where $\beta = N(K, \epsilon) |F|$. The binding energy, Δ , is independent of the volume of the box, but is strongly dependent on the parameter β .

Following a method of Bardeen,⁵ by which the coupling constant for the electron-electron interaction, which is due to phonon exchange, is related to the hightemperature resistivity which is due to phonon absorption, one gets $\beta \simeq \rho n \times 10^{-6}$, where ρ is the high-temperature resistivity in esu and n is the number of valence electrons per unit volume. The binding energy displays a sharp change of behavior in the region $\beta \simeq 1$ and it is just this region which separates, in almost every case, the superconducting from the nonsuperconducting metals.⁵ (Also it is just in this region where the attractive interaction between electrons, due to the phonon field, becomes about equal to the screened Coulomb repulsive interaction.)

The ground-state wave function,

$$\chi_0(\mathbf{r},K) = (\text{const}) \int \frac{e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}N(K,\epsilon(k))}{\mathcal{S}_K + \epsilon(k) - E} \left(\frac{d\epsilon}{dk}\right) d\mathbf{k}, \quad (6)$$

represents a true bound state which for large values of rdecreases at least as rapidly as $const/r^2$. The average extension of the pair, $\lceil \langle r^2 \rangle_{AV} \rceil^{\frac{1}{2}}$, is of the order of 10^{-4} cm for $\Delta \simeq kT_c$. The existence of such a bound state with nonexponential dependence for large r is due to the exclusion of the states $k < k_0$ from the unperturbed spectrum, and the concomitant degeneracy of the lowest energy states of the unperturbed system. One would get no such state if the potential between the electrons were always repulsive. All of the excited states $\chi_{n>0}(\mathbf{r},K)$ are very nearly plane waves.

The pair described by $\chi_0(r)$ may be thought to have some Bose properties (to the extent that the binding energy of the pair is larger than the energy of interaction between pairs).⁶ However, since $N(K,\epsilon)$ is strongly dependent on the total momentum of the pair, K, the binding energy Δ is a very sensitive function of K, being a maximum where K=0 and going very rapidly to zero where $K \simeq k_m - k_0$. Thus the elementary excitations of the pair might correspond to the splitting of the pair rather than to increasing the kinetic energy of the pair.

In either case the density of excited states (dN/dE)would be greatly reduced from the free-particle density and the elementary excitations would be removed from the ground state by what amounted to a small energy gap.

If the many-body system could be considered (at least to a lowest approximation) a collection of pairs of this kind above a Fermi sea, we would have (whether or not the pairs had significant Bose properties) a model similar to that proposed by Bardeen which would display many of the equilibrium properties of the superconducting state.

The author wishes to express his appreciation to

Professor John Bardeen for his helpful instruction in many illuminating discussions.

* This work was supported in part by the Office of Ordnance Research, U. S. Army. ¹ J. Bardeen, Phys. Rev. 97, 1724 (1955).

¹ J. Bardeen, Phys. Rev. 97, 1724 (1955).
² See also, for further references and a general review, J. Bardeen, *Theory of Superconductivity Handbuch der Physik* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, to be published), Vol. 15, p. 274.
³ J. Bardeen and D. Pines, Phys. Rev. 99, 1140 (1955).
⁴ H. Fröhlich, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A215, 291 (1952).
⁵ John Bardeen, Phys. Rev. 80, 567 (1950).
⁶ It has also here and the superstand theorem.

⁶ It has also been suggested that superconducting properties would result if electrons could combine in even groupings so that the resulting aggregates would obey Bose statistics. V. L. Ginz-burg, Uspekhi Fiz, Nauk 48, 25 (1952); M. R. Schafroth, Phys. Rev. 100, 463 (1955).

Magnetic Resonance in Manganese Fluoride

B. BLEANEY*

Clarendon Laboratory, Oxford, England (Received September 24, 1956)

NUCLEAR magnetic resonance of the fluorine nuclei in MnF₂ has recently been observed by Shulman and Jaccarino,¹ who found a much greater "paramagnetic shift" of the resonance field than would be expected from simple magnetic dipole fields of the manganese ions. Electronic paramagnetic resonance of Mn²⁺ ions present as impurities in the isomorphous crystal ZnF₂ has previously been observed by Tinkham,² who made detailed measurements of the fluorine hyperfine structure which results from overlap of the magnetic electrons onto the fluorine ions. The purpose of this note is to point out that the shift of the nuclear resonance can be estimated from Tinkham's data, and that good agreement with the measured value is found.

With an obvious extension of Tinkham's nomenclature, the Hamiltonian for the system can be written as

$$\mathcal{K} = -g_N \beta_N \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{I} + \sum_N \mathbf{I} \cdot A^N \cdot \mathbf{S}^N, \tag{1}$$

where **I** is the spin operator for a fluorine nucleus, A^N is the hyperfine structure constant for interaction between this nucleus and the Nth manganese ion whose spin operator is S^N . Owing to the rapid change of spin orientation for the manganese ions, we must take a weighted mean of the different values of the projection M of \mathbf{S}^N on the direction of the applied field. This mean is

$$\overline{M} = \sum_{M} M \exp(-W_{M}/kT) / \sum_{M} \exp(-W_{M}/kT),$$

which cannot be evaluated from first principles in a substance such as MnF_2 where strong internal fields are acting. However, we may relate it to the measured susceptibility, since, per mole,

$$\chi = N g \beta \overline{M} / H.$$

Hence we have, for the quantum of energy required to

reverse the fluorine spin,

$$h\nu = g_N \beta_N H - \bar{M} \Sigma A^N$$

= $g_N \beta_N H - (\chi H/Ng\beta) \Sigma A^N$ (2)

where

$$\alpha = (\chi/Ng\beta g_N \beta_N) \Sigma A^N$$

= 669 $\chi \Sigma A^N$, (3)

if A is in units of cm^{-1} .

