Transition Energies and Nuclear Levels in Sm¹⁵², Sm¹⁵⁴, Gd¹⁵², and Gd¹⁵⁴ as Derived from the Separated Isotopes of Europium*

J. M. CORK, M. K. BRICE, R. G. HELMER, AND D. E. SARASON Harrison M. Randall Laboratory of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan (Received April 8, 1957)

Specimens of highly enriched Eu¹⁵¹ and Eu¹⁵³ were irradiated in the Argonne CP-5 reactor and the product isotopes studied in both magnetic and scintillation spectrometers. Eu¹⁵² decays by electron emission to Gd¹⁵² and by K capture to Sm^{152} . Eu¹⁵⁴ emits beta particles leading to Gd¹⁵⁴ but no evidence appeared for positron emission or K capture leading to Sm^{154} . The many conversion-electron lines together with coincidence data allowed the evaluation of the gamma transitions and their arrangement in plausible nuclear level schemes. The beta spectra were resolved by the use of the double-focusing spectrometer. Each of the daughter nuclei is even-even in structure. A comparison is made of the observed lower energy transitions and the prediction from the "collective" model for rotational states.

NORMAL europium consists of two stable isotopes whose masses are 151 (47.8%) and 153 (52.2%). On neutron capture two long-lived radioactive isotopes of europium are produced. Many studies¹ have been made of these activities. Mass spectrometer analyses² of the active europium emitter showed it to be composed of two activities of nearly the same half-life. Spectrometer observations of the combined activity could not, except for a fortunate guess, lead to the correct assignment of the many gamma energies.

With the availability of the separated isotopes of europium the possibility of a proper interpretation of all observed conversion electron lines and gamma energies exists. A report³ on the gamma energies derived from Eu¹⁵² as observed in a scintillation spectrometer has been presented. Eu^{152} can decay either by K capture to Sm¹⁵² or by beta emission to Gd¹⁵². By using a scintillation crystal alone, the energies cannot be precisely evaluated nor is it possible with certainty to conclude in which of the final nuclei the transition occurs, except as this can be deduced from coincidence measurements.

In the present investigation enriched Eu¹⁵¹ (92%) and Eu¹⁵³ (95%) were irradiated in the maximum flux of the Argonne reactor for one month. The resulting strong sources were studied in both magnetic photographic and scintillation spectrometers.

Some thirty well-defined electron lines whose energies are presented in Table I are observed for Eu¹⁵². In addition, the energies of eight Auger electron lines have been evaluated, yielding values between 31.7 and 39.9 kev. It is apparent that certain groups of electron lines

have energy differences characteristic of the electronic binding energies of samarium while other lines are better satisfied by the corresponding differences in gadolinium. The K-L difference for gadolinium is about 3 kev greater than for samarium, and since the energy of each electron line is good to about 0.2% a fairly certain conclusion can be made of the element in which each gamma transition occurs. When only a single electron line occurs, as is sometimes the case, the proper placement may be aided by coincidence observations with the scintillation spectrometer.

Similarly, the approximately twenty electron lines obtained with Eu¹⁵⁴ are shown in Table II. Since the mass separation was not complete, there was some trace of each of the strong electron lines due to Eu¹⁵² when Eu¹⁵⁴ was studied. These contamination lines are not recorded in the tables. The remarkable similarity between the spectra from the Eu¹⁵² and Eu¹⁵⁴ sources for the energy band from 100 to 700 kev is shown in the reproduction of a composite spectrogram in Fig. 1.

Many of the electron lines for the two sources seem to have not only nearly the same energy but also

TABLE I. Conversion electron energies in kev and their interpretation for Eu¹⁵² (long-lived).

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Electron energy	Interpre- tation	Energy sum	Electron energy	Interpre- tation	Energy sum	
or K Gd 448.5 1045 K Sm 1092 404.3 L Gd 412.2 1071 K Sm 1118 456.9 K Gd 507.1 1111 L Sm 1119 498.1 L Gd 506.5 1369 K Sm 1416 530.0 K Sm 595.0 1408 L Sm 1146	$\begin{array}{c} 75.4\\ 114.8\\ 115.3\\ 120.5\\ 122.0\\ 198.5\\ 237.7\\ 243.8\\ 294.7\\ 337.0\\ 343.5\\ 362.2\\ 398.3\\ 404.3\\ 456.9\\ 498.1\\ 530.0\\ \end{array}$	K Sm L ₂ Sm L ₃ Sm M Sm K Sm K Sm K Gd L Gd K Gd K Gd L Gd K Gd L Gd K Gd L Gd K Gd	$\begin{array}{c} 122.3\\ 122.1\\ 122.1\\ 122.1\\ 122.1\\ 245.3\\ 245.4\\ 245.4\\ 345.1\\ 345.2\\ 412.2\\ 445.2\\ 445.2\\ 445.2\\ 445.2\\ 445.2\\ 507.1\\ 506.5\\ 585.0\\ \end{array}$	567 578.0 612.3 645.0 684.2 731.8 773.8 825 922 961 1045 1071 1111 1369 1408	K Sm or K Gd L Sm K Sm or K Gd L Sm K Sm K Sm K Sm L Sm K Sm K Sm L Sm K Sm K Sm	614 617 585.7 659 662 691.9 782.0 782.1 872 875 969 969 969 969 1092 1118 1119 1416	

