Letters to the Editor

P UBLICATION of brief reports of important discoveries in physics may be secured by addressing them to this department. The closing date for this department is five weeks prior to the date of issue. No proof will be sent to the authors. The Board of Editors does not hold itself responsible for the opinions expressed by the correspondents. Communications should not exceed 600 words in length and should be submitted in duplicate.

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance in Paramagnetic Iron Group Fluorides

V. JACCARINO AND R. G. SHULMAN, Bell Telephone Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey

AND

J. W. STOUT,* Institute for the Study of Metals, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois (Received March 15, 1957)

FOLLOWING the initial observation¹ of nuclear magnetic resonance of F^{19} in MnF₂, in which fractional displacements of the resonance as large as 8% were observed, we have continued these studies in CoF₂, FeF₂, NiF₂, CrF₃, FeF₃, and CuF₂. Except for CrF₃, where no displacements were observed, all the resonances were shifted to lower fields.

The shifts of the F¹⁹ resonances from ω/γ^{19} in sphericalshaped single crystals of CoF₂ and FeF₂ with H₀ parallel to [001] are plotted vs temperature in Fig. 1. In both cases we have included the corresponding susceptibilities² arbitrarily normalized at the highest temperature measured. As in MnF₂,³ ΔH and χ_{11} coincide for FeF₂ (a similar observation was drawn from the measurements on powdered NiF₂) but a large discrepancy is to be noted in CoF₂. With H₀ in (001), the resonance fields vs angle measured from [100] were recorded and are plotted in Fig. 2. It is to be noted that both the shift and the anisotropy in the shift are larger for



FIG. 1. The shift of the F¹⁹ resonance vs temperature, $H_0 \parallel [001]$ in CoF₂ and FeF₂. The solid curves are the corresponding normalized susceptibilities.

 $Co(F^{19})_2$ than for $Fe(F^{19})_2$. Similar measurements have been made with H_0 in (110) in which the displacements exhibit 180° symmetry rather than the 90° symmetry shown in Fig. 1. In CoF₂ the two resonances were resolved at room temperature and 16 Mc/sec, whereas in FeF₂ they were resolvable only at 90°K.

The large shifts and their angular dependences are explained by electron transfer from the F⁻ to the paramagnetic ion as was the case in MnF₂.¹ The unpaired electron remaining on the F⁻ has a time-average spin polarization proportional to $\langle S \rangle$, the spin polarization of the magnetic ion. The hyperfine interaction of the unpaired electron shifts the resonances to a frequency^{3,4}

$$\omega = \gamma \left[\sum_{ij} \left(H_i - \frac{A_i^{j}}{\gamma \hbar} \langle S_i \rangle^j \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad (1)$$

where *i* refers to the three principal directions, *j* to the three nearest magnetic ions, and A_i^{j} is the principal value of the hyperfine interaction constant resulting from an electron being transferred to the *j*th magnetic ion with the external field in the *i*th direction.

Unlike MnF₂, where $\langle S_i \rangle$ is simply related to the measured susceptibility, FeF₂ and particularly CoF₂ present difficulties. In FeF₂ one must subtract from the susceptibility the orbital contributions which can be estimated by the departure of the electronic g from the free-electron value. Analyzing the data along the lines suggested by Tinkham,⁵ as modified in reference 3, we find that the percentage of s character of the covalent bond (transferred electron) is $(0.47\pm0.04)\%$.

In CoF_2 the susceptibility deviates from the Curie-Weiss law because of thermal excitation to low-lying electronic states with different orbital contributions. The interpretation of the hyperfine interaction in this compound will be presented at a later date. At 77°K and 300°K the shapes and widths of the two resolved lines were measured. Although the less displaced line was symmetric and close to Lorentzian in shape, the other was asymmetric.

The resonance in a powder sample of anhydrous NiF₂ was studied from 75.5°K to 300°K. Unlike CoF₂, FeF₂, and MnF₂, where the temperature variations of the displacements and then anisotropies are continuous before disappearing abruptly at the antiferromagnetic transition temperatures, in NiF₂ an anomalous increase in line width was observed, in a small temperature range, before the resonance disappeared at T_n . This effect may be associated with the small ferromagnetic moment previously⁶ noted in susceptibility measurements.

In powder samples of CuF₂ and FeF₃, the shifts at room temperature were 0.47% and 1.2% respectively. In CrF₃, however, where the 3d³ electrons are all in $d\epsilon$ orbitals, the shift of the fluorine resonance was less than 0.03%, reflecting the absence of σ bonding.



FIG. 2. The fields for resonance vs angle, measured from [100], with H₀ in (001) in CoF₂ (at 77°K) and in FeF₂ (at 90°K). In both sets of data the frequency was 16.230 Mc/sec corresponding to an undisplaced F¹⁹ resonance field of 4052 oersteds.

We thank Dr. F. Reif for making available to us the results of his preliminary measurements on FeF_2 .

* The work at the University of Chicago was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research.

¹ R. G. Shulman and V. Jaccarino, Phys. Rev. **103**, 1126 (1956). ² J. W. Stout and L. M. Matarrese, Rev. Modern Phys. **25**, 338 (1953).

