It should be noted that the $\mathrm{Mn}^{4+}-\mathrm{O}$ bond has been assumed equal in length to the $\mathrm{Mn}^{3+}-\mathrm{O}$ bond with covalent character. This is a fair approximation if the covalent character of the $\mathrm{Mn}^{4+}-\mathrm{O}$ bond is less than that in the $\mathrm{Mn}^{3+}-\mathrm{O}$ bond; the general decrease in $T_{0}$ with added $\mathrm{Mn}^{4+}$ content is consistent with this hypothesis.

It is concluded, therefore, that at least in the manganites [La, $M$ (II) $] \mathrm{MnO}_{3}$ the theory of superexchange is inadequate whereas the concepts of covalence and semicovalent exchange offer a consistent model for explaining complicated variations with chemical composition of crystal structure and magnetic interactions. These concepts were first developed to account for cation ordering, lattice distortions, and magnetic interactions in spinel-type lattices ${ }^{1}$; their generality demands that they be valid for other crystal types. It is therefore significant that they apply so strikingly to this perovskite-type system.
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## APPENDIX

Consider the following problem: assume that when a $\mathrm{La}^{3+}$ ion is replaced by a $M^{2+}$ ion, two $\mathrm{Mn}^{3+}$ ions become $\mathrm{Mn}^{4+}$ and a neighboring $\mathrm{La}^{3+}$ becomes $\mathrm{La}^{2+}$ so as to minimize the Madelung energy of the configuration. Calculate the fraction of $M^{2+}$ ions necessary to make half
the manganese ions $\mathrm{Mn}^{4+}$ if the $M^{2+}$ ions replace lanthanum ions randomly and the replacement of a $\mathrm{La}^{2+}$ by $M^{2+}$ causes no change in the valencies of the manganese ions. This problem has identical boundary conditions and is mathematically similar to the problem of calculating the fraction of randomly distributed $\mathrm{Mn}^{4+}$ ions which gives the largest number of $\mathrm{Mn}^{3+}$ ions with one, and only one, $\mathrm{Mn}^{4+}$ near neighbor.
Two $\mathrm{Mn}^{4+}$ ions are created by the first $\mathrm{La}^{3+}$ ion replaced by a $M^{2+}$ ion. When the second lanthanum ion is replaced, the probability that it be a $\mathrm{La}^{2+}$ ion is $p_{1}$ $=1 /(N-1)$, and that it be a $\mathrm{La}^{3+}$ ion is $\left(1-p_{1}\right)$, where $N$ is the total number of lanthanum ions present. When the third lanthanum ion is replaced, the probability that it be a $\mathrm{La}^{2+}$ ion is $p_{2}=\left(2-p_{1}\right) /(N-2)$, and that it be a $\mathrm{La}^{3+}$ ion is $\left(1-p_{2}\right)$. When the $(n+1)$ th lanthanum ion is replaced, the probability that it be a $\mathrm{La}^{2+}$ ion is $p_{n}=\left(n-p_{n-1}\right) /(N-n)$, and that it be a $\mathrm{La}^{3+}$ ion is $\left(1-p_{n}\right)$. Half the manganese ions are $\mathrm{Mn}^{4+}$ when

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(n-\sum_{n} \frac{n-p_{n-1}}{N-n}\right)=\frac{N}{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $N$ is large, the summation can be approximated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n} \frac{n}{N-n} \approx N \int_{0}^{x} \frac{x^{\prime} d x^{\prime}}{1-x^{\prime}}=-N[x+\log (1-x)] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x=n / N$. Equation (1) reduces, therefore, to

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 x & =0.25-\log (1-x), \\
x & =0.31
\end{aligned}
$$
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#### Abstract

The symmetry properties of the one-electron energy bands of a crystal of the zinc blende structure are studied by means of group theory. This is done both with and without the inclusion of spin-orbit coupling. The character tables and compatability tables are obtained for the various irreducible representations of the space group $T_{d^{2}}$ associated with the zinc blende structure. The degeneracies and the gradients of the various possible energy bands are studied at lines and points of symmetry in the Brillouin zone. These results are compared with those for the equivalent energy bands in a crystal of the diamond structure.


## I. INTRODUCTION

COMPOUNDS of the zinc blende structure are today assuming positions of increasing importance and interest in solid-state physics. The III-V compounds such as InSb are being intensively studied as semiconductors, ${ }^{1}$ while II-VI compounds such as ZnS are used both as photoconductors and as phosphors. ${ }^{2}$ There is

[^0]thus much interest in the nature of the one-electron energy bands in these compounds. Considerable information of a qualitative nature can be deduced about the energy-band maxima and minima in these compounds by means of a judicious combination of symmetry arguments, perturbation theory, and experimental information. ${ }^{3}$ Here we propose to determine what can be said

[^1]

Fig. 1. Lines and points of symmetry in the Brillouin zone of the zinc blende structure
about the energy bands in these compounds on the basis of symmetry alone. In order to do this we will first construct the group-theoretic character tables associated with the zinc blende structure. These character tables are the basic quantities from which all symmetry properties will be deduced. As was first emphasized by Elliott ${ }^{4}$ and by Dresselhaus, Kip, and Kittel, ${ }^{5}$ the spinorbit coupling energy,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\hbar / 4 m^{2} c^{2}\right)[(\boldsymbol{\nabla} V \times \mathbf{p}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

may have a profound effect upon the one-electron energy levels in semiconductors. (Here $V$ is the crystal potential, $\mathbf{p}$ the electron momentum operator, and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ the electron spin operator.) Therefore we will consider the symmetry problem first without and then with the inclusion of spin. Since the diamond structure may be considered to be a special case of the zinc blende structure (i.e., a IV-IV compound), we will compare some of the symmetry properties of the energy bands of the zinc blende structure with those of the diamond structure, character tables for the latter being available both with ${ }^{6}$ and without ${ }^{7}$ spin.

