

FIG. 1. Dielectric constant of PbTiO₃. The dots refer to rising temperature, the crosses to falling temperature.

thermal hysteresis in the transition, the present dielectric measurements with a weak field were carried out in such a way as to take this into account. The temperature dependence of ϵ is shown in Fig. 1. The cooling and heating rates were 0.3° C/min. The measments were performed by using a disk-shaped sample 0.96 cm in diameter and 0.13 cm thick, the frequency used being 1 Mc/sec. Two small but distinct anomalies in dielectric constant appear at about -100° C and -150° C. The anomaly at -100° C is rather small, but corresponds to the structural change discovered by x-rays. The anomaly at -150° C seems to suggest that a further transition takes place at this lower temperature. This transition, however, has not been confirmed by x-rays, for it is difficult to cool below -150° C in our x-ray camera. The trend of the temperature coefficient of variation of the dielectric constant changes gradually in the vicinity of -60° C.

Up to the present work it has been difficult to find support for antiferroelectricity in $PbTiO₃$ in the lowtemperature region; but the minor changes in ϵ can be clearly observed both at -100° C and at -150° C and seem similar to those at 470'C and 520'C in NaNb03 found by Cross and Nicholson.

Considering the present result, it would seem necessary to make further studies on other ferroelectrics, especially on solid solutions with $PbTiO₃$, at low temperature.

' J. Kobayashi and R. Ueda, Phys, Rev. 99, ¹⁹⁰⁰ (1955). ² L. F. Cross and B.T. Nicholson, Phil. Mag. 46, 453 {1955).

Vapor Pressure of the Solution of He³ in He⁴

S. K. TRIKHA AND V, S. NANDA

Department of Physics, University of Delhi, Delhi, India (Received June 4, 1956)

ECENTLY, Daunt and Tseng' have reported the difference in vapor pressure between a 4% solution of He' in liquid He' and pure liquid He' over a fairly

FIG. 1. The vapor pressure difference $\Delta P_{\text{mm Hg}}$ (=P- p_4^0) fora 4% solution of He^3 in He^4 , as a function of absolute temperature $T(^{\circ}\mathrm{K})$. Curve II : present model, quadratic expression for G4. Curve III: perfect classical solution theory. Curve IV: de Boer and Gorter's theory.² Curve V: Heer and Daunt's theory.³ Curve VI: Mikura's theory.⁴ Curve V: Heer and Daunt's theory. Curve VI: Mikura's theory∧
A: Smoothed experimental results of Sommers.⁹ ■: Experiment results of Daunt and Tseng. '

wide range of temperatures. The experimental results are not in good agreement with the predictions of previous theories. $2-4$

According to a model recently proposed by $us,$ ^{5,6} the expressions for the partial vapor pressures are

$$
p_4 = p_4^0 (1 - X_L)^x \exp\left\{\frac{1}{RT} [G_4(T,x) - G_4(T,x_0) + x X_L^2 W - (P - p_4^0) B_{44} - P X_V^2 \gamma] - X_L (1 - x) \right\},\,
$$

and

$$
p_3 = p_3^0 X_L \exp\left\{\frac{1}{RT} [x(1 - X_L)^2 W - (P - p_3^0) B_{33} - P(1 - X_V)^2 \gamma] + (1 - X_L)(1 - x)\right\},\,
$$

where X_L and X_V are the concentrations of He³ in the liquid and the vapor phases respectively, $p_4{}^0$ and p_3 ⁰ are the vapor pressures of He⁴ and He³ respectivel in the pure phase; $P(-p_3+p_4)$ is the total pressure, $\gamma=2B_{34}-B_{33}-B_{44}$, the B's being the second virial coefficients; G_4 is the Gibbs' function for pure He⁴ x is the fraction of the total number of atoms of $He⁴$ which constitutes the normal fluid; $w = W/N$ is the interchange energy, and N is Avogadro's number.

Using the experimentally observed' value of $(\partial T_{\lambda x}/\partial X_L)_{X_L\to 0}$ and following the method outlined in reference 5, W is found to be 1.976 and 2.406 cal m has ϵ is the linear and quadratic expressions of $G₄$, respectively.⁸ The λ temperature of the solution, $T_{\lambda}x$, calculated by making use of both the expressions for G_4 , is in excellent agreement with the experimental results. '

We have calculated the vapor pressure difference $\Delta P (=P - p_4^0)$ for a four percent solution of He³ in He4 on the basis of our model by making use of the linear and quadratic expressions for $G₄$. The results thus obtained are compared with various theories 2^{-4} and the experimental results.^{1,9} The vapor imperfection corrections have been applied using the second virial corrections have been applied using the second viria
coefficients computed by Kilpatrick $et al.^{10}$ It is eviden from Fig. 1 that the present model, especially with the linear expression for G_4 , describes the observed behavior better than the other theories.

