or $t$ | 4.0 |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The energies listed in this column are the energies corresponding to the minimum charge consistent with our observations on each track. Hence the range-energy relationship for protons was used on tracks identified as $p, d$, or $t$, and the range-energy relationship for $\alpha$ particles was used for all unidentified
recoil tracks. $\quad \underset{b}{ }$ and $G$ denote fair and good reliability of identification of the listed event as a $\Sigma^{-}$star. Those that are marked fair cannot be conclusively distinguished from such events as a proton scattering near the end of its range or a nonmesonic decay of a hyperfragment.
observed $50 \Sigma^{-}$stars with visible prongs and $22 \Sigma^{-}$zeroprong stars with Auger electrons. To make this correction an estimate is needed of the probability that a $\Sigma^{-}$emit an Auger electron in the atomic cascade process. Experimentally, the probability of Auger emission from those $\Sigma^{-}$that make stars is $15 / 50=0.30 \pm 0.09$. Hence an estimate of the total number of zero-prong $\Sigma^{-}$stars is $(22 / 0.30)=73 \pm 26$, where the error given is purely statistical. The total number of $\Sigma^{-}$that come to rest would then be $123 \pm 27$. For this estimate of the total number of $\Sigma^{-}$zero-prong events it was assumed that there is no correlation between the probability of a $\Sigma^{-}$ making an Auger electron and making a visible star. Such an assumption is probably not valid. The Augerelectron emission probability is much higher in the heavy elements ( $\mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Br}$ ) than in the light elements ( $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$ ). On the other hand, the probability that a $\Sigma^{-}$ capture produces a visible star may be higher in the light elements than in the heavy elements. The reduced Coulomb barrier in the light elements allows lower energy charged particles to emerge. Furthermore, the recoil nucleus can be visible only in the light elements. On the other hand, the heavier elements provide a
longer mean free path for the neutral particles produced in reaction (8) to transform into visible charged particles. It is difficult to decide $a$ priori as to which of these competing arguments is more important.

Another estimate of the number of $\Sigma^{-}$zero-prong stars, which attempts to take into account some of the differences between $\Sigma^{-}$capture in light and heavy elements, can be made as follows. Morinaga and Fry ${ }^{15}$ have found that the probabilities for the capture of $\mu^{-}$ mesons in heavy and light elements is $60 \%$ and $40 \%$ respectively. We shall assume that the same capture probabilities hold for $\Sigma^{-}$hyperons. Fry ${ }^{16}$ and Cosyns et al. ${ }^{17}$ have investigated the Auger emission probability for $\mu^{-}$mesons. When one uses the above capture probabilities, their data indicate that the probability for emission of Auger electrons in heavy elements is $\sim 35 \%$. It is assumed that the Auger emission proba-

[^7]bility in light elements is negligible. Theoretically ${ }^{18}$ one expects an increase in Auger probability with increasing mass of the negative particle.

Assuming that the Auger-electron emission probability for $\Sigma^{-}$capture in heavy elements is $50 \%$, and recalling that 15 of the $50 \Sigma^{-}$stars had Auger electrons (and therefore were definitely captures in heavy elements), we deduce that 30 of the 50 stars took place in heavy elements. The 22 zero-prong $\Sigma^{-}$events with Auger electrons imply 44 zero-prong $\Sigma^{-}$captures in heavy elements. This yields $44+30=74$ for the total number of $\Sigma^{-}$captures in heavy elements. Now invoking the $40 / 60$ ratio found by Morinaga and Fry, we deduce that the total number of $\Sigma^{-}$captures in light elements must be 50, and that the total number of $\Sigma^{-}$ stoppings is 124 . This number is in quite good agreement with our earlier estimate of $123 \pm 27$. Had we assumed that the $\Sigma^{-}$Auger emission probability in heavy elements was $60 \%$, we would have obtained for the total number of $\Sigma^{-}$stoppings 104 . On the other hand, if we assume that the $\Sigma^{-}$Auger emission probability is the same as the $\mu^{-}$, namely $35 \%$, we obtain 177 for the total number of $\Sigma^{-}$stoppings. However, this would also imply that only 7 of the $50 \Sigma^{-}$stars were in light elements, which seems inconsistent with the observed number of $\Sigma^{-}$stars with two and three lowenergy prongs. The assumptions of $50 \%$ and $60 \%$ would imply that 20 and 25 of the $50 \Sigma^{-}$stars were in light elements. These two numbers are consistent with the prong distribution listed in Table IX.

