# Precipitation of Cu in Ge

A. G. TWEET

General Electric Research Laboratory, Schenectady, New York (Received January 4, 1957)

The kinetics of precipitation of Cu from supersaturated solid solution in Ge have been studied as a function of temperature and dislocation density in the samples. The results can be expressed as an exponential decay with time of the unprecipitated fraction of Cu. We find that for a given dislocation density the time constant for the precipitation has a different temperature-dependence at high temperatures from its temperaturedependence at lower temperatures, and that the temperature of the break in the curve is a function of dislocation density. It is proposed that the high-temperature precipitation is limited by the diffusion of Cu to dislocations by the dissociative mechanism described by Frank and Turnbull. At lower temperatures the rate-limiting step is believed to be the actual process of dissociation of a substitutional Cu into a vacancy and an interstitial Cu atom. The measurements permit an estimate of the vacancy concentration in Ge at ~715°C.

### I. INTRODUCTION

OGAN<sup>1</sup> has shown that the rate of precipitation of Cu from supersaturated solid solution in Ge is greatly accelerated at 500°C if the Ge has been deformed plastically. This paper presents a more complete and quantitative picture of the process, together with a proposed mechanism to explain the results.

#### **II. EXPERIMENTAL**

Bars of p-type Ge,  $1 \text{ mm} \times 3 \text{ mm} \times 2 \text{ cm}$ , with initial hole concentrations of  $\sim 10^{14}/\mathrm{cm^3}$ , were twisted various amounts about (110) axes<sup>2</sup> and then twisted back flat, in order to facilitate electrical measurement. The deformation was performed in an atmosphere of  $N_2$  at  $\sim 520^{\circ}$ C. A  $Cu(NO_3)_2$  solution was applied and the samples were heated in H<sub>2</sub> to temperatures from 700°C to 850°C for periods of one hour and more to produce a solid solution of electrically active Cu. The samples were cooled in a few seconds to room temperature. After grinding and etching, carrier concentration was measured by the Hall effect, at a field strength of  $\sim 1600$  gauss. The samples were reheated for various lengths of time, at temperatures below those used to dissolve the Cu, in order to precipitate a fraction of the Cu acceptors. Carrier density was again measured and this procedure continued until the acceptor concentration was between 1%and 10% of its original value. Typical data for several samples which were twisted  $\pm 90^{\circ}$  are shown in Fig. 1. The ordinate represents the percentage of  $N_{ai}$ , the initial number of electrically active (unprecipitated) acceptors, remaining after precipitation at 450°C; the time of precipitation, t, is the abscissa. If one assumes a single acceptor per Cu atom, this figure represents the percentage of unprecipitated Cu atoms. Cu introduces acceptor levels into Ge at 0.04 ev and 0.33 ev from the valence band and at 0.26 ev from the conduction band.<sup>3</sup> Neglect of the upper Cu acceptor levels results in errors in concentration of 30% and 15% at Cu concentration

of  $3 \times 10^{14}$ /cm<sup>3</sup> and  $10^{15}$ /cm<sup>3</sup>, respectively. Initial acceptor concentration in these samples was  $\sim 10^{16}/\text{cm}^3$ . The data fall quite well on a curve whose equation is

$$N_a(t) = N_{ai} e^{-t/\tau_p},\tag{1}$$

where  $N_a(t)$  is the number of acceptors due to Cu present after precipitation time t.  $N_{ai}$  is the acceptor concentration before precipitation.  $\tau_p$  is ~50 minutes.



FIG. 1. Time-dependence of the precipitation of Cu from supersaturated solid solution in heavily deformed (dislocation density  $\sim 10^{7}$ /cm<sup>2</sup>) Ge at 450°C. Ordinate is percent  $N_{ai}$ , the initial number of acceptors due to Cu. Abscissa is time of precipitation. Initial Cu concentration was  $\sim 10^{16}$ /cm<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> R. A. Logan, Phys. Rev. 100, 615 (1955)

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sample twisted about (111) axes behaved in the same way.
 <sup>3</sup> H. H. Woodbury and W. W. Tyler, Phys. Rev. 105, 84 (1957).



FIG. 2. Time-dependence of the precipitation of Cu in Ge with dislocation density  $\sim 10^4/\text{cm}^2$  at 450°C. Ordinate is percent  $N_{at}$ ; abscissa is time of precipitation. Initial Cu concentration  $\sim 10^{15}$ /cm<sup>3</sup>.

Figure 2 shows similar data on undeformed samples of Ge (dislocation density  $\sim 10^4/\text{cm}^2$ ), which were also precipitated at 450°C. Note the large differences in time scales and the scatter of the data for a single sample. This spread is probably related to variation in dislocation geometry from sample to sample. The average behavior of the collection of samples satisfies Eq. (1)fairly well, however, with a  $\tau_p$  of ~95 hours.

