## Melting Curves of $H_2$ , $D_2$ , and $T_2$ up to 3500 kg/cm<sup>2\*</sup>

R. L. MILLS AND E. R. GRILLY

Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, University of California, Los Alamos, New Mexico (Received November 2, 1955)

The melting pressure-temperature relationship of the three hydrogen isotopes has been determined accurately to 3500 kg/cm<sup>2</sup> by the blocked-capillary method. The data were fitted with fair success to the Simon-type equation,  $P=a+bT^{c}$ . The melting curves of H<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>, and T<sub>2</sub> are displaced downward on the pressure axis in that order and, at identical temperatures, exhibit approximately equal slopes.

PREVIOUS paper<sup>1</sup> discussed the failures, under high-pressure hydrogen, of a coiled Bourdon gauge used in melting-point measurements. In order to avoid this difficulty, we substituted a manganin resistance gauge with the essential characteristics of small volume, high sensitivity, and high strength, regardless of the gas enclosed. The manganin resistance gauge was housed in the Type 303 stainless steel vessel shown in Fig. 1. A stainless steel electrode was sealed through the cap by conventional Bridgman unsupported area packing which ultilized mica washers and Neoprene packing.



\* Work done under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission

<sup>1</sup> R. L. Mills and E. R. Grilly, Phys. Rev. 99, 480 (1955).

Initially the packing was tightened by pulling on the electrode with a micarta thumb screw. The coil itself was wound of No. 36 double nylon-covered manganin wire soldered at one end to the insulated electrode and at the other to the coned capillary adapter tube. Nominal resistance of the manganin gauge was 60 ohms and its measured sensitivity was  $13.93 \times 10^{-5}$  ohm/kg/ cm<sup>2</sup>. With a suitable bridge current, pressure changes of 0.3  $kg/cm^2$  could be detected by galvanometer deflection. Drifting of the zero-pressure resistance was of no consequence since the gauge was used only to indicate sudden pressure changes.

The present results were obtained by the same blocked-capillary technique as described previously.1 The present measurements from  $1277 \text{ kg/cm}^2$  (38°K) to 3700 kg/cm<sup>2</sup> (65°K) employed the same H<sub>2</sub> as was used in the previous measurements, which extended only to 1920 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>. The old and new results joined together smoothly.



FIG. 2. Melting curves of the hydrogen isotopes.

TABLE I. Constants in the Simon melting equation,  $P_{Eq.} = a + bT^c.$ 

|                  | a        | b        | с        | $P_{ m range} \  m kg/cm^2$ | $\left[\frac{\Sigma(P-P_{\rm Eq.})}{n}\right]$ | $\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ |
|------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| $\overline{H_2}$ | -279.63  | 2.749629 | 1.744070 | 0-3700                      | 5.98   | 27                                       |
| $\mathbf{D}_2$   | -438.15  | 2.312026 | 1.787213 | 0-3600                      | 4.19   | 24                                       |
| $\mathbf{T}_2$   | - 540.39 | 2.581294 | 1.764179 | 0-3100                      | 2.90   | 16                                       |

The  $D_2$  used in the new measurements was somewhat purer than that used previously: a different tank, from the same source but containing more gas per unit surface area, was used, and its contents were shown, by mass spectrometer, to be  $0.85 \ (+0.00 - 0.11)\% \ HD$ , <0.06% H<sub>2</sub>, <0.09% N<sub>2</sub>, and <0.02% O<sub>2</sub>. Although this indicates only a slight improvement over the previous  $D_2$ , we feel that there was a significant change in purity of the  $D_2$  in the melting-point apparatus as a result of the improved method of handling. Previously the gas was liquefied in the cryogenic pump, then the liquid was vaporized into the melting-point apparatus until the proper high pressure was obtained there; the fractionation in this process undoubtedly raised the H concentration. In the present work, the  $D_2$  was never liquefied outside the melting-point tube; instead the proper pressure was obtained by mechanically compressing the low-pressure gas (from 35 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>), a process causing no fractionation. As a result, the composition of the  $D_2$  in the melting-point apparatus could be definitely known. (The only uncertainty remaining was the slight composition change arising from the fractionation caused by solidification during the meltingpoint measurement itself.) For this reason and because the present measurements extend over a wider range with the same  $D_2$ , the present data are preferred over the old data, which showed somewhat higher melting pressures.

