

Method for Testing Time-Reversal Invariance in Beta Decay*

M. MORITA† AND R. SAITO MORITA‡

Department of Physics, Columbia University, New York, New York

(Received March 22, 1957)

One of the possible tests of time-reversal invariance in weak interactions is the electron-neutrino angular correlation, for which no polarized nuclei and no measurements of the polarization of emitted electrons are required. If the electron-neutrino angular correlation function, e.g., $1 + (\not{p}/3W)f(g)\cos\theta$ (for $\Delta J = \pm 1$ transitions) has \not{p} and/or Z dependences in the measurements of $f(g)$ [see Eq. (7)], invariance under time reversal T is not conserved. Conversely, if invariance under T holds, there are no \not{p} and Z dependences of $f(g)$. In experiments, it is advisable to compare the $f(g)$'s for electron and positron decays. Furthermore, the experiment will be another possible test of charge-conjugation invariance if time-reversal invariance does not hold.

1. INTRODUCTION

TO test the conservation of parity in weak interactions, several crucial experiments were suggested by Lee and Yang.¹⁻³ Recent results on the beta decay of polarized Co⁶⁰ nuclei⁴ and the electron angular distribution of $\pi-\mu-e$ decay⁵ showed that the weak interactions such as beta decay and $\pi-\mu-e$ decay are indeed not invariant under space inversion (P) and charge-conjugation (C). However, the question of invariance under time-reversal (T) is still unanswered.

From the theoretical studies of several authors^{3,6,7} together with the results of the recent experiments,^{4,5} the remaining possibilities of the invariance properties for weak interactions (H) are, either (i) H is invariant under T , PC , and CP , but not invariant under P and C ; or (ii) H is invariant under PCT and its permutations, but not invariant under each of the single operators, P , C , and T .

As is well known, the invariance with respect to T imposes the restriction that the 10 coupling constants C_i and C'_i must be real (apart from a trivial common phase factor which can be normalized to unity). Therefore, we can test invariance with respect to T by measuring the values of $(iC_i^*C_j + \text{c.c.})$ ($i \neq j$) or $(iC_i^*C'_j + \text{c.c.})$ ($i = j$ and $i \neq j$) in experiments. In terms of measurable quantities, it is necessary to measure terms that change sign under T , which can be constructed out of the following five quantities: polarization of decaying nuclei $\langle \mathbf{J} \rangle$, polarization of emitted electron $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$, momenta of electrons and neutrinos \mathbf{p}_e , \mathbf{p}_ν , and the factor $\alpha Z/\not{p}$ which gives the Coulomb distortion of the electron

wave functions. A general rule for constructing terms that are not invariant under T has been given by Lee and Yang.⁸

In this connection, one method which could be used to test invariance with respect to T was proposed by Lee and Yang.^{2,3} This is to measure the \not{p} or Z dependence of the asymmetry parameters β in the beta angular distributions from polarized nuclei.⁹

Jackson, Treiman, and Wyld¹⁰ investigated also possible tests of invariance with respect to T in connection with the quantities $\langle \mathbf{J} \rangle \cdot (\mathbf{p}_e \times \mathbf{p}_\nu)$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p}_e \times \mathbf{p}_\nu)$, and $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\langle \mathbf{J} \rangle \times \mathbf{p}_e)$.

Here we will show another method which could be used to test the invariance under time reversal. This method was once proposed by one of the authors¹¹ for just such a test but was based on the old theory of beta decay where parity is conserved. The principal quantity to be measured in this method is the term $\mathbf{p}_e \cdot \mathbf{p}_\nu (\alpha Z/\not{p})$. Consequently, this method needs neither polarized nuclei nor any measurements of the polarization of emitted electrons. This may help greatly in simplifying the experimental procedures. Furthermore, the $\alpha Z/\not{p}$ term is not so small in certain circumstances as one suspected at first.¹²

2. ELECTRON-NEUTRINO ANGULAR CORRELATION

Let us consider the electron-neutrino angular correlation by taking Eq. (A1) of reference 1 as the interaction of beta decay. If we use the same notation as reference 1, the energy and angle distribution of the electron in an allowed transition is given as follows¹²:

$$N(W, \theta) dW \sin\theta d\theta = (\xi L_0/4\pi^3) F(Z, W) \not{p} W (W_0 - W)^2 \times \{1 + (a + a_T)(\not{p}/W) \cos\theta + (b/W)\} dW \sin\theta d\theta, \quad (1)$$

⁸ T. D. Lee and C. N. Yang, Lecture Notes on Elementary Particles at Brookhaven National Laboratory (unpublished).

⁹ This is also possible in transitions with $\Delta J = 0$, where the asymmetry parameter has $(iC_T^*C_A' + \text{c.c.})$, $(iC_S^*C_A' + \text{c.c.})$ and similar terms in its $(\alpha Z/\not{p})$ term. The cross terms among interactions of Fermi and Gamow-Teller type like these can be expected in some other phenomena of beta decay from unpolarized nuclei (to be published).

¹⁰ Jackson, Treiman, and Wyld, Phys. Rev. **106**, 517 (1957).

¹¹ M. Morita, Progr. Theoret. Phys. (Japan) **10**, 364 (1953).

¹² The fourth term of (2) in reference 11 should be multiplied by a factor $-\frac{1}{3}$, as the first term of (4) in this paper. In (A4) of reference 1, (4) was not considered.

* This work was partially supported by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

† On leave from Kobayasi Institute of Physical Research, Kokubunzi, Tokyo, Japan.

‡ On leave from Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan.

¹ T. D. Lee and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. **104**, 254 (1956).

² Lee, Oehme, and Yang, Phys. Rev. **106**, 340 (1957).

³ T. D. Lee and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. **105**, 1671 (1957).