It is clear from the above discussion that one cannot determine the number of $\Sigma^{-}$stoppings very precisely. A reasonable estimate of this number is $115 \pm 25 .{ }^{19}$

## D. Lifetimes of the $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{ \pm}$Hyperons

A determination of the lifetimes of the $\Sigma^{+}$and $\Sigma^{-}$ hyperons could allow a decisive test of one of the theories set forth by Lee and Yang, ${ }^{20}$ that is, the theory that postulates parity doublets for all hyperons with odd strangeness. If the $\Sigma^{+}$and $\Sigma^{-}$hyperons each exhibited a time distribution of decay points that was not consistent with a single exponential (i.e., a single lifetime), but which was consistent with a linear combination of two or three exponential terms, we would have an indirect confirmation of the hypothesis of parity-doubling. If only one lifetime is found for each charged hyperon, then either each charged hyperon has a unique parity with a unique lifetime, or if there are two parities for each charge, the two parity states

[^8]have equal or nearly equal lifetimes. The last alternative would be similar to the apparent situation that prevails for the $\theta^{+}$and $\tau^{+}$mesons and might be understood in terms of a mechanism for slow decays involving a weak interaction that does not conserve parity. ${ }^{21}$ It is important to note that the observation of different lifetimes for the $\Sigma^{+}$and $\Sigma^{-}$hyperons, which is a priori probable on theoretical grounds, ${ }^{14}$ has no bearing on the above discussion about parity-doubling.

It is clear that an analysis of the time distribution of decays in flight and at rest, listed in Tables III to VIII, can yield some information about the lifetimes of the $\Sigma^{+}$and $\Sigma^{-}$hyperons. Limited statistics are the major obstacle to a definite answer to the questions raised in the previous paragraph. A further difficulty arises from the fact that for the 26 decays in flight into $\pi$ mesons listed in Table VI, one does not know the sign of the charge of the decaying hyperon. Hence an average lifetime deduced from these 26 events alone must be a composite lifetime of $\Sigma^{+}$and $\Sigma^{-}$, as well as of two parity states for each charge if these exist.
Because of this last-mentioned difficulty, let us first consider the decay events $\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p$ in flight and at rest listed in Tables V and III. We have used the method of maximum likelihood as described by Bartlett ${ }^{22}$ to determine the best single lifetime that fits our data. The maximum likelihood estimate for $\tau_{\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\tau}_{\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p}=\frac{1}{14}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{14} t_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{26} T_{j}\right] \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{i}$ denotes the time to the point of decay for each of the $14 \Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p$ decays in flight listed in Table V, and $T_{j}$ denotes the moderation time for each of the 26 $\Sigma^{+}$hyperons that decay into a proton from rest, listed in Table III. We find ${ }^{23}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\tau}_{\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p}=\left(0.96_{-0.21}+0.37\right) \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{sec} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to estimate the best single lifetime for the $\Sigma$ - hyperon, we must estimate what fraction of the 26 $\Sigma^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm}$decay events are $\Sigma^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-}$events. Using the branching ratio $\left(\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p\right) /\left(\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\right)=1.18$ and the fact that there are $14 \Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p$ decay events in flight, we deduce that about $(14 / 1.18)=12$ of the $26 \Sigma^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm}$ events are $\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}$decays. Hence there are about 14 $\Sigma^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-}$decay events in flight. The average moderation time, $T_{j}$, for the observed $\Sigma^{-}$that come to rest, listed in Tables VII and VIII, is $0.268 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{sec}$. Using our estimate of 115 for the total number of $\Sigma^{-}$stoppings, we get

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{115} T_{j}=115(0.268)=30.82 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{sec} .
$$

[^9]The sum of $t_{i}$ for the $26 \Sigma^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm}$decays is

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{26} t_{i}=6.74 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{sec}
$$

Hence the best estimate of $\tau_{\Sigma^{-}}$is ${ }^{24}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\tau}_{\Sigma^{-}} & =\frac{1}{14}\left\{\left(\frac{14}{26}\right) 6.74+30.82\right\}  \tag{12}\\
& =(2.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{sec}
\end{align*}
$$

The uncertainty in this value of $\bar{\tau}_{\Sigma^{-}}$comes from the error in the $\Sigma^{+}$branching ratio, the number of $\Sigma^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm}$ decays observed, and the estimated number of $\Sigma^{-}$ stoppings.