Each A is an anisotropic tensor quantity, whose value for a direction (l,m,n) with respect to its principal axes is given by

 $=g_N\beta_NH(1-\alpha),$

$$A^{2} = l^{2}A_{x}^{2} + m^{2}A_{y}^{2} + n^{2}A_{z}^{2}.$$

Thus in an arbitrary plane the shift, if small, should vary with $\cos^2\theta$, where θ is the angle between the external magnetic field and one of the directions of maximum shift in this plane, as shown in Fig. 2 of reference 1. Each fluorine is bonded to three nearest Mn²⁺ ions, and if bonding to other ions is neglected, it can be shown that the extreme values of ΣA^N in the *ab*-plane are $(2A_y^{I} + A_x^{II})$ and $(2A_x^{I} + A_y^{II})$ respectively (the nomenclature is that of reference 2). In Tinkham's experiments A_x could not be determined owing to lack of resolution, but the values for the other directions are

$$\left. \begin{array}{c} A_{y}^{\mathrm{I}} = 16.5 \pm 0.7, \quad A_{y}^{\mathrm{II}} = 14.6 \pm 1.2 \\ A_{z}^{\mathrm{I}} = 18.2 \pm 0.2, \quad A_{z}^{\mathrm{II}} = 12.5 \pm 0.2 \end{array} \right\} \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm^{-1}},$$

and he estimates that A_x^{I} is nearly equal to A_y^{I} , while A_x^{II} is nearly 30% larger than A_y^{II} . This gives a mean value in the *ab*-plane of $\Sigma A^N = A_x^{I} + A_y^{I} + \frac{1}{2}A_x^{II}$ $+\frac{1}{2}A_y^{II} = (49.5 \pm 4) \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1}$. With the susceptibility data of de Haas, Schultz, and Koolhaas,³ this gives at 77°K the value $\alpha = 0.077 \pm 0.006$, in close agreement with the observed value 0.0776 (with demagnetizing correction). The exact agreement is fortuitous, since Tinkham's estimates give too small an anisotropy for the shift in the *ab*-plane. A more delicate test would be obtained from a measurement along the c-axis (z-axis) where Tinkham's results are more precise $[2A_z^{I}+A_z^{II}]$ $=(48.9\pm0.6)\times10^{-4}$ cm⁻¹; giving $\alpha=0.0759\pm0.001$ at 77°K].

Shulman and Jaccarino report that the fluorine resonance disappears in the antiferromagnetic state. If the manganese ions are then in their states $M_z = \pm 5/2$, there will be a large field at the fluorine nucleus parallel to the c-axis even in the absence of an external field. Since the two type I manganese ions to which the fluorine is bonded belong to one sublattice, while the type II manganese belongs to the other sublattice, the resonance should occur at $h\nu = (5/2)(2A_z^{I} - A_z^{II})$, or at a frequency of 179 ± 2 Mc/sec. With an oscillatory field normal to the c-axis, it may be possible to detect this resonance at low temperatures, but A^N is sensitive to small changes in the bond distances and the frequency may be slightly different from that computed above by using Tinkham's data on Mn^{2+} ions in ZnF_2 .

*At present: Visiting Professor, Department of Physics, Columbia University, New York, New York. ¹ R. G. Shulman and V. Jaccarino, Phys. Rev. **103**, 1126 (1956). ² M. Tinkham, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) **A236**, 535 and 549

(1956)³ de Haas, Schultz, and Koolhaas, Physica 7, 57 (1940).

Thiourea, a New Ferroelectric

Allen L. Solomon*

RCA Laboratories, Princeton, New Jersey (Received September 19, 1956)

HIOUREA is orthorhombic at room temperature.¹ When evaporated silver electrodes are applied to (010) faces of thin, clear plates, hysteresis loops are observed below -104.8 °C and a pronounced dielectric constant anomaly is found in this neighborhood. Immediately above this temperature, double loops similar to those described for BaTiO₃² are observed. The spontaneous polarization is approximately 3.1 microcoulombs/cm² and the coercive field is less than 1000 volts/cm at 60 cycles and -110° C.

Clear crystals have been obtained by the slow evaporation of a saturated solution of thiourea (Distillation Products Industries) in methanol. By slow growth with stirring in a bath held around 30°C, thin tablets were grown with (010) faces which were electroded following an alcohol wash. Some solutions yielded small, clear hexagonal-based prisms which could be cleaved perpendicular to the ferroelectric direction into thin plates.

The author wishes to acknowledge the help of Dr. George I. Goldsmith who carried out the electrical measurements and Dr. John G. White whose x-ray data established the ferroelectric direction.

* Present address: Chemistry Laboratory, Sylvania Electric Products, Inc., Flushing, New York.
¹ R. W. G. Wyckoff and R. B. Corey, Z. Krist. 81, 386 (1932).
² W. J. Merz, Phys. Rev. 91, 513 (1953).

Simulation of Solar Prominence in the Laboratory*

WINSTON H. BOSTICK

University of California Radiation Laboratory, Livermore, California (Received September 19, 1956)

T is possible to locate a source¹ of plasma in the pole piece of a horseshoe (permanent) magnet, as shown in Fig. 1, and project the plasma outward along the lines of magnetic force. If only one plasma source is employed and the magnet and the vacuum tank "float"