The measurements on  $T_2$  were complicated by both the original impurities and those picked up in handling. Tritium decays to He<sup>3</sup> at the rate of  $\sim 0.9\%$  per month; in our storage tank, the He<sup>3</sup> had built up to  $\sim 4\%$ . For elimination of this, the solidified  $T_2$  in the cryogenic pump was fractionated, by pumping, vaporizing, refreezing, and pumping until the He<sup>3</sup> content was below mass spectrometer detection; the process also eliminated some H (mostly as HT). From the effective removal of He<sup>3</sup>, one can infer the removal of any He<sup>4</sup> which might have been present originally. The second difficulty resulted from the H growth in the melting-point apparatus, the exchange being accelerated by the relatively large surface area. This effect was minimized by letting  $T_2$  stand in the apparatus for a few days, removing it, and replacing it with fresh T<sub>2</sub>, then making the meltingpoint measurements rapidly. Gas samples for analysis



FIG. 3. Deviations of observed melting pressures from Simon equation.

were taken before and after the measurements to ensure knowledge of the gas composition. Fortunately the composition proved to be constant for each charge of  $T_2$ . The three  $T_2$  charges had the following HT concentrations in the indicated pressure ranges of the measurements: 0.90% for 58 to 290 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>; 0.56% for 440 to 961 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>; 0.73% for 1195 to 3109 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>. The slightly different HT concentrations apparently caused no discontinuities in the melting curve. All of the  $T_2$ charges contained <0.05% H<sub>2</sub>, <0.05% DT, <0.05%He<sup>3</sup>, <0.02% N<sub>2</sub>, and <0.001% O<sub>2</sub>. Since failures of the capillary tubing still occurred occasionally when filled to high pressure with hydrogen, the melting curve of  $T_2$  was measured only up to 3100 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>.

The melting points of  $H_2$  D<sub>2</sub>, and  $T_2$  were fitted to the empirical form of the Simon melting equation,<sup>2</sup>  $P = a + bT^{\circ}$ , by the method of least squares, minimizing  $\sum (\Delta P)^2$ . Table I gives the constants, the pressure range of application, the root-mean-square deviation, and the number of points fitted. Figure 2 shows the general character of the curves, and Fig. 3 gives the difference between measured and equation pressures as a function of pressure.<sup>3</sup>

Previously, Chester and Dugdale<sup>4</sup> showed that there is a constant pressure difference between the melting curves of H<sub>2</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> amounting to  $170\pm 6$  kg/cm<sup>2</sup> over the temperature range  $25-57^{\circ}$ K. The present work agrees with their results up to  $50^{\circ}$ , but then it shows that the difference drops off to 135 kg/cm<sup>2</sup> at  $65^{\circ}$ K. In addition, the present results indicate that the  $T_2$ melting curve is lower than the  $H_2$  curve by  $250\pm 5$ kg/cm<sup>2</sup> between 20 and 40°K and that then the differential drops to 185 kg/cm<sup>2</sup> at 60°K. These results mean that at identical temperatures the melting curves of  $H_2$ ,  $D_2$ , and  $T_2$  have approximately the same slopes, which in turn indicates that the ratio of the entropy or heat of melting to the volume change on melting is independent of the isotope.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> F. Simon, Z. physik. Chem. **B2**, 340 (1929). <sup>3</sup> The -60 difference for T<sub>2</sub> at P=2142 kg/cm<sup>2</sup> was not used in computing the equation constants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> P. F. Chester and J. S. Dugdale, Phys. Rev. 95, 278 (1954).