^{*} This investigation received the joint support of the Office of

 ¹ Ints investigation received the joint support of the Office of Naval Research and the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.
 ¹ S. Ruben and M. Kamen, Phys. Rev. 57, 489 (1940); Cork, Shreffler, and Fowler, Phys. Rev. 72, 1209 (1947); see also Hollander, Perlman, and Seaborg, Revs. Modern Phys. 25, 469 (1953); O. Nathan and M. Waggoner, Nuclear Phys. 2, 548 (1957); F. S. Stephens, Jr., thesis, University of California, 1955 (unpublished) (unpublished).

² Karraker, Hayden, and Inghram, Phys. Rev. 87, 901 (1952). ³ L. Grodzins, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. Ser. II, 1, 163 (1956); H. Kendall and L. Grodzins, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. Ser. II, 1, 164

^{(1956).}

Electron energy	Interpre- tation	Energy sum	Electron energy	Interpre- tation	Energy sum
73.0	K Gd	123.2	675	K Gd	725
115.0	$L \mathrm{Gd}$	123.1	686	$L \operatorname{Gd}$	694
115.6	L_3 Gd	123.1	709	$K \operatorname{Gd}$	759
121.2	M Gd	123.1	825	$K \mathrm{Gd}$	875
122.5	$N \mathrm{Gd}$	123.0		or K Sm	872
198.1	$K \operatorname{Gd}$	248.3	949	$K \mathrm{Gd}$	999
239.8	L Gd	248.2		or $K \operatorname{Sm}$	996
246.5	$M \mathrm{Gd}$	248.5	958	$K \mathrm{Gd}$	1008
484.9	K Gd	535	1000	$L \mathrm{Gd}$	1008
	or K Sm	532	1231	K Gd	1281
542.0	K Gd	592	1273	$L \mathrm{Gd}$	1281
	or K Sm	589			
643.7	K Gd	694			

similar intensities. This shows the futility of attempting

cross section for neutrons in Eu¹⁵³ compared with their

electron energies are listed in Table III. Certain of

these are expressed with confidence where both K and L

lines are observed. When only single lines are observed,

the assignment to the correct daughter isotope and hence the correct energy is dependent upon coincidence

observations. In a very few cases this information is

not certain and alternate values are given for the

three types of data. With the specimen of Eu¹⁵² near the crystal a "singles" curve showing peaks as presented in Curve A, Fig. 2, is obtained. Now by inserting the source in a cylindrical hole in the top of the crystal,

The scintillation spectrometer was used to obtain

The gamma rays in Gd and Sm as derived from the

capture in Eu¹⁵¹.

gamma-ray energy.

100

TABLE II. Conversion electron energies in kev and their interpretation for Eu¹⁵⁴.

TABLE	III. Summary of gamma-ray energies in kev as
	derived from electron conversion lines.

Other energies (Single conversion line, coincidence data)

Eu154

64Gd90154

123.1

248.3

694.0

1008

1281

535 592

725

759

875

62Sm 92154

Eu152

64Gd 88152

345.1

412.2

507.0

782.0

or 617

or 662

1100

62Sm 90152

122.2

245.3

585.8

691.9

445.2

614

659

872

1092

1170

969

1118 1416

a solution by using unseparated isotopes. An estimate of the contamination of Eu¹⁵² in the Eu¹⁵⁴ is shown by effect of combining certain energies that are in imobserving the relative intensity of the line at 75.4 kev mediate sequence such as the x-ray at 41 kev and the which is a K line for a Sm^{152} gamma ray at 122.2 kev. gamma ray at 122 kev to give a new peak at 163 kev, Similarly the conversion lines for the 345.1-kev gamma as shown in Curve B. Coincidence data are observed between beta energies and the complete gamma specin Gd¹⁵² appears on the Eu¹⁵⁴ photographic plates. No trace of the Eu¹⁵⁴ lines was noticeable on the Eu¹⁵² trum and between individual gamma peaks and the plates. This is due to the relatively small capture remaining gamma energies.