If we apply the same method to the $\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}$decays, assuming that 12 of the $26 \Sigma^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm}$decays in flight are $\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\tau}_{\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}} & =\frac{1}{12}\left\{\frac{12}{26}(6.74)+8.16\right\}  \tag{13}\\
& =(0.94 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{sec}
\end{align*}
$$

This somewhat indirect result for the lifetime of the $\Sigma^{+}$deduced from $\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}$decays agrees very well with the value of $\bar{\tau}_{\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p}$ given above. ${ }^{25}$

It is possible to obtain an independent estimate of lifetime from the time distribution of $t_{i}$ for the decays in flight alone. Bartlett ${ }^{22}$ has shown that the maximum likelihood estimate for $\tau$ from $n$ decays in flight alone is given by the solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\tau)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{t_{i}}{\tau}-1+\frac{T_{i}}{\tau} \frac{e^{-T_{i} / \tau}}{\left(1-e^{-T_{i} / \tau}\right)}\right]=0 . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

[ $T_{i}$ is the available time to observe a decay in flight in the emulsion stack. Our stack was sufficiently large so that $T_{i}$ is just the potential moderation time.] The error in $\tau$ is determined from the function $S(\tau)$, defined by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\tau)=f(\tau) /\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{1-\left(\frac{T_{i}}{\tau}\right)^{2} \frac{e^{-T_{i} / \tau}}{\left(1-e^{-T_{i} / \tau}\right)^{2}}\right\}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$S(\tau)$ has zero mean and unit variance and is assumed to be Gaussian.

We have applied this method to the $26 \Sigma^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm}$ decays in flight and to the $14 \Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p$ decays in flight. Figure 5 shows a plot of $S(\tau)$ versus $1 / \tau$ for the $\Sigma^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm}$

[^10]

Fig. 5. $S(\tau)$ versus $1 / \tau$ for the $\Sigma^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm}$decays in flight. The maximum-likelihood value for $\tau$ is the solution of the equation $S(\tau)=0$. The standard-deviation estimates for $\tau$ are determined from the points $S(\tau)= \pm 1$.
decays. The results are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\tau}_{\Sigma^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm}}=\left(0.32_{-0.07}+0.11\right) \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{sec}, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\tau}_{\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p}=\left(0.47_{-0.15}+0.44\right) \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{sec} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This lifetime for the $\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p$ events, while smaller than the $\bar{\tau}_{\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p}$ of Eq. (11) and $\bar{\tau}_{\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}}$of Eq. (12), is not in disagreement with these previous estimates because of its large error. On the other hand, the value of $\bar{\tau}_{\Sigma^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm}}$obtained by this method is significantly smaller than the previously obtained values of the lifetimes of both the $\Sigma^{+}$and $\Sigma^{-}$hyperons. Under our previous assumption that 14 of the $26 \Sigma^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm}$decays were $\Sigma^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-}$decays, we would have expected $\bar{\tau}_{\Sigma^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm}}$to be larger than the lifetime found for the $\Sigma^{+}$. But instead $\bar{\tau}_{\Sigma^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm}}$is smaller than $\bar{\tau}_{\Sigma^{ \pm} \rightarrow p}$ of Eq. (11) by a factor of three. The probability that this difference is just a statistical fluctuation is less than one percent.
Several other experimental groups have obtained estimates of the $\Sigma^{+}$and $\Sigma^{-}$lifetimes. Alvarez et al., ${ }^{26}$ from the study of $K^{-}$captures in a hydrogen bubble chamber, have obtained $\tau_{\Sigma^{-}}=(1.86 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{sec}$ and $\tau_{\Sigma^{+}}=(0.86 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-10}$ sec. Budde et al., ${ }^{13}$ from the study of $\Sigma^{-}$produced by energetic $\pi^{-}$mesons in a bubble chamber, have obtained $\tau_{\Sigma^{-}}=\left(1.4_{-0.5}{ }^{+1.6}\right) \times 10^{-10}$ sec . Our best estimate of the $\Sigma^{+}$and $\Sigma^{-}$lifetimes given in Eqs. (11) and (12) agree very well with these values. The results of Davies et al., ${ }^{27}$ from the study in emulsion of $11 \Sigma^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm}$decays in flight, where the $\Sigma^{\prime}$ 's come from energetic cosmic-ray stars, give $\tau_{\Sigma^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm}}=\left(0.35_{-0.11^{+0.15}}\right)$ $\times 10^{-10} \mathrm{sec}$. This last result agrees very well with our value for $\bar{\tau}_{\Sigma^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm}}$of Eq. (16), but is obviously in disagreement with the previously quoted lifetimes.
At the present time it is not clear how to resolve this discrepancy. One is tempted to consider this as evidence for the existence of two distinct lifetimes for each