A program was carried out to determine the dependence of the time constant,  $\tau_p$ , for the precipitation process upon temperature and dislocation density,  $n_D$ , in the sample. The results are shown on Fig. 3 where we have plotted  $\tau_p$  vs  $1/T^{\circ}K$ . The numbers attached to the different curves refer to dislocation densities in the samples. The numbers in parentheses are estimated on the basis of the amount of twist. The others refer to densities known from etch pit counts on crystals purposely slipped during growth. (We estimate that a twist of  $\pm 5^{\circ}$  yields a dislocation density of  $\sim 10^{6}$ /cm<sup>2</sup> over a length of 1 cm in our samples, but it should be emphasized that the estimate is very crude.) The steeply sloped part of the  $\tau_p$  curves labeled 1/g is given by

while the activation energy for the curves with smaller  
slope is 
$$\sim 1.3$$
 ev. Note that at sufficiently low tempera-  
tures, the  $\tau_p$  vs  $1/T$  curves for all samples with disloca-  
tion densities,  $n_D$ , of  $10^5/\text{cm}^2$  and higher merge with the  
curve labeled  $1/g$ . The temperature of the break in each  
curve depends upon  $n_D$ .

The quality of the data is markedly better on the portions of the time constant curve with the steep slope than on the sections with a smaller temperature dependence. On the samples with etch pit densities of  $10^4/\text{cm}^2$ especially, there was difficulty in getting samples to behave consistently upon precipitating at a particular temperature, redissolving the Cu, and reprecipitating at a lower temperature. It proved expedient to carry precipitation part way to completion in a sample, then continue the process at a lower temperature in the same sample. By interleaving the temperatures of a number of such two-stage precipitations, we obtained a more reliable temperature dependence of  $\tau_p$ . (We tentatively attribute these difficulties to the occurrence of spontaneous nucleation of precipitates independent of the dislocations at supersaturation of  $\gtrsim 100$  times the solubility. Thus it is possible actually to increase the rate of precipitation by lowering the temperature, perhaps because such a procedure will satisfy the conditions for spontaneous nucleation.)

In collecting the data for Fig. 3, we also ascertained a number of other facts.

1.  $\tau_p$  is independent of initial Cu concentration when  $\tau_p$  is on the steep part of the curve in Fig. 3.

2. A heavily deformed sample may be run through several cycles of solution and precipitation with no changes in  $\tau_p$ .

3. It is immaterial in which order deformation and saturation with Cu are carried out.

4. Cu requires <2 minutes to redissolve at high temperature in heavily deformed samples.

5. We found that the role of the dislocations is to provide nuclei for precipitation, not diffusion short circuits for diffusion of the Cu to the surface of the samples. This was shown by grinding heavily on the surface of a deformed sample in which Cu had been precipitated. It was then cleaned carefully in KCN and heated to the temperature at which the Cu had originally been dissolved. The Cu concentration came up to nearly its original value. A control sample changed very little upon being given the same treatment.<sup>4</sup>

# III. DISCUSSION

Turnbull<sup>5</sup> has pointed out that the precipitation kinetics epitomized in Eq. (1) are consistent with a diffusion-limited precipitation in which the Cu diffuses to dislocations<sup>6</sup> which serve as nuclei for the precipita-

- $\tau_n = 3.6 \times 10^{-16} e^{+2.7 \text{ ev}/kT}$  sec. (2)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The work of Dash [W. C. Dash, J. Appl. Phys. 27, 1193 (1956)] has made this particular experiment unnecessary. <sup>5</sup> D. Turnbull (private communication). The author is indebted

to Dr. Turnbull for stimulating conversations about this work. <sup>6</sup> C. Zener, J. Appl. Phys. 20, 950 (1949).

(4)

tion. If one assumes that

$$D\tau_p \approx L^2 \approx 1/n_D, \tag{3}$$

where D is the Cu diffusion coefficient, L the spacing between dislocations, and  $n_D$  the dislocation density, then the low-activation energy portions of the timeconstant curves in Fig. 3 are consistent both in magnitude and temperature-dependence with  $D=D_s$ , where  $D_s$  is the coefficient given by Frank and Turnbull<sup>7</sup> for the mechanism of dissociative diffusion of Cu in Ge. The dissociative mechanism had been verified experimentally, by Fuller and Ditzenberger<sup>8</sup> and by the author. Thus we believe that portions of the  $\tau_p$  curves with a 1.3-ev activation energy correspond to dissociative diffusion of Cu to dislocations.