## II. CHARACTER TABLES

The space groups of many of the simpler crystal structures are referred to as point space groups. ${ }^{8}$ Every element of a point space group may be written as the product of an element of a translation group with an element of a point group, every element of the latter being either a rotation or the product of a rotation and an inversion. For example, the zinc blende structure has

[^2]the point space group $T_{d}{ }^{2}$, associated with which are the face-centered cubic translation group and the tetrahedral point group $T_{d}$. Similarly, the face-centered cubic structure has the point space group $O_{h}{ }^{5}$, associated with which are the face-centered cubic translation group and the full cubic point group $O_{h}$. (The space group for the diamond structure, $O_{h}{ }^{7}$, however, is not a point space group.)

An electronic wave function without spin is a scalar function of position and always transforms into itself under a $360^{\circ}$ rotation; with spin included, however, the wave function is a spinor and always transforms into the negative of itself under any $360^{\circ}$ rotation. ${ }^{9}$ Thus, if without spin a crystallographic point group contains $n$ elements $C_{i}$, then with spin the point group will contain $2 n$ elements, namely $n$ elements $C_{i}$ and $n$ elements $\bar{C}_{i}$, where $\bar{C}_{i}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{C}_{i} \equiv \bar{E} C_{i}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\bar{E}$ being defined as some $360^{\circ}$ rotation ( $E$ is the identity element). $C_{i}$ and $\bar{C}_{i}$ are of course identical in their operation on a point in space. The crystallographic groups without and with spin are referred to as single and double groups, respectively.
Since in Schrödinger's equation the Hamiltonian operator $H$ is such that $H$ and $H^{*}$ describe equivalent physical situations; i.e., $H^{*}$ differs from $H$ only by some unitary transformation $U$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{*}=U^{-1} H U \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

it immediately follows ${ }^{10}$ that any eigenfunction of $H$, namely $\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$, is degenerate with $U \Psi^{*}(\mathbf{r},-t)$, also an eigenfunction of $H$. Without electron spin in the Hamiltonian, $U=1$. With spin, $U=\sigma_{y}$, the $y$-component of the Pauli spin operator. This result is referred to as time-reversal symmetry in the Schrödinger equation ${ }^{6,111,12}$ in addition to the crystallographic symmetries present. Time-reversal symmetry clearly leads to inversion symmetry of the energy bands in $k$-space. Since timereversal symmetry is distinct from the symmetries associated with the space group, it will be necessary to consider the effects of the former after having constructed the character tables for the space group $T_{d}{ }^{2}$.
The shape of the Brillouin zone in $k$-space associated with the zinc blende structure will be taken identical with that conventionally chosen for the diamond ${ }^{7}$ and the face-centered cubic ${ }^{13}$ structures, namely a truncated octahedron. Along with the lines and points of symmetry, it is shown in Fig. 1. It should be emphasized, however, that this choice of shape is not unique. In fact, the only parts of the surface of the Brillouin zone which are uniquely specified by symmetry are the lines $Z$

[^3]and the points $L$ lying in the centers of the hexagonal faces. As far as the space group $T_{d}{ }^{2}$ is concerned, $L$ has no higher symmetry than that of $\Lambda$, so that $L$ will not be mentioned in constructing the character tables. The additional symmetry of $L$ arises from time-reversal.

Consider a given point space group. For a given wave vector $\mathbf{k}$ associated with some symmetry point in $k$-space, we find those operations of the point group associated with the space group which transform $\mathbf{k}$ into itself plus some reciprocal-lattice vector. Such a set of operations forms a group called the group of the wave vector $\mathbf{k}$. There will be a distinct wave-vector group for each type of symmetry line and point in the Brillouin zone. All the wave-vector groups are subgroups of the point group associated with the space group. We now determine the members of the wave-vector group for zinc blende for each type of symmetry point in the Brillouin zone and compare each of these groups with the corresponding wave-vector group for the facecentered cubic structure as given in reference 13 (without spin) and reference 6 (with spin). (We use the terminology of reference 13 to denote the group operations and the lines and points of symmetry.) For $\Lambda$, the wave-vector groups for zinc blende and fcc are identical, and thus so are the character tables (note comment of reference 6). For both $\Gamma$ and $X$. the wave-vector group for fcc is the direct product of the corresponding wavevector group for zinc blende and the group of order two, $\mathfrak{C}_{2}$, whose elements are $E$, the identity element, and $J$, the inversion element. ${ }^{14}$ Group theory states that, con-

Table I. Character table for the representations of the single group of $\Gamma$.

| 24 | $\Gamma$ | $\Gamma_{1}$ | $\Gamma_{2}$ | $\Gamma_{12}$ | $\Gamma_{15}$ | $\Gamma_{25}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $E$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| 3 | $C_{4}{ }^{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | -1 | -1 |
| 8 | $C_{3}$ | 1 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | $J C_{4}$ | 1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 1 |
| 6 | $J C_{2}$ | 1 | -1 | 0 | 1 | -1 |

Table II. Character table for the representations of the single group of $\Delta$. (The two distinct operations $J C_{2}$ are those about the two twofold axes perpendicular to $\Delta$.) $\Delta_{3}$ and $\Delta_{4}$ stick together.

| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\Delta$ | $\Delta_{1}$ | $\Delta_{2}$ | $\Delta_{3}$ | $\Delta_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $E$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | $J C_{2}$ | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 |
| 1 | $J C_{2}$ | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | $C_{4}{ }^{2} \\|$ | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 |

Table III. Character table for the representations of the single group of $\Lambda$.

| 6 | $\Lambda$ | $\Lambda_{1}$ | $\Lambda_{2}$ | $\Lambda_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $E$ | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 2 | $C_{3}$ | 1 | 1 | -1 |
| 3 | $J C_{2}$ | 1 | -1 | 0 |

[^4]Table IV. Character table for the representations of the single groups of $\Sigma$ and $Z$.

| 2 | $\Sigma$ | $Z$ | $\Sigma_{1}$ | $\Sigma_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | $E$ | $E$ | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | $J C_{2}$ | $C_{4}{ }^{2} \perp$ | 1 | -1 |

Table V. Character table for the representations of the single group of $X$.

| 8 | $X$ | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | $X_{3}$ | $X_{4}$ | $X_{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $E$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 2 | $C_{4}{ }^{2} \perp$ | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 0 |
| 1 | $C_{4}{ }^{2}\| \|$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -2 |
| 2 | $J C_{4}\| \|$ | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | $J C_{2}$ | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 0 |

Table VI. Character table for the representations of the single group of $W$. (The two distinct operations $J C_{4}$ are those about the fourfold axis parallel to the face diagonal containing $W$.) $W_{3}$ and $W_{4}$ are degenerate by time-reversal symmetry.

| 4 | $W$ | $W_{1}$ | $W_{2}$ | $W_{3}$ | $W_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $E$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | $J C_{4}$ | 1 | -1 | $i$ | $-i$ |
| 1 | $J C_{4}$ | 1 | -1 | $-i$ | $i$ |
| 1 | $C_{4}{ }^{2}$ | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 |

sidered as a matrix, ${ }^{15}$ the character table appropriate to a direct-product group is itself the direct product ${ }^{16}$ of the matrices representing the character tables of the groups occurring as factors of the direct-product group. ${ }^{17}$ With the aid of the character table of the group $\mathfrak{C}_{2}$ (it is equivalent to Table IV), it is a simple matter to obtain the character tables for zinc blende for the points $\Gamma$ and $X$ from the corresponding character tables for fcc. Considering the $\Sigma$ and $Z$ lines in zinc blende, it is apparent that without spin these wave-vector groups each contain only two elements and are thus both simply isomorphic with the group $\mathfrak{C}_{2}$. Including spin, it is evident that these two groups are simply isomorphic with the cyclic group of order four. Thus for each group the characters are the appropriate powers of a fourth root of unity. ${ }^{18}$ Turning to the point $W$, without spin the wave-vector group is also the fourth-order cyclic group, the elements of the group being various powers of $J C_{4}$, an inversion combined with a $90^{\circ}$ rotation about the fourfold axis parallel to the face diagonal containing $W$.

[^5]Table VII. Character table for the extra representations of the double group of $\Gamma$.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 48 | $E$ | $\Gamma_{6}$ | $\Gamma_{7}$ | $\Gamma_{8}$ |
| 1 | $\bar{E}$ | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 1 | $C_{4}{ }^{2}, \bar{C}_{4}{ }^{2}$ | -2 | -2 | -4 |
| 6 | $C_{3}^{3}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | $J C_{4}$ | -1 | 1 | -1 |
| 8 | $J \bar{C}_{4}$ | -1 | 1 |  |
| 6 | $J C_{2}, J \bar{C}_{2}$ | $-\sqrt{2}$ | $-\sqrt{2}$ | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | $\sqrt{2}$ | 0 |  |
| 12 |  | 0 | 0 |  |

Table VIII. Character table for the extra representation of the double group of $\Delta$.

| 8 | $\Delta$ | $\Delta_{5}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | $E$ | 2 |
| 1 | $\tilde{E}$ | -2 |
| 2 | $J C_{2}, J \bar{C}_{2}$ | 0 |
| 2 | $J C_{2}, J \bar{C}_{2}$ | 0 |
| 2 | $C_{4}^{2}\left\\|, \bar{C}_{4}{ }^{2}\right\\|$ | 0 |

Table IX. Character table for the extra representations of the double group of $\Lambda, \Lambda_{4}$, and $\Lambda_{5}$ are degenerate by time-reversal symmetry.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12 | $\Lambda$ |  |  |  |
| 1 | $E$ | 1 | $\Lambda_{5}$ | $\Lambda_{6}$ |
| 1 | $\bar{E}$ | -1 | -1 | 2 |
| 2 | $C_{3}$ | -1 | -1 | -2 |
| 2 | $\bar{C}_{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | $J C_{2}$ | $i$ | $-i$ | -1 |
| 3 | $J \bar{C}_{2}$ | $-i$ | $i$ | 0 |