[^11]charged hyperon. An analysis of our data in terms of the parity-doubling scheme of Lee and Yang ${ }^{20}$ is very complicated, since in addition to the four lifetimes involved, there can be two distinct branching ratios for the two types of $\Sigma^{+}$. (A priori there can be additional parameters for the relative amplitude of the two types of $\Sigma^{+}$or $\Sigma^{-}$, but since the lifetimes of the $\tau^{+}$ and $\theta^{+}$are nearly equal, the ratio of these amplitudes should be close to 1.) Despite this large number of parameters, it is not easy to determine a fit to all of the data of this experiment. If one assumes, for example, two lifetimes differing by a factor of about three for each charged hyperon and a branching ratio $\left(\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p\right)$ / ( $\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}$) of 0 and $\infty$ for the short-lived and long-lived $\Sigma^{+}$hyperons respectively, so as to make the lifetime measurements compatible, one encounters the difficulty that not enough $\Sigma^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm}$decay events were observed. (We found 26 whereas a number about 40 would be required.) It is apparent that better statistics are needed to resolve this question of the existence of two lifetimes or one lifetime for each charged hyperon.

## E. Angular Distribution of $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ Decay Products

A study of the angular distribution of the $\Sigma$ decay products may yield information as to the spin of the $\Sigma$ hyperon and can provide a test of the hypothesis of parity doublets.

If we first assume that each $\Sigma$ is not a parity doublet, then only even powers of $\cos \theta_{\Sigma \pi}$ can enter into the angular distribution, where $\theta_{\Sigma \pi}$ is the angle between the $\pi$-meson direction in the $\Sigma$ rest system and the initial direction of flight of the $\Sigma$. If the spin of the $\Sigma$ is $\frac{1}{2}$, the angular distribution must be isotropic. Treiman ${ }^{28}$ has shown that if the $K^{-}$meson has spin zero and is captured by a nucleon from an $s$ state, the angular distribution is uniquely determined by the spin of the $\Sigma$. For example, if the spin is $\frac{3}{2}$, the angular distribution is


Fig. 6. Angular distribution of $\pi$ mesons from $\Sigma$ decays. ( $\theta_{\Sigma \pi}$ is the angle between the direction of motion of the $\pi$ meson in the rest system of the $\Sigma$ and the initial direction of motion of the $\Sigma$.)
$1+3 \cos ^{2} \theta$. When the $K^{-}$meson is captured by a nucleus the situation is somewhat more complicated. However, it may not be far removed from the ideal case discussed by Treiman, since most of the $\Sigma$ 's are produced in single nucleon captures of the $K^{-}$meson, as indicated by the energy distribution of the $\Sigma$ 's from $K^{-}$stars (Sec. III). Of course any scattering of the $\Sigma$ as it leaves the nucleus will tend to smear out the observed angular distribution. On the other hand, the fact that the $K$ meson be captured from a high orbital angular-momentum state relative to the center of mass of the nucleus, cannot change the angular distribution. One can easily see that the angular momentum pertinent to Treiman's discussion, is the relative angular momentum between the $K^{-}$meson and the nucleon by which it is captured. One might hope that the capture takes place from an $s$ state of the $K^{-}$meson-nucleon system, since the energy in this system is of the order of 20 Mev , the characteristic Fermi energy in a nucleus. In this regard, the capture of a $K$ meson in a nucleus may occur in an $s$ state more often than it does in the capture by a free proton. In the case of the $K^{-}-p$ atomic system, the amount of $p$-state capture depends upon the lifetime of this process relative to the lifetime of the radiative transition from the $2 p$ to the $1 s$ state. ${ }^{29}$ In any event, definite evidence for the presence of even powers of $\cos \theta$ other than zero, whether the $\Sigma$ 's come from hydrogen captures or nuclear captures of $K^{-}$mesons, would prove that the spin of the $\Sigma$ is greater than $\frac{1}{2}$.
Lee and Yang ${ }^{20}$ have shown that if, and only if, there is parity doubling for each $\Sigma$, odd powers of $\cos \theta$ may appear in the angular distribution of the decay products. Since the amount of fore-aft asymmetry depends upon an interference term between two unknown amplitudes, no definite prediction is made as to the amount or sign of this asymmetry. In principle it can be different for $\Sigma^{+}$and $\Sigma^{-}$decays and also different for $\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p$ decays as compared to $\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}$decays.
We have measured the angle $\theta_{\Sigma \pi}$ for $85 \Sigma$ decays. These are listed in Tables III-VI. It is permissible to include $\Sigma$ decays from rest in the angular distribution since, as Wolfenstein ${ }^{30}$ has shown, the probability of changing the spin orientation of the $\Sigma$, via Coulomb scattering during the slowing-down process, is very small. Figure 6 is a histogram of the angular distribution for all $85 \Sigma$ decays. From the folded distribution we find that the number of events with $\left|\cos \theta_{\Sigma_{\pi}}\right|>0.5$ is 50 , out of a total number of 85 . A $\chi^{2}$ test yields a probability of about $12 \%$ that this sample comes from a true distribution that is isotropic.
This sample has a folded angular distribution that lies in between an isotropic and a $\left(1+3 \cos ^{2} \theta\right)$ distribution, but it is certainly not conclusively different from isotropic. Alvarez et al. ${ }^{26}$ found the number of $\Sigma$ decay events with $\left|\cos \theta_{\Sigma \pi}\right|>0.5$ to be 40 out of a total