However, an attempt to fit the high-activationenergy portion of the  $\tau_p$  curve with an equation such as (2) leads to a diffusion coefficient with the impossibly high  $D_0$  of  $10^7$  cm<sup>2</sup>/sec for samples with an  $n_D$  of  $\sim 10^7/\text{cm}^2$ . Moreover, it is difficult to see why  $D_s$ , if operative at low temperatures, would not short-circuit any other, slower diffusion process.

## IV. PROPOSED MECHANISM

In the dissociative mechanism of Cu diffusion, account is taken of the fact that Cu can be present in Ge in both the interstitial and substitutional positions.  $D_i$ , the interstitial diffusion coefficient, is  $\sim 10^{-4}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/sec at 700°C and presumably very insensitive to temperature, while the substitutional Cu probably diffuses with approximately the self-diffusion coefficient of Ge. However, there is an equilibrium mass action principle relating  $n_s$  and  $n_i$ , the number of substitutional and interstitial Cu atoms, respectively, to  $n_v$ , the number of vacancies:

where

$$K = \left(\frac{n_s'}{n_{s'}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{n_{n'}}\right) = \frac{\alpha}{n_{n'}}.$$

 $n_s = K n_i n_v$ 

Primes indicate thermal equilibrium values. We have measured  $\alpha$  at 715°C and find that it is  $\sim 6 \pm 2$ . Thus, substitutional Cu dissociates into vacancies and interstitials which diffuse separately until trapped again. The rate of advance of substitutional Cu into initially Cu-free Ge, then, is limited by the dissociative diffusion coefficient  $D_s$  which is equal to  $D_v$ , the diffusion coefficient of vacancies, multiplied by the fraction of the time the vacancy spends free, i.e., untrapped by an interstitial Cu.

If the dissociation is a temperature-activated process, with an activation energy greater than that for  $D_s$ , at sufficiently low temperatures dissociation of vacancies and interstitials could become the rate-limiting step in



FIG. 3. Plot of  $\tau_p$ , the time constant for Cu precipitation in Eq. (1), vs  $1/T^{\circ}$ K. Curves are labeled with dislocation densities. Smaller densities were measured from etch pit counts. Larger densities (numbers in parentheses) were estimated crudely from amount of deformation.

the precipitation process. This will occur whenever the vacancy concentration is low enough so that the free path against trapping of an interstitial by a vacancy is comparable with the spacing between dislocations. Greater spacing between dislocations will require smaller vacancy concentrations and hence lower temperatures to satisfy this criterion for the breakdown of the dissociative diffusion mechanism. We see that this conclusion is consistent with the fact that the breaks in the curves on Fig. 3 take place at lower temperatures for smaller dislocation densities.

The foregoing proposal leads to the conclusion that Eq. (2), which describes the part of the  $\tau_p$  vs 1/T curve at temperatures below the break, should be interpreted as the reciprocal of the vacancy-interstitial generation rate, g. This interpretation is supported by the following consideration.<sup>9</sup> Assume that  $\Delta G$  is the free energy barrier for dissociation of vacancies and interstitials. Then

$$1/\tau_{p} = g = \nu_{0} e^{-\Delta G/RT}$$
$$= \nu_{0} e^{\Delta S/R} e^{-\Delta E/RT}, \qquad (5)$$

where  $\nu_0 \sim 10^{13}$ /sec, the reststrahlen frequency of the Ge lattice. Comparing Eqs. (2) and (5), we find that  $\Delta S = 11.2$  calories/mole<sup>o</sup>K. This is in reasonable agree-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> F. C. Frank and D. Turnbull, Phys. Rev. **104**, 617 (1956). <sup>8</sup> C. S. Fuller and J. A. Ditzenberger, J. Appl. Phys. **28**, 40 (1957).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The author is indebted to W. T. Read, Jr., for helpful discussion of this point.

ment with the value of 9.0 calories/mole°K given by the Zener theory,<sup>10</sup> in which  $\Delta S = -\Delta E(d \ln \mu/dT)$ , where  $\mu$ is the shear modulus of Ge.<sup>11</sup>

If the interpretation of  $\tau_p$  given in Eq. (5) is correct, we can calculate the vacancy concentration in a certain concentration range by the following argument.<sup>12</sup>