Similarly, with spin the wave-vector group is the eighth-order cyclic group, so that the characters are the appropriate powers of an eighth root of unity. For the fourfold axis $\Delta$, without spin the wave-vector group contains the identity element $E$, the two commuting elements $J C_{2}$ (representing reflections in the planes perpendicular to the twofold axes which are in turn perpendicular to $\Delta$ ), and the element $C_{4}^{2} \|$ (representing a $180^{\circ}$ rotation about $\Delta$ ) which is the product of the two reflections. Thus the group may be considered to be the direct product of two second-order groups $\mathfrak{C}_{2}$, and the character table follows immediately. With spin the wave-vector group for $\Delta$ can be seen to be simply isomorphic with the group for $X$ without spin, with the result that the two character tables are equivalent.
In this simple fashion we have obtained the character tables for the zinc blende structure for both the single and the double groups. The single groups without spin are listed in Tables I-VI. A perusal of our results demonstrates a rule generally valid ${ }^{19}$ that the irreducible representations of the single group occur unchanged in the double group with the elements $C_{i}$ and $\bar{C}_{i}$ having the same character. For this reason, we do not bother to list these representations again in the double groups, but restrict ourselves to listing the additional or extra representations, which are given in Tables VII-XII. In

[^6]contrast with the other representations, the extra representations always occur with the elements $C_{i}$ and $\bar{C}_{i}$ having characters of opposite sign. (Thus whenever $C_{i}$ and $\bar{C}_{i}$ occur in the same class, the corresponding characters of the extra representations always vanish.)

## III. RESULTS

As we move continuously in $k$-space from a point of higher symmetry to a point of lower symmetry, we wish to know how the various irreducible representations associated with the former point transform into those associated with the latter point. To do this we construct what are known as compatability tables. ${ }^{13}$ We express the characters of a representation for the highersymmetry point in terms of a sum of corresponding characters of lower-symmetry representations, this to be done simultaneously for each group operation common to the two points. These lower-symmetry representations are what combine at the higher-symmetry point to form the higher-symmetry representation. The compatability tables for the single groups without spin are given in Table XIII, and those for the double groups with spin (extra representations) are given in Table XIV.
Physically, the zinc blende structure may be considered to be the result of a deformation upon either the face-centered cubic structure, $O_{h}{ }^{5}$, or the diamond structure $O_{h}{ }^{7}$. Thus we may construct a second type of

Table X. Character table for the extra representations of the double groups of $\Sigma$ and $Z . \Sigma_{3}$ and $\Sigma_{4}, Z_{3}$ and $Z_{4}$, are degenerate by time-reversal symmetry.

| 4 | $\Sigma$ | $Z$ | $\Sigma_{3}$ | $\Sigma_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $E$ | $E$ | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | $\bar{E}$ | $\bar{B}$ | -1 | -1 |
| 1 | $J C_{2}$ | $C_{4}{ }^{2}{ }^{2}$ | $i$ | $-i$ |
| 1 | $J \bar{C}_{2}$ | $\bar{C}_{4}{ }^{2} \perp$ | $-i$ | $i$ |

Table XI. Character table for the extra representations of the double group of $X$.

| 16 | $X$ | $X_{6}$ | $X_{7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $E$ | 2 | 2 |
| 1 | $\overline{\bar{E}}$ | -2 | -2 |
| 4 | $C_{4}{ }^{2}{ }^{2}, \bar{C}_{4}{ }^{2} \perp$ | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | $C_{4}{ }^{2}\left\\|, \bar{C}_{4}{ }^{2}\right\\| 1$ | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | $J C_{4} 4 \\|$ | $\sqrt{2}$ | $-\sqrt{2}$ |
| 2 | $J \bar{C}_{4 \\| 1}$ | $-\sqrt{2}$ | $\sqrt{2}$ |
| 4 | $J C_{2}, J \bar{C}_{2}$ | 0 | 0 |

Table XII. Character table for the extra representations of the double group of $W . W_{5}$ is degenerate with $W_{7}$ and $W_{6}$ is degenerate with $W_{8}$ by time-reversal symmetry. $\epsilon=(1+i) / \sqrt{2}$.

| 8 | $W$ | $W_{5}$ | $W_{6}$ | $W_{7}$ | $W_{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $E$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | $\bar{E}$ | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1 | $J C_{4}$ | $\epsilon$ | $-\epsilon$ | $\epsilon^{*}$ | $-\epsilon^{*}$ |
| 1 | $J \bar{C}_{4}$ | $-\epsilon$ | $\epsilon$ | $-\epsilon^{*}$ | $\epsilon^{*}$ |
| 1 | $J C_{4}$ | $\epsilon^{*}$ | $-\epsilon^{*}$ | $\epsilon$ | $-\epsilon$ |
| 1 | $J \bar{C}_{4}$ | $-\epsilon^{*}$ | $\epsilon^{*}$ | $-\epsilon$ | $\epsilon$ |
| 1 | $C_{4}{ }^{2}$ | $-i$ | $-i$ | $-i$ | $-i$ |
| 1 | $\bar{C}_{4}{ }^{2}$ | $-i$ | $-i$ | $i$ | $i$ |

Table XIII. Compatability tables for the representations of the single groups connecting symmetry points with symmetry axes.