[^12]number of 65 . The fact that both of these samples of data deviate from isotropy in the same direction suggests that the spin of the $\Sigma$ may be greater than $\frac{1}{2} \cdot{ }^{31}$

With respect to the possible existence of a forwardbackward asymmetry, Table X gives a breakdown of our events for the various decay modes. The $\Sigma \rightarrow \pi$ decay modes taken together show a strong asymmetry in favor of the backward hemisphere ( 32 backward out of 45 events). The total number of $\Sigma$ decays yield 53 backward out of 85 events. $\chi^{2}$ tests on these two sets of numbers yield probabilities of about $0.7 \%$ and $2 \%$, respectively, that the true distribution is symmetrical. This asymmetry would indicate the existence of parity doublets. However, the Brookhaven data ${ }^{31}$ show a large asymmetry in favor of the forward hemisphere ( 15 forward out of 22 events). Furthermore, the data of Alvarez et al. ${ }^{26}$ show no asymmetry for $\Sigma \rightarrow \pi$ decays and a substantial forward asymmetry for $\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p$ decays. Combining all these data together tends to cancel out almost all the evidence for forward-backward asymmetries. On the other hand, the asymmetries do not cancel out if one examines the $\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p$ decays separately from the $\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}$decays. The absence of a forwardbackward asymmetry does not disprove the hypothesis of parity-doubling since the magnitude of this asymmetry cannot be quantitatively predicted.

Note added in proof.-A world-wide survey of data presented at the 1957 Rochester Conference on High Energy Physics on the angular distribution of $\Sigma$ decays obtained from $\sim 10000 K^{-}$meson stars in emulsion showed no significant polar-equatorial or fore-aft asymmetries.

## V. DISCUSSION

This set of data can help to illuminate many other questions concerning hyperons, besides those discussed in Sec. IV, including isotopic-spin selection rules for decay, matrix elements for $K^{-}$-nucleon capture processes, absorption cross sections for $\Sigma$ 's in nuclear matter, and probability of hyperfragment formation.

## A. Isotopic-Spin Selection Rule for $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ Decay

If one assumes the selection rule $\Delta T= \pm \frac{1}{2}$ for $\Sigma$ decay, where $T$ is the total isotopic-spin quantum number, then a relationship exists between the branching ratio $R=\left(\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p\right) /\left(\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\right)$and the ratio of lifetimes of the $\Sigma^{-}$and $\Sigma^{+}, z=\tau \Sigma^{-} / \tau \Sigma^{+} .{ }^{14}$ Given $R, z$ can take on either of two values for each assignment of spin and parity of the $\Sigma$. (For detailed discussion, see Iso and Kawaguchi ${ }^{14}$ and Alvarez et al. ${ }^{26}$ Assuming time-reversal invariance, the spin and parity of the $\Sigma$ determines the relative phase of the $T=\frac{3}{2}$ and $T=\frac{1}{2}$ matrix elements of the $\pi$, nucleon system.) We find $R=26 / 22=1.18 \pm 0.32$ in good agreement with the

[^13]Table X. Forward-backward distribution of $\theta_{\Sigma \pi}$.