> Those gamma transitions that are in coincidence with betas must occur in gadolinium, since no positron emission could be found from either source and K capture yields only x-ray conversion electrons. By interposing various thicknesses of aluminum between the source and detector, the approximate energy of the coincident betas was established. For example, in the Eu¹⁵² source the 345-kev gamma ray shows strong coincidence with beta rays of energy greater than 400 kev. The 781-kev peak is in strong coincidence with beta energies less than 400 kev and in weak coincidence with higher energy betas. Those gamma transitions



FIG. 1. Electron spectra for sources of Eu¹⁵² and Eu¹⁵⁴ for energies from 100 to 700 kev.



FIG. 2. Scintillation spectrometer data for Eu¹⁵². (a) Singles distribution; (b) summation peaks; (c) coincidence peaks with the 122-kev gamma ray.

not in coincidence with betas are assumed to be in samarium.

Gamma-gamma coincidences are observed for each source. Curve C in Fig. 2 shows the coincidences between the 122-kev gamma ray and gamma rays of other energies. A resolution of the curves shows coincidences at 245, 872, 969, 1118, and 1416 kev. This leads to the placement of the 872-kev gamma ray in samarium even though only a single conversion line was measured for it. The 245-kev gamma ray was in coincidence with peaks at 122, 872, and 1170 kev. No coincidence could be observed between it and the 969-, 1118-, and 1416-kev gammas. The 1170-kev gamma ray was not observed by electron conversion but the coincidence peak is strong evidence that it exists. The 445- and 969-kev gamma rays were definitely in coincidence.



FIG. 3. Fermi plot and analysis of the beta spectrum of Eu¹⁵².

In Gd¹⁵² the 345-kev gamma ray was found to be in coincidence with peaks at 412, 782, and 1100 kev. The 412- and 782-kev gamma rays were not in coincidence.

The entire Eu^{154} gamma spectrum was in coincidence with betas, thus indicating that all of the transitions occurred in Gd¹⁵⁴ and none in Sm¹⁵⁴. The gamma ray at 123 kev was in coincidence with others at 248, 592, 694, 875, 1281, and possibly 700 and 1000 kev. The 248-kev peak was in coincidence only with energies of 123 and 759 kev. A peak in the region of 700 kev was in coincidence with another at 875 and possibly 1000 kev.

The beta spectra of Eu^{152} and Eu^{154} were observed with the double-focusing spectrometer. In each case the spectrum was found to be complex, as shown by the Fermi plots in Figs. 3 and 4. The component of highest



FIG. 4. Fermi plot and analysis of the beta spectrum of Eu¹⁵⁴.

energy in Eu¹⁵² (E_{max} =1459 kev) has the unique firstforbidden shape, while the 680-kev component has the allowed shape. Allowed forms are assumed for the three weaker branches. In Eu¹⁵⁴ no forbidden shapes are evident. In both isotopes the successive subtraction involved in analyses of the Fermi plots lead to relatively large uncertainties in the endpoint energies of lower components. A summary of the energies, branching ratios, and log *ft* values is presented in Table IV.

The relative intensities of a number of the internal conversion lines in both isotopes were measured, both by means of microphotometer traces of the photographic plates and with the double-focusing spectrometer. In Sm¹⁵² the K/L ratios for the 122- and 245-kev gamma rays are found to be 1.5 and 3.3, respectively, and that for the 345-kev gamma in Gd¹⁵² is 4.6, indicating that all three transitions are electric quadrupole. In Gd¹⁵⁴ the 123- and 248-kev gammas have K/L ratios of 1.2 and 4.6, respectively, which are again consistent with E2 transitions. For the higher energy gamma rays the L lines were, in general, too weak to permit reliable intensity measurements.

The decay of Eu¹⁵² and Eu¹⁵⁴ in every case leads to an even-even nucleus, for which the spin of the ground state is zero. The energies of the first excited states of

TABLE IV. Summary of beta transitions in Eu¹⁵² and Eu¹⁵⁴.

Isotope	Energy (kev)	Rel. abundance %	logft
Eu ¹⁵²	1459 ± 15	21	11.6
	1050 ± 20	6	11.7
	680 ± 20	51	10.1
	360 ± 40	13	9.7
	220 ± 40	9	9.1
Eu ¹⁵⁴	1842 ± 20	7	12.4
	1600 ± 20	3	12.1
	833 ± 30	20	10.9
	554 ± 30	30	9.9
	246 ± 30	28	8.9
	150 ± 40	12	8.7



even-even nuclei in this region depend critically upon the neutron number. The data currently available are shown in Fig. 5. The points indicated by asterisks are the results of the present investigation. The strong



FIG. 6. Level scheme for the Sm¹⁵² nucleus. (Position of dotted transitions not well established.)

spectral similarity shown in Fig. 1 is really due largely to the like structures Sm¹⁵² and Gd¹⁵⁴, both of which have 90 neutrons.