| $\Gamma$ | $\Delta$ | $\Lambda$ | $\Sigma$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Gamma_{1}$ | $\Delta_{1}$ | $\Lambda_{1}$ | $\Sigma_{1}$ |
| $\Gamma_{2}$ | $\Delta_{2}$ | $\Lambda_{2}$ | $\Sigma_{2}$ |
| $\Gamma_{12}$ | $\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}$ | $\Lambda_{3}$ | $\Sigma_{1}+\Sigma_{2}$ |
| $\Gamma_{15}$ | $\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{3}+\Delta_{4}$ | $\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{3}$ | $2 \Sigma_{1}+\Sigma_{2}$ |
| $\Gamma_{25}$ | $\Delta_{2}+\Delta_{3}+\Delta_{4}$ | $\Lambda_{2}+\Lambda_{3}$ | $\Sigma_{1}+2 \Sigma_{2}$ |
| $X$ | $\Delta$ | $\Sigma$ | $Z$ |
| $X_{1}$ | $\Delta_{1}$ | $\Sigma_{1}$ | $Z_{1}$ |
| $X_{2}$ | $\Delta_{2}$ | $\Sigma_{2}$ | $Z_{1}$ |
| $X_{3}$ | $\Delta_{1}$ | $\Sigma_{1}$ | $Z_{2}$ |
| $X_{4}$ | $\Delta_{2}+\Delta_{4}$ | $\Sigma_{1}+\Sigma_{2}$ | $Z_{2}+Z_{2}$ |
| $X_{5}$ | $Z$ |  |  |
| $W$ | $Z_{1}$ |  |  |
| $W_{1}$ | $Z_{1}$ |  |  |
| $W_{2}$ | $Z_{2}$ |  |  |
| $W_{3}$ | $Z_{2}$ |  |  |
| $W_{4}$ |  |  |  |

Table XIV. Compatability tables for the extra representations of the double groups connecting symmetry points with symmetry axes.

| $\Gamma$ | $\Delta$ | $\Lambda$ | $\Sigma$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Gamma_{6}$ | $\Delta_{5}$ | $\Lambda_{6}$ | $\Sigma_{3}+\Sigma_{4}$ |
| $\Gamma_{7}$ | $\Delta_{5}$ | $\Lambda_{6}$ | $\Sigma_{3}+\Sigma_{4}$ |
| $\Gamma_{8}$ | $2 \Delta_{5}$ | $\Lambda_{4}+\Lambda_{5}+\Lambda_{6}$ | $2 \Sigma_{3}+2 \Sigma_{4}$ |
| $X$ | $\Delta$ | $\Sigma$ | $Z$ |
| $X_{6}$ | $\Delta_{5}$ | $\Sigma_{3}+\Sigma_{4}$ | $Z_{3}+Z_{4}$ |
| $X_{7}$ | $\Delta_{5}$ | $\Sigma_{3}+\Sigma_{4}$ | $Z_{3}+Z_{4}$ |
| $W$ | $Z$ |  |  |
| $W_{5}$ | $Z_{3}$ |  |  |
| $W_{6}$ | $Z_{3}$ |  |  |
| $W_{7}$ | $Z_{4}$ |  |  |
| $W_{8}$ | $Z_{4}$ |  |  |

compatability table between $T_{d}{ }^{2}$ and $O_{h}{ }^{5}$ and between $T_{d}{ }^{2}$ and $O_{h}{ }^{7}$, showing how the various irreducible representations for $O_{h}{ }^{5}$ and $O_{h}{ }^{7}$ are transformed by the deformations which convert these structures into $T_{d}{ }^{2}$. Using the same technique described in the previous paragraph, we obtain Tables XV and XVI. Such tables are particularly useful in looking at some zinc blende structure as a perturbation upon some similar diamond structure, ${ }^{3}$ e.g., GaAs as a perturbation upon Ge.

A third type of compatibility table is needed to show how the various irreducible representations for zinc blende without spin are transformed upon the introduction of spin. Such information is given in Table XVII, which demonstrates the general rule that only the extra irreducible representations occur. ${ }^{6}$ Table XVII is obtained in the following fashion. If we take the point group $T_{d}$ and replace the elements $J C_{4}\left(90^{\circ}\right.$ rotation about a fourfold axis followed by an inversion) and $J C_{2}$ ( $180^{\circ}$ rotation about a twofold axis followed by an inversion) by $C_{4}$ and $C_{2}$ respectively, we obtain the point group $O$, which can be seen to be simply isomorphic with $T_{d}$. Since $O$ is a subgroup of the rotation group $\delta_{3}$, it follows that a conventional compatability table can be
set up connecting the representations of $\delta_{3}$ with those of $T_{d}$. A wave function of spin alone belongs to the $D_{\frac{1}{2}}$ representation of $\delta_{3}$. Since the explicit form of the $D_{\frac{1}{2}}$ representation matrices is available in the literature ${ }^{6,19}$ the characters can be calculated, whence it is found that $D_{\frac{1}{2}}$ corresponds to the $\Gamma_{6}$ representation of the $T_{d}$ point group. An eigenfunction of our Hamiltonian containing spin for the zinc blende structure will be a sum of products of a function of spin (belonging to the $D_{\frac{1}{2}}$ representation) and a function of position (belonging to some representation $\Xi_{i}$ of the single space group $T_{d}{ }^{2}$ ). Thus this eigenfunction will belong to one of the irreducible representations contained in the direct product $\Xi_{i} \times \Gamma_{6}$. Table XVII is now obtained by making use of the fact that a character of the direct product of two representations, which is the product of the corresponding characters of the two representations, can be written as the sum of the corresponding characters of all the irreducible representations composing the direct product.