⁶ R. G. Shulman and V. Jaccarino (to be published).
⁴ T. Moriya, Progr. Theoret. Phys. (Japan) (to be published).
⁵ M. Tinkham, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A236, 535, 549 (1956).
⁶ L. M. Matarrese and J. W. Stout, Phys. Rev. 94, 1792 (1954).

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance in CoF₂ and FeF₂

I. M. BAKER AND W. HAYES Clarendon Laboratory, Oxford, England (Received March 18, 1957)

CHULMAN and Jaccarino¹ have recently observed \mathcal{J} nuclear magnetic resonance of F¹⁹ nuclei in MnF₂. They found a much greater "paramagnetic shift" than one would calculate from magnetic dipole fields of the manganese ions, and they explained it by overlap of the magnetic electrons onto the fluorine nuclei. Bleaney² also calculated the shift, using overlap parameters derived by Tinkham.³ These were obtained from measurements of the fluorine hyperfine structure in the paramagnetic resonance spectrum of Mn++ ions in ZnF2. Tinkham also made measurements of this hyperfine structure in the paramagnetic resonance spectra of Co++ and Fe++ in ZnF2. We have measured the "paramagnetic shift" of the field in which nuclear magnetic resonance of F^{19} occurs in CoF_2 and FeF_2 in order to see if these can be correlated with Tinkham's results.

Bleaney has shown that the resonance frequency ν in a field H is given by

$$h\nu = g_N \beta_N H (1 + \alpha)$$

a

$$u = (1338\chi/g)\sum_N A^N,$$

where χ is the susceptibility of the salt per mole, g is the spectroscopic splitting factor, and A^N is the hyperfine structure coupling constant between the fluorine nuclear spin \mathbf{I} and the electronic spin \mathbf{S} (the interaction being $\sum_{N} \mathbf{I} \cdot A^{N} \cdot \mathbf{S}$). The sum is taken over all ions in the crystal, but as the three nearest ions have by far the largest coupling constant they will contribute most to the shift. For a spherical specimen the more distant ions will give a contribution approximately cancelling the demagnetizing field, which may therefore be neglected.

The main features of our results in CoF2 and FeF2 are similar to those of Shulman and Jaccarino and the paramagnetic shifts observed are of the same order of magnitude. When H is in the ab plane there are two resonances, corresponding to inequivalent sites, which are coincident in the a and b directions and have a maximum separation $(H\Delta\alpha)$ at 45° to these axes. The mean paramagnetic shift parameter in this plane has been called α_{ab} and that for the *c* direction α_c . There is only one resonance for all orientations in the bc plane. Our measurements were made at 30 Mc/sec at two temperatures above the antiferromagnetic transition temperature. No resonance was observed at 90°K in FeF₂ or at 20°K in CoF₂. The antiferromagnetic transition temperatures are variously given as 90°K for FeF₂ and 50°K for CoF2,4 and 78°K for FeF2 and 38°K for CoF₂.⁵ The single-crystal specimens we used were not spherical, but as their dimensions in three mutually perpendicular directions were nearly equal, it is assumed that the field inside them is the same as it would be for a spherical sample (the correction will in fact be small as $\chi_{vol} \sim 4 \times 10^{-4}$ cgs). There will, however, be a contribution of a few gauss to the line width due to lack of field homogeneity over the specimen.

The shift parameters α obtained from our measurements are given in Table I. The values of $\alpha_c/\chi_{\mu\nu}$ α_{ab}/χ_{\perp} , and $\Delta \alpha/\chi_{\perp}$ are approximately independent of temperature. The susceptibilities are given by de Haas and Schultz,⁶ and Stout and Matarrese.⁷

TABLE I. Observed and calculated values of the paramagnetic shift parameter α .

Salt	Temp. °K	αc×10 ³ Meas. ^a Calc. ^b		α _{ab} ×10 ³ Meas, ^a Calc. ^b		$\Delta \alpha \times 10^3$ Meas. ^a Calc. ^b	
FeF2	300 195	36.2 49.1	${}^{41\pm3}_{57\pm5}$	30.3 39.0	$38 \pm 7 \\ 51 \pm 9$	9.6 11.8	$2\pm 8 \\ 3\pm 12$
CoF2	300 90	35.8 79.7	$\begin{array}{c} 19 \pm 3 \\ (31 \pm 5) \\ 37 \pm 5 \\ (61 \pm 9) \end{array}$	30.7 82.0	$\begin{array}{c} 19 \pm 3 \\ (23 \pm 4) \\ 52 \pm 7 \\ (63 \pm 9) \end{array}$	15.2 33.6	$4\pm4 \\ (5\pm5) \\ 11\pm7 \\ (13\pm9)$
MnF_2	77			72 .0 °	77 ± 6^{d}	19.6°	7 ± 8

^a The error in the measurements of $\alpha \times 10^{\circ}$ and $\Delta \alpha \times 10^{\circ}$ in FeF₂ and CoF₂ is ± 1.3 on all values. ^b CoF₂ values are calculated by using Tinkham's g values (unbracketed) and Nakamura and Taketa's g values (bracketed). ^e Shulman and Jaccarino's experimental results without a demagnetising correction

d Bleaney's calculated value.²