Our grateful thanks are due to Professor D. S. Kothari for his interest in this investigation.

¹ J. G. Daunt and T. P. Tseng, Proceedings of the Internationa
Conference on Low Temperature Physics, Paris, 2–8 September
1955 (National Center of Scientific Research and UNESCO

Paris, 1956).

² J. de Boer and C. J. Gorter, Phys. Rev. 77, 569 (1950);

³ C. V. Heer and J. G. Daunt, Phys. Rev. 81, 447 (1951).

⁴ Z. Mikura, Progr. Theoret. Phys. (Japan) 11, 25 (1954).
⁵ S. K. Trikha and V. S. Nanda, Progr. Theoret. Phys. (Japan 15, 178 (1956).

S. K. Trikha and V. S. Nanda (to be published).

 J J. G. Dash and R. D. Taylor, Phys. Rev. $99, 598$ (1955)

 δ J. G. Daunt, Advances in Physics 1, 209 (1952).

⁹ H. S. Sommers, Jr., Phys. Rev. 88, 113 (1952).

"Kilpatrick, Keller, Hammel, and Metropolis, Phys. Rev. 94, 1103 (1954).

Effect of Copper on Ultrasonic Attenuation in Germanium*

L. J. TEUTONICO, ANDREW GRANATO, AND ROHN TRUELL Metals Research Laboratory, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island

 $(Received June 14, 1956)$

T has been shown¹ that ultrasonic attenuation in germanium can be attributed to the damped forced oscillation of dislocation segments. Following this idea, an attempt was made to decrease the attenuation by diffusing impurities into the specimen to pin down the dislocations.

Application of dislocation theory' leads to the result that the attenuation for the lower part of the frequency range measured should be given by

$$
\alpha = \frac{2\Omega \Delta_0 \Lambda L^4 B f^2}{\pi C} (8.7 \times 10^{-6}),
$$
 (1)

where α is the attenuation in decibels per microsecond, Ω is an orientation factor, Δ_0 is a constant for a given material, Λ is the dislocation density, L is the effective loop length, B is a damping constant, f is the frequency, and C is the line tension of the dislocation. Using the values [see references (1) and (2)] $\Omega\Delta_0 = 1/20$, $\Lambda = 2$ \times 10⁶ cm⁻², L=10⁻⁴ cm, B=10⁻⁴ g sec⁻¹cm⁻¹, and C=1.6 $\times 10^{-4}$ dynes, one obtains $\alpha = 0.3$ db/ μ sec for $f=10^8$ cycles/sec which is a typical measured value for this frequency.

FIG. 1. Attenuation as a function of copper present. Compressional wave attenuation. (A) crystal as originally measured; (B) after heating at 800'C in vacuum; (C) after diffusion of copper into specimen; (D) after reheating in vacuum.

For very pure specimens, the loop length L should be given by the length of the Frank-Read sources, or the network lengths, and as impurities are added, the loop length should be descreased by the pinning action of the impurities. Since the attenuation is determined by the fourth power of the loop length, the attenuation should be very sensitive to impurities.

The attenuation of compressional and transverse waves, was measured in a germanium single crystal for waves propagating in the $\lceil 100 \rceil$ direction. The specimen was first heated at 800'C for fourteen hours in vacuum to drive out impurities. $3,4$ The crystal was then (after making attenuation measurements) plated with copper and heated again to diffuse the copper through the germanium. The attenuation results are shown in Figs. 1 and 2; they are qualitatively as expected. After vacuum heat treatment the attenuation of compressional and transverse waves has increased by factors of approximately $2\frac{1}{2}$ and 4 respectively, and after copper is added the attenuation decreased by after copper is added the at
factors of $3\frac{1}{2}$ and 9 respectively

The added copper can affect the dislocation loop lengths in two ways. One way is that of pinning the dislocations by individual copper atoms in solution in the germanium, and the other is by the formation of precipitates along the dislocations. The concentration of copper atoms on the dislocations should be larger than in solid solution because the copper atoms can by their presence reduce the strain at dislocations. It can