We are now in a position to study the nature of the energy bands in zinc blende (as imposed by symmetry). First we consider the possibility of the sticking together of two bands associated with distinct representations; i.e., two wave functions of a given $\mathbf{k}$ being degenerate although belonging to two distinct representations. An operation of the class $J C_{4 \| \prime}$ (rotation by $90^{\circ}$ about the axis $\Delta$ followed by an inversion) followed by the timereversal symmetry operation (replacing $\mathbf{k}$ by $-\mathbf{k}$ ) will convert a wave function belonging to $\Delta_{3}$ into one

Table XV. Compatability tables for the representations of the single groups connecting the zinc blende $\left(T_{d}{ }^{2}\right)$ with the face-centered-cubic ( $O_{h}{ }^{5}$ ) and the diamond ( $O_{h}{ }^{7}$ ) structures.
$\left.\begin{array}{lccc}\hline \hline T_{d^{2}} & O_{h^{5}} & T_{d^{2}} & 0 \\ \hline \Gamma_{1} & \Gamma_{1} \text { or } \Gamma_{2^{\prime}} & \Gamma_{1} & \Gamma_{1} \text { or } \Gamma_{2^{\prime}} \\ \Gamma_{2} & \Gamma_{2} \text { or } \Gamma_{1^{\prime}} & \Gamma_{2} & \Gamma_{2} \text { or } \Gamma_{1^{\prime}} \\ \Gamma_{12} & \Gamma_{12} \text { or } \Gamma_{12^{\prime}} & \Gamma_{12} & \Gamma_{12} \text { or } \Gamma_{1^{\prime}} \\ \Gamma_{15} & \Gamma_{15} \text { or } \Gamma_{25^{\prime}} & \Gamma_{15} & \Gamma_{15} \text { or } \Gamma_{25^{\prime}} \\ \Gamma_{25} & \Gamma_{25} \text { or } \Gamma_{15^{\prime}} & \Gamma_{25} & \Gamma_{25} \text { or } \Gamma_{15^{\prime}} \\ & & \Delta_{1} & \Delta_{1} \text { or } \Delta_{2^{\prime}} \\ \Delta_{1} & \Delta_{1} \text { or } \Delta_{2^{\prime}} & \Delta_{2} & \Delta_{2} \text { or } \Delta_{1^{\prime}} \\ \Delta_{2} & \Delta_{2} \text { or } \Delta_{1^{\prime}} & \Delta_{3} \\ \Delta_{3} \\ \Delta_{4}\end{array}\right\}$

Table XVI. Compatability tables for the extra representations of the double groups connecting the zinc blende $\left(T_{d}{ }^{2}\right)$ with the face-centered cubic $\left(O_{h}^{5}\right)$ and the diamond $\left(O_{h}{ }^{7}\right)$ structures.

| $T_{d}{ }^{2}$ | $O_{h}{ }^{5}$ | $T d^{2}$ | $O_{h}{ }^{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Gamma_{6}$ | $\Gamma_{6}{ }^{+}$or $\Gamma_{7}{ }^{-}$ | $\Gamma_{6}$ | $\Gamma_{6}{ }^{+}$or $\Gamma_{7}{ }^{-}$ |
| $\Gamma_{7}$ | $\Gamma_{6}{ }^{-}$or $\Gamma_{7}{ }^{+}$ | $\Gamma_{7}$ | $\Gamma_{6}{ }^{-}$or $\Gamma_{7}{ }^{+}$ |
| $\Gamma_{8}$ | $\Gamma_{8}{ }^{+}$or $\Gamma_{8}{ }^{-}$ | $\Gamma_{8}$ | $\Gamma_{8}{ }^{+}$or $\Gamma_{8}{ }^{-}$ |
| $\Delta_{5}$ | $\Delta_{6}$ or $\Delta_{7}$ | $\Delta_{5}$ | $\Delta_{6}$ or $\Delta_{7}$ |
| $\Lambda_{4}$ | $\Lambda_{4}$ | $\Lambda_{4}$ | $\Lambda_{4}$ |
| $\Lambda_{5}$ | $\Lambda_{5}$ | $\Lambda_{5}$ | $\Lambda_{5}$ |
| $\Lambda_{6}$ | $\Lambda_{6}$ | $\Lambda_{6}$ | $\Lambda_{6}$ |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} \Sigma_{3} \\ \Sigma_{4} \end{array}\right\}$ | $\Sigma_{5}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} \Sigma_{3} \\ \Sigma_{4} \end{array}\right\}$ | $\Sigma_{5}$ |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} Z_{3} \\ Z_{4} \end{array}\right\}$ | $Z_{5}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l}Z_{3} \\ Z_{4}\end{array}\right\}$ | $Z_{5}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & X_{6} \\ & X_{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & X_{6^{+}} \text {or } X_{7^{-}} \\ & X_{6^{-}} \text {or } X_{7^{+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} X_{6} \\ X_{7} \end{array}\right\}$ | $X_{5}$ |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} W_{5} \\ W_{7} \\ W_{6} \\ W_{8} \end{array}\right\}$ | $W_{6}$ $W_{7}$ | $\begin{aligned} & W_{5} \\ & W_{6} \\ & W_{7} \\ & W_{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} W_{3} \text { or } W_{5} \text { or } \\ W_{4} \text { or } W_{6} \text { or } \\ W_{3} \text { or } W_{5} \text { or } \\ W_{4} \text { or } W_{6} \text { or } \end{array}\right\} W_{7}$ |

belonging to $\Delta_{4}$, while leaving $\mathbf{k}$ and the Hamiltonian invariant. Thus $\Delta_{3}$ and $\Delta_{4}$ stick together. In a similar fashion, at the point $L$ the time-reversal symmetry operation will convert a wave function belonging to $\Lambda_{4}$ into $\Lambda_{5}$, while leaving $k$ and the Hamiltonian invariant. Thus $\Lambda_{4}$ and $\Lambda_{5}$ stick together at the point $L$. These are the only cases of bands sticking together as a result of time-reversal symmetry. Since, however, the characters belonging to the representation $Z_{3}$ are complex conjugates of those belonging to $Z_{4}$, it follows from timereversal symmetry that for every wave function of a given wave vector $\mathbf{k}$ belonging to $Z_{3}$ there is a degenerate wave function of $\mathbf{- k}$ belonging to $Z_{4}$. Identical arguments hold for $\Lambda_{4}$ and $\Lambda_{5}$, for $\Sigma_{3}$ and $\Sigma_{4}$, for $W_{3}$ and $W_{4}, W_{5}$ and $W_{7}$, and $W_{6}$ and $W_{8}$, respectively. ${ }^{20}$