| Type of event | No. in <br> forward <br> hemisphere | No. in <br> backward <br> hemisphere |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p$ | 19 | 21 |
| $\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}$at rest | 6 | 13 |
| $\Sigma^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm}$in flight | 7 | 19 |
| Total | 32 | 53 |

ratio $14 / 14$ obtained by Alvarez et al. Combining these two numbers, we get $R=1.11 \pm 0.25$. If we assume one lifetime for each charged $\Sigma$, our best lifetime values, given in Eqs. (11) and (12), yield $\tau_{\Sigma^{-}} / \tau_{\Sigma^{+}}=2.6 \pm 1.0$. Alvarez et al. give $\tau_{\Sigma^{-}} / \tau_{\Sigma^{+}}=2.2 \pm 0.5$, again assuming one lifetime for each charged $\Sigma$. For $R=1.11$, the predicted values for $\tau_{\Sigma^{-}} / \tau_{\Sigma^{+}}$are 6.0 and 8.8 for spin and parity assignment $\frac{3}{2}+$ and $\frac{1}{2}+$ respectively ( $\frac{1}{2}-$ yields a value just slightly lower than $\frac{1}{2}+$ ). These values for $R$, predicted by the $\Delta T= \pm \frac{1}{2}$ selection rule, are in clear disagreement with experiment. If the branching ratio $R$ is as large as 1.40 , the theory yields $\tau_{\Sigma^{-}} / \tau_{\Sigma^{+}}=3.5$ and 5.3. (The second allowed solution for $\tau_{\Sigma^{-}} / \tau_{\Sigma^{+}}$yields a value of $\sim \frac{1}{3}$ and hence is clearly ruled out by the data.)
In agreement with Alvarez et al., ${ }^{26}$ we conclude that the evidence is fairly strong for the lack of validity of a rigorous $\Delta T= \pm \frac{1}{2}$ selection rule. Only the assignment $\frac{3}{2}+$ to the $\Sigma$ still has a non-negligible probability of fitting the data. This conclusion, however, depends in large measure on the assumption that each charged $\Sigma$ hyperon has only one lifetime. The presence for each charged $\Sigma$ of two lifetimes, which differed by as much as a factor of two, can significantly alter the predictions of the $\Delta T= \pm \frac{1}{2}$ theory. If each charged $\Sigma$ has a unique lifetime but parity is not conserved in the decay, then again the predictions of the $\Delta T= \pm \frac{1}{2}$ assumption can be altered.

## B. Matrix Elements for $K^{-}$-Nucleon Capture Process

Assume that isotopic spin is conserved in the $K^{-}$nucleon capture process of Eq. (1). Then the number of $\Sigma^{+,-, 0}$ hyperons can be expressed in terms of the two matrix elements $M_{0}$ and $M_{1}$ corresponding to the total isotopic-spin quantum numbers $T=0$ and $1 .{ }^{32}$ Both matrix elements enter in $K^{-}-p$ capture processes, while only $M_{1}$ plays a role in $K^{-}-n$ collisions. Alvarez et al..$^{26}$ have analyzed the relative number of $\Sigma^{+}, \Sigma^{-}$, and $\Sigma^{0}$ hyperons observed from $K^{-}-p$ capture processes and have determined conditions on $r$ and $\varphi$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
r e^{i \varphi}=M_{1} / M_{0} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$r$ and $\varphi$ can be determined from the $K^{-}-p$ observations alone except for the uncertainty in the fraction,

[^14]$\alpha$, of $\Lambda^{0}$ s (from $\Sigma^{0}$ 's) decaying by the charged mode. From their observations that $\Sigma^{-} / \Sigma^{+}=2$, they obtain the inequality $r \geq 0.14$.

By combining the observations of Alvarez et al. on the relative number of $\Sigma^{+}$and $\Sigma^{-}$hyperons from $K^{-}-p$ capture processes with similar observations from $K^{-}$nucleus capture processes, one can obtain an estimate of $r$ that is independent of the $\Sigma^{0}{ }^{3}$ s. ${ }^{33}$ We assume that inside the nucleus the predominant $K^{-}$capture process is by one nucleon, with protons and neutrons weighted according to $Z / A$ and $(A-Z) / A$, respectively. One can easily show, by applying isotopic-spin arguments to Eq. (1), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{N_{\Sigma^{-}}+N_{\Sigma^{+}}}{N_{\Sigma^{+}}}\right)_{K^{-} \text {-nucleus }}=\frac{\frac{1}{3}\left|M_{0}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(A / Z)\left|M_{1}\right|^{2}}{P_{\Sigma^{+}}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{N_{\Sigma^{-}}+N_{\Sigma^{+}}}{N_{\Sigma^{+}}}\right)_{K^{-}-p}=\frac{\frac{1}{3}\left|M_{0}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left|M_{1}\right|^{2}}{P_{\Sigma^{+}}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{\Sigma^{ \pm}}$are the number of $\Sigma^{+}$and $\Sigma^{-}$hyperons observed, and $P_{\Sigma^{+}}$is the square of the matrix element for $\Sigma^{+}$production in $K^{-}-p$ capture.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\Sigma^{+}}=\frac{1}{6}\left|M_{0}\right|^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|M_{0} M_{1}\right| \cos \varphi+\frac{1}{4}\left|M_{1}\right|^{2} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the ratio of Eqs. (19) and (20), we obtain an expression for $r^{2}=\left|M_{1} / M_{0}\right|^{2}$ in terms of the relative number of charged $\Sigma$ hyperons in the two experiments, $K^{-}-p$ and $K^{-}$-nucleus.