Level schemes for the daughter isotopes Sm¹⁵², Gd¹⁵² and Gd¹⁵⁴ are presented in Figs. 6, 7, and 8. These level schemes are consistent with the available coincidence data, and include all but three of the observed gamma transitions. The first two excited states of Sm¹⁵² and Gd¹⁵⁴ have nearly the same energies, which is not unexpected considering that the two nuclei differ only by a pair of protons. In this region the collective model of the nucleus is expected to apply, predicting a series of rotational excited levels with even spin and parity $(0+, 2+, 4+, \cdots)$ and with energies proportional to I(I+1). The expected ratio of second to first excited state energies, according to the collective model, is then 10:3, and this ratio is generally observed in the region indicated by the flat part of the curve in Fig. 5, well away from the shell closures at magic numbers.

In Sm¹⁵² and Gd¹⁵⁴, each with 90 neutrons, the E2 character of the two strong low-energy gamma rays is as expected for transitions between rotational levels, and suggests that the first and second excited states have spins 2+ and 4+, respectively. The spin assignment of 4 to the second excited state is confirmed, in the case of Gd¹⁵⁴, by the absence of a beta transition to the ground state, and the 0–2–4 spin sequence has also been established by angular correlation measurements

in both isotopes.⁴ In both cases the ratio of second to first excited state energies is found to be 3:1. The small deviation from the predicted value is attributed to the fact that these isotopes lie just at the lower edge of the band of neutron numbers where the collective model is expected to hold.

In the Sm¹⁵² energy level scheme, K-capture branches are indicated where the estimated intensities of the gamma transitions seem to warrant them. That Kcapture occurs directly to the 122-kev level is indicated by the fact that the 122-kev gamma forms a strong summation peak with the x-ray alone, as well as with other gamma rays (Curve B, Fig. 2). A comparison of the relative intensities of the 122-kev gamma ray in Sm¹⁵² and the 345-kev gamma ray in Gd¹⁵² indicates that roughly 80% of the Eu¹⁵² decays are by electron capture.



FIG. 7. Level scheme for the Gd¹⁵² nucleus.

In Gd¹⁵² no beta transition to the ground state is observed. The unique first forbidden ($\Delta I=2$, yes) shape of the highest energy transition, leading to the 345-kev excited state, suggests that the ground state spin of Eu¹⁵² may be 4, with odd parity. The unusually high log*ft* values of the high-energy beta transitions are probably due to their *K* forbiddenness, since they go from a state with I=K=4 to states with K=0 (*K* being the projection of the total angular momentum on the nuclear axis of symmetry). The lowest energy beta transition (~220 kev) does not lead to an energy level from which we have observed any deexciting



FIG. 8. Level scheme for the Gd¹⁵⁴ nucleus.

gamma radiation and has, therefore, not been shown in the level scheme.

No beta transition to the ground state is observed in Gd¹⁵⁴. The transitions to the first two excited levels are interpreted as ordinary first-forbidden, suggesting a spin of 3, odd parity, for the Eu¹⁵⁴ ground state. The high $\log ft$ values for the beta transitions are again attributed to K forbiddenness.

Coulomb excitation of $\mathrm{Sm^{154}}$ ⁵ has led to the observation of a gamma ray at 82 kev. In the present investigation no evidence for this transition appears, nor is there conclusive evidence for other transitions in $\mathrm{Sm^{154}}$. Since this isotope is stable, electron capture in $\mathrm{Eu^{154}}$ should be energetically possible, but it may be concluded that either the branching ratio for this process is small, or most such transitions lead directly to the ground state.

The level schemes proposed here for Sm¹⁵² and Gd¹⁵² are somewhat similar to those proposed³ by Dr. Grodzins. Certain additional gamma rays are observed while some others are not found as reported. The values of the gamma-ray energies in some cases differ, but at lower values the results from the magnetic spectrometer are undoubtedly more reliable. The level scheme proposed here for Gd¹⁵⁴ is almost the same as that suggested by Stephens, again with some revision of the gamma-ray energies.

⁵ N. P. Heydenburg and G. M. Temmer, Phys. Rev. 100, 150 (1955).

⁴ L. Grodzins (private communication).



FIG. 1. Electron spectra for sources of Eu¹⁵² and Eu¹⁵⁴ for energies from 100 to 700 kev.