As was emphasized by Elliott, ${ }^{21}$ at a point of no special symmetry in $k$-space, the extra representations are always doubly degenerate in crystals possessing a center of inversion, such as diamond. Because of the lack of inversion symmetry, this is not the case in zinc blende. We wish to investigate whether or not, on the basis of symmetry, the gradient in $k$-space of a given energy band will vanish in a given direction at a given point in $k$-space. Since $\nabla_{k} E(\mathbf{k})$ is proportional to the expectation value of momentum, it is necessary to investigate whether or not the matrix elements of $(\hbar / i) \nabla$ vanish, these matrix elements being taken with respect to the wave functions belonging to a particular irreducible representation of the wave-vector group associated with the given point in $k$-space. For the component of the gradient along a direction specified by the unit vector $\mathbf{u}$,

[^7]we need to consider matrix elements of $(\hbar / i) \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}$, these matrix elements being integrals over ordinary space. ${ }^{22}$ The integrands of these integrals transform into one another under those particular operations associated with the given wave vector group which transform $\mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}$ into either plus or minus itself (the operation acting on $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$ but not on $\mathbf{u}$ ). Such operations form a group which we shall call the gradient wave-vector group. If we knew the character tables of the gradient wave-vector groups, it would be an easy matter to decide whether or not a given matrix element vanishes. Thus we would resolve the representation to which the integrand belongs (the direct product of the three representations corresponding to the two wave functions in the integrand and to $\mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}$, respectively) into a sum of irreducible representations of the gradient wave vector group, in exactly the same manner used to obtain Table XVII. We now make use of the fact that the integral will vanish unless the sum of irreducible representations contains the totally symmetric representation (that representation all of whose characters are unity). ${ }^{23,24} \mathrm{We}$ actually have the character tables of the gradient wave-vector groups, since every gradient wave-vector group is simply isomorphic with some particular wave-vector group. ${ }^{25}$

Table XVII. Compatability table connecting the representations of the single groups with the extra representations of the double groups.

| $\Xi_{i}$ | $\Xi_{i} \times \Gamma_{6}$ | $\Xi_{i}$ | $\Xi_{i} \times \Gamma_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Gamma_{1}$ | $\Gamma_{6}$ | $\Sigma_{1}$ | $\Sigma_{3}+\Sigma_{4}$ |
| $\Gamma_{2}$ | $\Gamma_{7}$ | $\Sigma_{2}$ | $\Sigma_{3}+\Sigma_{4}$ |
| $\Gamma_{12}$ | $\Gamma_{8}$ | $Z_{1}$ | $Z_{3}+Z_{4}$ |
| $\Gamma_{15}$ | $\Gamma_{7}+\Gamma_{8}$ | $Z_{2}$ | $Z_{3}+Z_{4}$ |
| $\Gamma_{25}$ |  | $\Gamma_{6}$ | $X_{6}$ |
| $\Delta_{1}$ | $\Delta_{5}$ | $X_{1}$ | $X_{7}$ |
| $\Delta_{2}$ | $\Delta_{5}$ | $X_{3}$ | $X_{7}$ |
| $\Delta_{3}$ | $\Delta_{5}$ | $X_{4}$ | $X_{6}$ |
| $\Delta_{4}$ |  | $X_{5}$ | $X_{6}+X_{7}$ |
| $\Lambda_{1}$ | $\Lambda_{6}$ | $W_{1}$ | $W_{5}+W_{7}$ |
| $\Lambda_{2}$ | $\Lambda_{4}+\Lambda_{5}+\Lambda_{6}$ | $W_{2}$ | $W_{6}+W_{8}$ |
| $\Lambda_{3}$ |  | $W_{3}$ | $W_{5}+W_{8}$ |
|  |  |  | $W_{6}+W_{7}$ |

${ }^{22}$ For the spin case, $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$ should be replaced by $\left[\boldsymbol{\nabla}+\left(i / 4 m c^{2}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\right.\right.$ $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times V]$. The latter, however, has the same transformation properties as $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$ and need not be considered further.
${ }^{23}$ See, for example, Eyring, Walter, and Kimball, Quantum Chemistry (John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1944), p. 187.
${ }^{24}$ In some cases where the above analysis does not indicate a vanishing gradient, it is evident that the gradient must vanish in order to maintain time-reversal symmetry.
${ }^{25}$ The gradient wave-vector groups at $\Gamma$ for $\mathbf{u}$ pointing along $\Delta, \Lambda$, and $\Sigma$ are simply isomorphic with the wave-vector groups at $X, \Lambda$, and $\Delta$, respectively. The gradient wave-vector groups at $X$ for $\mathbf{u}$ pointing along $\Delta, \mathbf{\Sigma}$, and $Z$ are simply isomorphic with the wave-vector groups at $X, \Delta$, and $\Delta$, respectively. The gradient wave-vector groups at $W$ for u pointing along $Z$ and along either of the two (100) directions perpendicular to $Z$ are simply isomorphic with the wave-vector groups at $W$ and $\Sigma$, respectively. The gradient wave-vector groups at $\Delta$ for u pointing along a perpendicular (100) direction and along a perpendicular (110) direction (both perpendicular to $\Delta$ ) are simply isomorphic with the wave-vector groups at $\Sigma$ and $\Delta$, respectively. The gradient wave-vector groups at $\Lambda, \Sigma$, and $Z$ are all simply isomorphic with the wave-vector group at $\Sigma$.