In equilibrium,

$$G = n_s' g = n_i' \nu(\rho c_v') = R. \tag{6}$$

That is, the total generation rate, G, is equal to the total recombination rate, R. R is equal to the number of interstitial atoms times the number,  $\nu$ , of jumps each makes per second times the probability that any jump ends in a vacancy. This latter quantity will be proportional to the equilibrium fraction,  $c_v'$ , of the lattice sites which is occupied by vacancies. The proportionality constant, p, will be related to the effective cross section for recombination, and will be close to unity if there are no attractive forces between vacancies and interstitials. We can set

$$\nu = D_i/b^2, \tag{7}$$

where  $D_i$  is the interstitial diffusion coefficient, and b is the jump distance, which we take to be  $\sim 3 \times 10^{-8}$  cm. Then, letting p=1, which gives an upper limit on  $c_v'$ :

$$c_{v}' = \left(\frac{n_{s}'}{n_{i}'}\right)g\left(\frac{b^{2}}{D_{i}}\right) = \frac{\alpha b^{2}}{D_{i}\tau_{p}}.$$
(8)

Extrapolating the experimental data for  $\tau_p$  to 715°C, the temperature at which  $\alpha$  and  $D_i$  are known, yields  $c_v' \sim 10^{-9}$ . (All estimates in this paragraph are to be considered as good only in order of magnitude.) This satisfies the condition for dissociative diffusion that  $n_v' < n_i'$ . Assuming

$$c_v' = e^{-E_v/kT},\tag{9}$$

we get  $E_v = 1.8$  ev. Since no pre-exponential entropy term has been used in this calculation, 1.8 ev is a lower limit on  $E_v$ . From knowledge of the self-diffusion coefficient of Ge,<sup>13</sup>  $D_{\text{Ge}} = 8e^{-3 \text{ ev}/kT}$ , we get the vacancy diffusion coefficient  $D_v$ :

$$D_v = D_{\rm Ge} / c_v' = 8e^{-1.2 \text{ ev}/kT}.$$
 (10)

The ambiguity in the correct division of the entropy term in the Ge self-diffusion coefficient between  $D_v$  and  $c_v'$  must be emphasized. In addition, the arbitrary selection of p=1 in Eq. (6), reflecting our ignorance of the vacancy-interstitial recombination cross section, is a troublesome point.

It might prove possible to evaluate the cross section by a treatment which can be given crudely as follows. The interstitial mean free path against capture by a vacancy,  $\lambda_i$ , is given by

$$\lambda_i = b N_i = D_i \tau_f^i / b, \tag{11}$$

where b is the jump distance,  $N_i$  the number of jumps,  $D_i$  the interstitial diffusion coefficient, and  $\tau_f^i$  the mean free time between successive captures. Now  $\sigma$ , the capture cross section, is given by

$$\sigma = 1/n_v \lambda_i. \tag{12}$$

Hence, if a theory giving  $n_v$  and  $\tau_f^i$  were available,  $\sigma$ could be calculated. Note that  $n_v$  is not necessarily the thermal equilibrium value of the vacancy density. At temperatures well below the breakpoint in the  $\tau_p$ curves, the vacancy-interstitial dissociation rate is small enough and the vacancy diffusion coefficient large enough, so that vacancy equilibrium with the lattice can be maintained by unhindered vacancy diffusion to the dislocations. But at temperatures above the breakpoint, the dissociation rate is rapid enough so that Eq. (4) applies. The interstitial and vacancy densities are then above the thermal equilibrium values.

#### V. SUMMARY

In the foregoing sections, we have described the dependence of  $\tau_p$ , the time constant for precipitation of Cu in Ge, upon temperature and dislocation density in the samples. For a given dislocation density, the timeconstant curve exhibits a high-temperature range, where the temperature dependence has an activation energy of  $\sim 1.3$  ev, and a low-temperature range where the activation energy is 2.7 ev. We attribute the hightemperature behavior to a diffusion-limited migration of Cu atoms to dislocations, in which the diffusion coefficient is that for dissociative diffusion<sup>7</sup> of the Cu. We believe that on the low-temperature part of the curve the rate-limiting step is the dissociation rate of vacancies and Cu atoms.

Some speculation as to the equilibrium vacancy concentration and the creation energy for vacancies are made on the basis of this model.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

In addition to those authorities mentioned in the body of this paper, the author would like to thank F. S. Ham for helpful discussion. He is particularly indebted to C. J. Gallagher and L. Apker for their encouragement and advice during this study. He wishes to thank J. Armstrong, R. Russell, and R. J. Bier, who assisted at various stages in the data-taking.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> C. Zener, J. Appl. Phys. 22, 372 (1951).
 <sup>11</sup> H. J. McSkimin, J. Appl. Phys. 24, 988 (1953).
 <sup>12</sup> The author is indebted to J. Bardeen for pointing out this argument. <sup>13</sup> Letaw, Portnoy, and Slifkin, Phys. Rev. 102, 636 (1956).