

Prompt Neutrons from the Spontaneous Fission of Fermium-254†

GREGORY R. CHOPPIN, BERNARD G. HARVEY, DONALD A. HICKS, JOHN ISE, JR., AND ROBERT V. PYLE
Radiation Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, California

(Received January 16, 1956)

The average number of prompt neutrons emitted during the spontaneous fission of fermium-254 has been measured to be 4.05 ± 0.19 (standard error).

INTRODUCTION

THE average numbers of prompt neutrons, $\bar{\nu}$, emitted during spontaneous fission have been measured for a number of nuclides,¹⁻³ and a general increase with increasing atomic number and weight of the fissioning nucleus has been observed up to and including californium-252. In the experiment reported here, the average number of neutrons from the spontaneous fission of fermium-254 has been measured, with a cadmium-loaded liquid scintillator tank of the type developed at Los Alamos as a detector.⁴

APPARATUS AND METHOD

The apparatus and method have been described in a previous article.² Either of two similar parallel-plate ionization chambers could be placed at the center of the liquid scintillator tank, one containing the sample of Cf²⁵², which we use as a secondary neutron standard, and the other the Fm²⁵⁴. With a discriminator set so that all fissions were counted, the fission chamber pulses were used to trigger an oscilloscope, and the fission, prompt gamma ray, and neutron-capture pulses were recorded photographically. Background and californium standard data were taken before and after the fermium run, which was continued through 3.4 half-lives ($T_{1/2} = 3.2$ hours for alpha decay).⁵

FERMIUM SAMPLE

The fermium was produced in the Materials Testing Reactor by neutron irradiation of Cf²⁵².⁶ Separation of the fermium fraction from einsteinium and californium was achieved with an ion-exchange resin column using

ammonium α -hydroxy isobutyrate as the elutant.⁷ By measuring the fission rate after the complete decay of the fermium we determined that the sample contained sufficient californium-252 to yield 0.186 ± 0.007 spontaneous fission per minute. When this background fission rate is subtracted, the measured decay of the sample is consistent with the expected 3.2-hour half-life.

DATA AND ANALYSIS

The neutrons from 3360 Cf²⁵² fissions in the secondary standard fission counter were counted. Using $\bar{\nu}(\text{Cf}^{252}) = 3.82 \pm 0.12$,² we found the over-all neutron-detection efficiency during the measurements to be $60.1 \pm 2.1\%$; the efficiency had fallen gradually over a period of several months from the original value of 80% because of the slow separation of part of the cadmium compound from the main body of the scintillation liquid.

A total of 870 fissions was recorded from the fermium plus californium sample, giving the distribution of fissions *vs* numbers of observed neutrons shown in Table I.

TABLE I. Numbers of fissions with ν observed neutrons.

α	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Fissions	42	160	255	265	110	28	8	2

After correcting for the resolution of the apparatus and a background of 0.0050 pulse per fission, as described in reference 2, and after subtracting the contribution from the californium contamination, we obtained the ratio $\bar{\nu}(\text{Fm}^{254})/\bar{\nu}(\text{Cf}^{252}) = 1.061 \pm 0.037$ (standard error). With the above value for $\bar{\nu}(\text{Cf}^{252})$, the average number of prompt neutrons from the spontaneous fission of fermium-254 is 4.05 ± 0.19 .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank D. Johnson for reading the film, George P. Millburn for valuable discussions concerning the estimation of the errors, and Glenn T. Seaborg and C. M. Van Atta for their encouragement.

⁷ Choppin, Harvey, and Thompson, *J. Inorg. and Nuclear Chem.* (to be published).

† This work was done under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

¹ Diven, Martin, Taschek, and Terrell, *Phys. Rev.* **101**, 1012 (1956).

² Hicks, Ise, and Pyle, *Phys. Rev.* **101**, 1016 (1956). References to earlier work were included in this article.

³ Crane, Higgins, and Bowman, *Phys. Rev.* **101**, 1804 (1956).

⁴ Reines, Cowan, Harrison, and Carter, *Rev. Sci. Instr.* **25**, 1061 (1954).

⁵ Fields, Studier, Mech, Diamond, Friedman, Magnusson, and Huizenga, *Phys. Rev.* **94**, 209 (1954); Choppin, Thompson, Ghiorso, and Harvey, *Phys. Rev.* **94**, 1080 (1954).

⁶ Harvey, Thompson, Ghiorso, and Choppin, *Phys. Rev.* **93**, 1129 (1954).