Finally, we wish to point out two facts which are useful in any study of energy bands. The first is that the complete set of energy bands must have the full symmetry of the Brillouin zone. The second is that, as we move along a continuous curve (with continuous derivatives) in $k$-space, it is always possible to trace out a continuous curve (with continuous derivatives) of allowed energy versus $\mathbf{k}$.

With the aid of the considerations of the previous paragraphs it is now possible to deduce the nature of the energy bands in the vicinity of a symmetry point. First we consider the single groups without spin. For this case the energy bands are identical in their symmetry properties with those of the diamond structure everywhere except along the symmetry axes $\Sigma$ and $Z$. As in diamond, $\Gamma$ is a point of zero slope in the directions of the three symmetry axes $\Delta, \Lambda$, and $\Sigma ; L$ is a point of zero slope in the direction of $\Lambda ; \Delta$ is a point of zero slope along the (100) and (110) directions perpendicular to the given $\Delta$ axis; and $\Lambda$ may be a point of either zero slope or finite slope in directions perpendicular to the given $\Lambda$ axis ( $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ have zero slope, $\Lambda_{3}$ has finite slope). Although $\Sigma$ is nondegenerate as in diamond, it differs from diamond in that it is a point of finite slope along the (100) direction perpendicular to the given $\Sigma$ axis (although it is a point of zero slope along the (110) direction perpendicular to the given $\Sigma$ axis). If we consider the square-face diagonals, unlike diamond $X$ is always a point of zero slope along the three symmetry axes $\Delta, \Sigma$, and $Z$, while $Z$ and $W$ are nondegenerate, $W$ being a point of zero slope in any direction and $Z$ being a point of zero slope in directions normal to the given $Z$-axis.

We now consider the double groups with spin. For this case the energy bands of the zinc blende structure are considerably different from those of the diamond structure. The types of possible $E$ versus $\mathbf{k}$ curves in the neighborhoods of symmetry points are shown in Fig. 2. $\Gamma_{6}$ and $\Gamma_{7}$ are points of zero slope along $\Delta$ and $\Lambda$ but finite slope along $\Sigma$, while $\Gamma_{8}$ may be a point of finite slope in any direction (although $\Lambda_{6}$ will approach $\Gamma_{8}$ with zero slope), as has been suggested by Kittel and Dresselhaus. ${ }^{26} \Lambda_{4}$ and $\Lambda_{5}$ approach $L$ with finite slope while $\Lambda_{6}$ approaches $L$ with zero slope. $X$ is a point of zero slope along $\Delta$ and finite slope along $\Sigma$ and $Z$. $W$ is a point of zero slope in any direction. $\Delta$ is a point of finite slope in directions normal to the given $\Delta$ axis. $\Lambda$ may be a point of either zero slope or finite slope in directions perpendicular to the given $\Lambda$ axis ( $\Lambda_{4}$ and $\Lambda_{5}$ have zero slope, $\Lambda_{6}$ has finite slope). At $\Sigma$ or $Z$ the slopes in directions perpendicular to their respective axes are similar to those for $\Sigma$ and $Z$ in the absence of spin. Unlike diamond, at many points in $k$-space a nondegenerate band may occur. We see that the only points of special symmetry at which an energy band minimum or maximum can occur on the basis of symmetry alone are the points $W$. A minimum or max-
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Fig. 2. Nature of possible $E$ versus $\mathbf{k}$ curves in the neighborhood of symmetry points.
imum may occur somewhere along $\Lambda$ or $Z$, but none will occur along $\Delta$ or $\Sigma$, or at the points $\Gamma, X$, or $L$.

In conclusion, we might point out that if we look at the zinc blende structure as a perturbation on the diamond structure, ${ }^{3}$ then the difference between a given energy level of the unperturbed diamond structure and the corresponding energy level of the perturbed zinc blende structure will vanish (to the accuracy of firstorder perturbation theory) everywhere except on the square-face diagonals in the case of no spin, but will vanish only along the axis $\Delta$ (including $\Gamma$ but excluding $X)$ in the case of spin. To prove this, we first note that the perturbation potential which converts a diamond structure into a zinc blende structure will have odd parity with respect to an inversion about a point midway between two adjacent atoms. By combining degenerate unperturbed diamond-structure wave functions associated with different values of $\mathbf{k}$, we can always form new unperturbed wave functions which have either even or odd parity with respect to inversion about such a point midway between two adjacent atoms. It now follows that all diagonal matrix elements of the perturbation potential with respect to these new unperturbed wave functions will vanish, and thus the sum of diagonal matrix elements over a degenerate set of unperturbed wave functions, i.e., the trace, will vanish. This means that first-order perturbation theory may split a degeneracy but will not affect its "center-ofgravity" (mean energy). But Tables XV and XVI show that, in the case of no spin, degeneracies are split only along the square-face diagonals, whereas, when spin is present, degeneracies are split everywhere except along the axis $\Delta$ (including $\Gamma$ but excluding $X$ ). This completes the proof.
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