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2}=\frac{2(1-c)}{3[c(A / Z)-1]} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
c=\left(\frac{N_{\Sigma^{-}}+N_{\Sigma^{+}}}{N_{\Sigma^{+}}}\right)_{K^{-} p} /\left(\frac{N_{\Sigma^{-}}+N_{\Sigma^{+}}}{N_{\Sigma^{+}}}\right)_{K^{-} \text {nucleus }}
$$

Experimentally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=(83 / 28)(201 / 72)=1.065 \pm 0.2 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In nuclear emulsion, the average value of $A / Z$, if one assumes a $60-40$ distribution of $K^{-}$captures in heavy and light elements, respectively, is $\langle A / Z\rangle_{\mathrm{Av}}=2.17$. Hence Eq. (22) yields the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2}=-0.03 \pm 0.14, \quad \text { or } \quad 0<r^{2}<0.11 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
0<r<0.33
$$

Combining the lower limit on $r$ obtained by Alvarez et al., $r \geq 0.14$, we see that $r$ is approximately in the range 0.14 to $0.33 .{ }^{33}$ (From Fig. 9 of Alvarez et al., this value of $r$ corresponds to $0.35 \leq \alpha \leq 0.4$.)

The above analysis of $K^{-}$-nucleus captures has assumed that the $K^{-}$capture by two nucleons plays a

[^15]very small role. The small number of high-energy $\Sigma$ 's emitted from $K^{-}$stars indicates qualitatively that the two-nucleon capture is quite small, perhaps about $10 \%$. Also the interactions of the $\Sigma$ hyperons with other nucleons in the nucleus have been neglected in the above analysis. This is a second-order correction however, since if all the nuclei in the nuclear emulsion had $Z=\frac{1}{2} A$, then Eq. (19) would still hold rigorously, provided that isotopic spin were conserved in the $\Sigma$ nucleon interactions. Since $|A / 2 Z|>1$, these neglected interactions have the effect of increasing the ratio ( $\Sigma^{-} / \Sigma^{+}$) observed as compared to the ratio $\left(\Sigma^{-} / \Sigma^{+}\right)$ initial. This tends to make $r$ even smaller than the result of (24), hence reducing the upper limit on $r$ slightly.

On the other hand the Coulomb forces between the $\Sigma^{+}$or $\Sigma^{-}$hyperons and the nucleus do not commute with the total isotopic spin and hence can alter the observed ratio $\left(\Sigma^{-} / \Sigma^{+}\right)$. Since the $\Sigma^{-}$must lose energy in passing from the surface of the nucleus to infinity, those $\Sigma^{-}$that arrive at the nuclear surface with less energy than the Coulomb barrier energy cannot emerge. This effect will decrease the observed ratio $\left(\Sigma^{-} / \Sigma^{+}\right)$ as compared to the initial ratio and hence tend to increase the upper limit on $r .^{34}$