⁴ Wu, Ambler, Hayward, Hoppes, and Hudson, Phys. Rev. **105**, 1413 (1957).

⁵ Garwin, Lederman, and Weinrich, Phys. Rev. **105**, 1415 (1957).

⁶ G. Lüders, Kgl. Danske Videnskab. Selskab, Mat.-fys. Medd. **28**, No. 5 (1954).

⁷ W. Pauli, in *Niels Bohr and the Development of Physics*, edited by W. Pauli (Pergamon Press, London, 1955).

with

$$L_0 = (2p^2F)^{-1}(g_{-1}^2 + f_1^2),$$

$$\xi = (|C_S|^2 + |C_V|^2 + |C_S'|^2 + |C_V'|^2)|M_F|^2 + (|C_T|^2 + |C_A|^2 + |C_T'|^2 + |C_A'|^2)|M_{G.T.}|^2, \quad (2)$$

$$a\xi = \frac{1}{3}(|C_T|^2 - |C_A|^2 + |C_T'|^2 - |C_A'|^2)|M_{G.T.}|^2 - (|C_S|^2 - |C_V|^2 + |C_S'|^2 - |C_V'|^2)|M_F|^2, \quad (3)$$

$$a_T\xi = \pm \left[\frac{1}{3}(iC_T^*C_A + iC_T'^*C_A')|M_{G.T.}|^2 - (iC_S^*C_V + iC_S'^*C_V')|M_F|^2 + \text{c.c.} \right] (\alpha Z/p), \quad (4)$$

$$b\xi = \pm \gamma \left[(C_S^*C_V + C_S'^*C_V')|M_F|^2 + (C_T^*C_A + C_T'^*C_A')|M_{G.T.}|^2 + \text{c.c.} \right]; \quad (5)$$

α is the fine-structure constant. These equations are correct without any assumption for the magnitude of Z , but with neglect of the finite de Broglie wavelength effect and finite nuclear-size correction. The upper (lower) signs in (4) and (5) refer to electron (positron) decay. As we expected, Eq. (4) shows dependence on T and also on C . As the recent experimental results^{4,5} showed noninvariance with respect to C in weak interactions, this term remains. Equation (5) is equivalent to the Fierz interference term in the old theory with parity conservation, except that $C_S^*C_V$ is replaced by $C_S^*C_V + C_S'^*C_V'$ and so on. If invariance under C holds, then (5) automatically vanishes. From this phenomenon, however, we can get no information concerning invariance under P , because of lack of interferences between C_i and C_j' .¹

To simplify the situation, let us assume that C_i and C_i' have equal absolute magnitudes and a very small phase difference, i.e., $C_i \approx \pm C_i'$. Furthermore, we define $C_A/C_T = g \exp(i\varphi)$, where g and φ are real. From (1), ..., (5), the electron-neutrino angular correlation function $W(\theta)$, for $\Delta J = \pm 1$ for example, becomes as follows:

$$W(\theta) = 1 + (p/3W)f(g) \cos\theta, \quad (6)$$

with

$$f(g) = [1 \mp 2g(\alpha Z/p) - g^2]/(1+g^2), \quad (7)$$

where again the upper (lower) sign refers to electron (positron) decay, and we assumed $\varphi = \pi/2$,¹¹ which is in conformity with experimental results (no Fierz term). The value of $f(g)$ is $+1$ for a pure tensor and -1 for a pure axial vector. If the beta interaction is invariant under T , then C_A and C_T are in phase. Then, there are no momentum and charge dependences in $f(g)$ (and a

$1/W$ term appears in the beta spectrum which restricts the value of $|C_A/C_T|$). If we observe a p or Z dependence of $f(g)$ in the experiment, then time-reversal invariance does not hold in beta decay.

From the data for the electron-neutrino angular correlation in the decay of He^6 ,¹³ which has a very small αZ , we can obtain $g^2 \lesssim \frac{1}{3}$. Therefore, if we choose some beta-active nuclide with a medium or high value of Z ,¹⁴ we can test the p or Z dependence of $f(g)$ and, consequently, the invariance under time-reversal. It is more advisable to measure and compare the $f(g)$'s of electron and positron decays in the same energy region:

$$f_1(g) - f_2(g) = (2g\alpha Z/p)(Z_1 + Z_2)/(1+g^2), \quad (8)$$

where the subscripts 1 and 2 refer to positron and electron decays. Assuming invariance with respect to T or C , $f_1(g) - f_2(g)$ is equal to zero.

3. CONCLUSION

The p and Z dependences of $f(g)$ in the electron-neutrino angular correlation will reveal the invariance of beta interaction under T . If the measurement of the electron-neutrino angular correlation indicates that invariance under T does not hold, this phenomenon also indicates noninvariance under charge-conjugation. It is noticed that if the experimental $f(g)$ does not show any momentum or charge dependence, we cannot obtain any definite conclusion for invariance under time-reversal from this experiment alone. This is due to the possibility that although C_A and C_T are out of phase, the absolute magnitude of C_A/C_T is very small. The situation is similar for other methods to test time-reversal invariance given in references 2 and 10.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to Professor W. W. Havens, Jr., for his hospitality, and to Professor C. S. Wu and Professor T. D. Lee for many valuable discussions and for reading the manuscript. One of them (M. M.) is deeply indebted to the Nishina Memorial Foundation for a grant, which made his stay in the United States possible, and the other (R. S. M.) to the Yukawa Yomiuri Fellowship for financial aid in the past year.

¹³ B. M. Rustad and S. L. Ruby, Phys. Rev. **97**, 441 (1955). For $\Delta J = 0$ transitions (e.g., for n^1 , Ne^{19} , and A^{35}) the theoretical analysis is being made by us.

¹⁴ Beta-active nuclide of Z at least 15-40 should be used.