## C. Absorption of $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ Hyperons

We have deduced (Sec. III) that the total number of $\Sigma$ hyperons that emerge from these $1001 K^{-}$stars is 302. If one knew how many $\Sigma$ hyperons as compared to $\Lambda^{0}$ hyperons were formed in the initial $K^{-}$-nucleon capture process, then one could obtain a qualitative idea as to the strength of the absorption cross section for $\Sigma$ 's via the reaction of Eq. (3)..$^{35}$ When one uses the results of Alvarez et al. ${ }^{26}$ that the relative probability of $\Lambda^{0}$ production to $\Sigma$ production in a $T=1$ state is about $\frac{2}{3}$, and also the result of Eq. (24), it follows that the ratio of $\Lambda^{0}$ 's to $\Sigma$ 's produced inside the nucleus is $\lesssim 15 \%$. (Again we ignore the two-nucleon production of hyperons.) Hence one expects that in at least $85 \%$ of $K^{-}$stars a $\Sigma$ hyperon is produced, while we have deduced that a $\Sigma$ (of any charge) emerges in only $30 \%$ of the $K^{-}$stars. For comparison, we note that a $\pi$ meson (of any charge) emerges from $K^{-}$stars in about $50 \%$ of the cases, hence implying an escape probability of $\gtrsim 50 \%$. Of course, the much lower energy distribution of the $\Sigma$ 's increases the trapping probability of a $\Sigma$ via multiple scattering so that a quantitative comparison of the $\pi$ and $\Sigma$ absorption probabilities is not

[^16]simple. Qualitatively, however, a comparison of these two results implies a large nucleon cross section ( $\sim$ geometric) for scattering and absorption of hyperons in the energy region 10 to 60 Mev .

## D. Probability of Hyperfragment Formation

We observed 46 hyperfragments from the $K^{-}$starsand no hyperfragments from an estimated $115 \Sigma^{-}$stars, including zero-prong events. ${ }^{36}$ If one assumes that every $\Sigma$ absorption yields a $\Lambda^{0}$ via reaction (3), then the hyperfragment formation probability is $(46 / 700)=6.6 \%$ for $K^{-}$stars and $0 / 115$ for $\Sigma^{-}$stars. The $\Lambda^{0}$ s produced either directly or indirectly from the nuclear capture of $K^{-}$mesons appear to have a higher probability of emerging in the form of a hyperfragment than do the $\Lambda^{0}$ 's, produced by the nuclear capture of $\Sigma-$ hyperons.
${ }^{36}$ There is some possibility of experimental bias in this comparison. The mean number of prongs from $\Sigma^{-}$stars is much less than from $K^{-}$stars, and hence the identification of hyperfragments that have very short ranges ("double centers" is more difficult in $\Sigma^{-}$events than in $K^{-}$events.

Since the total energy available to the nucleus in $K^{-}$ absorption is much larger than in $\Sigma^{-}$absorption, this result implies that the process of hyperfragment formation is more like a boiling off of nuclear matter containing a $\Lambda^{0}$ than like a pickup process by the $\Lambda^{0}$ as it leaves the nucleus.
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# Acceleration of Cosmic-Ray Particles among Extragalactic Nebulae 

G. R. Burbidge<br>Mount Wilson and Palomar Observatories, Carnegie Institution of Washington, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California<br>(Received March 20, 1957)


#### Abstract

It is proposed that cosmic-ray particles can be accelerated by the Fermi mechanism acting among galaxies in clusters in the same way that Fermi originally proposed for interstellar clouds in our own galaxy. When applied to the local group of galaxies this mechanism does not lead to an appreciable increase in energy over the limit attainable in our own galaxy. However, the conditions in a highly concentrated cluster such as the Coma cluster lead to maximum energies in the range $10^{18}-10^{20} \mathrm{ev}$. Some implications of these results are discussed.


CURRENT theories of the acceleration of cosmicray particles suggest that if the original Fermi mechanism ${ }^{1}$ or some variations and refinements of it ${ }^{2-4}$ are invoked, a reasonable upper limit to the energy which a particle can gain in our galaxy lies in the range $10^{15}-10^{16} \mathrm{ev}$ per nucleon. If the effects of diffusion and structure in the magnetic field are taken into account, Thompson ${ }^{5}$ has shown that energies of the order of $10^{17} \mathrm{ev}$ may be attained. It is the purpose of this paper to point out that the Fermi mechanism may well operate among extragalactic nebulae, ${ }^{6}$ and the ultimate limit on the energy is probably determined only by the

[^17]conditions inside clusters of galaxies and to some extent by the age of the universe.

Observations from a number of directions can be used to estimate the probable conditions of acceleration. The clustering tendencies of galaxies have been realized in recent years to be of great importance (see the work of Shane and Wirtanen ${ }^{7}$ and Zwicky ${ }^{8}$ ). Also work by Zwicky ${ }^{9}$ has shown that much material exists in regions lying between galaxies. Detection of $21-\mathrm{cm}$ radiation from the Coma cluster of galaxies ${ }^{10}$ and from the Cygnus radio source ${ }^{11}$ which consists of two galaxies in interaction shows that there is a large amount of neutral hydrogen associated with these galaxies. These masses
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